

# The War against the Truth: “Vladimir Putin is the secret president of the US”

Political Satire

By [Jon Rappoport](#)

Global Research, January 06, 2017

[Jon Rappoport's Blog](#) 19 December 2016

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

*The war against the people: fear and loathing in NY and DC*

*Thank you for your support. Merry Christmas and Happy New Year.*

*Vladimir Putin is the secret president of the United States.*

*Yep. That's it. The US is now the USSR. It's all over. Trump is a Communist who took orders from Putin. Trump is a Red. That was his game all along. He's a billionaire Commie.*

Here is how this would play out in a reasonable court, in the judge's chambers—

Prosecutor: Your Honor, we're prepared to offer proof that Putin personally influenced the election in favor of Trump.

Trump's Lawyer: Nonsense. This is a ruse.

Judge: Mr. Prosecutor, what is the nature your proof?

Prosecutor: We will bring several CIA people to the stand and they will say they have proof.

Judge: Will they back up those statements with evidence, so Mr. Trump's attorney can cross-examine them?

Prosecutor: No. Secrets must be kept.

Judge: Not in my court.

End of story.

Think about this: what will the major media do when their latest fiction about the Russians and Trump sinks below the waves? They're lying so hard and so often...is there any end to it?

The answer is no. They're committed. They can't turn back.

They're committing slow suicide in full view of the public.

It almost feels like they want to go down.

“Stop me before I kill again.”

Men and women of the news, whose whole act depends on securing trust and admiration from the public, are squandering whatever is left of it in the space of a few months.

Well, they didn't believe that outrageous lie. Let's try one that's even more ridiculous.

Now they want to censor news which fails to fit their picture. And if they don't watch out, they'll take down Facebook, YouTube, and Twitter—their enablers—with them, as people find new social-media outposts.

Trump—love him, hate him, whatever—is playing his role. He's virtually winning a new election every time the major media slam him and he comes back with a tweet that simply announces, “You're full of it.”

Influential papers like the NY Times and the Washington Post have gotten a free pass for as long as they've been in business. Their staffs have developed a massive sense of their own importance, their own infallibility. They're like popes—who've suddenly been exposed, in leaked documents, for falsely claiming Jesus wanted the Catholic Church built in his name.

George Orwell: “A totalitarian state is in effect a theocracy, and its ruling caste, in order to keep its position, has to be thought of as infallible.”

Recognize we're in a unique situation here. This has never happened before—certainly not in a highly sophisticated civilization where the official means of communicating information were limited to a few basic sources. Those sources have lost now faith with the people. Instead, huge numbers of new outlets have emerged.

The mainstream is beginning to realize how great the shift is.

A centralized reality splitting up equals a centralized and hypnotized perception of reality splitting up.

Centralized reality, by its very nature, presents a false picture. In the absence of that monopoly, many new true stories emerge that were previously hidden. Each of these stories is, in effect, a new reality holding a candle in the dark.

Virtually all major news sources agreeing on the substance of virtually all important stories, over the course of decades, is completely unnatural and absurd. That agreement must be engineered. Concocted. Invented.

The “consistent concoction” is dissolving in the minds of the public. It no longer holds sway.

Hillary Clinton and her supporters should be thanked for contributing to this break-up. The public is viewing their actions as those of a child who refuses to admit she herself is at fault, and instead throws blame in every possible direction: thousands of “fake news” sites; the Russians; Putin; pollsters who failed to warn of impending doom; the Electoral College system; the “deplorables”; WikiLeaks; the FBI.

“It's not our fault. It's everybody else's fault.”

Thank you, thank you.

Keep finding new people to blame. How about the Chinese, the Brexit supporters, [some guy who owns a gas station in Death Valley](#), a massive tribe who live on the dark side of the moon and illegally voted for Trump?

Don't stop now.

Interestingly, the big donors to Hillary Clinton's failed campaign, who are shocked and irate after forking over their money, aren't eagerly drinking the Putin Kool-Aid. They're hard-headed, and they want real-life answers. [As Politico writes \(12/15\)](#):

...the wealthy Democrats who helped pump over \$1 billion into Clinton's losing effort have been urging their local finance staffers, state party officials, and campaign aides to provide a more thorough explanation of what went wrong. With no dispassionate, centralized analysis of how Clinton failed so spectacularly, they insist, how can they be expected to keep contributing to the party?

'A lot of the bundlers and donors still are in shock and disbelief by what happened. They're looking for some introspection and analysis about what really happened, what worked and what didn't,' said Ken Martin, chairman of the Minnesota Democratic-Farmer-Labor Party and a top campaign bundler himself. 'It may take some time to do that, but people are still just scratching their heads'.

Or, in the words of a Midwestern fundraiser who's kept in touch with fellow donors, 'A lot of people are saying, "I'm not putting another fucking dime in until someone tells me what just happened".'

[The CIA-connected Washington Post](#) can pump out as many "Putin-did-it" articles as they want to. But the big Clinton donors are unimpressed.

Don't look for major media outlets to give those donors much face time. It would explode the blame-game narrative.

The NY Times is now calling Trump a threat to democracy. Translation: Trump is a threat to the NY Times, and by extension, all major media—because the Times is usually the first voice that sounds in the news echo chamber that bounces fake stories among hundreds of outlets from shore to shore.

I'm waiting for the term "Russian denialist" to pop up in the press, as a label for reporters who "ignore a mountain of evidence" that Putin hacked the election and handed it to Donald Trump.

Stay with us. Coming up after the break, a list of Russian denialists who refuse to believe what's in front of their eyes, say government officials. Some of the names will shock you. But first, six commercials for medical drugs you don't need [that could put you in the hospital...](#)

The media bubble is the ultimate symbol of what's wrong with this country. It's just a circle of people talking to themselves who have no f—ing idea what's going on. If The New York Times didn't exist, CNN and MSNBC would be a test pattern. The Huffington Post and everything else is predicated on The New

York Times. It's a closed circle of information from which Hillary Clinton got all her information — and her confidence. That was our opening.

—[Steve Bannon](#), Trump's chief strategist and senior counselor

The major media's depth of hatred for Donald Trump is beyond most people's understanding. Most people can't fathom it, because they believe they know who these media personages are. They see them on television or read their words every day. How bad could these reporters be?

Very, very bad. The media personages see themselves as 12th-generation feudal barons who are suddenly surrounded by the peasants stealing their land, crops, animals, homes, and royal titles.

Here is what Paul Krugman of the NY Times [recently wrote about Trump](#):

Thought: There was (rightly) a cloud of illegitimacy over Bush, dispelled (wrongly) by 9/11. Creates some interesting incentives for Trump." —As if Trump might secretly provoke another huge terror attack on US soil and, by his response, improve his status in the eyes of the public. Heavy, heavy malevolent conspiracy theory from a baron at the Times.

Back in February, [WND reported](#) on a tweet from another NY Times writer: "A columnist with the New York Times caused a social media stir with a tweet that joked of billionaire businessman and GOP presidential front-runner Donald Trump's assassination.

'Good news guys,' wrote Ross Douthat in his tweet, as found by Infowars.com. 'I've figure out how the Trump campaign ends.' Douthat is a foreign-policy expert who supports Marco Rubio and John Kasich. He then included a link to a YouTube video of the 1983 movie, 'The Dead Zone,' a flick that features Christopher Walken as a character who tries to shoot to death a politician played by Martin Sheen. Sheen's character uses a human baby to shield himself from the assassination attempt.

This is the media battling for survival.

Suppose, as rumored, Trump decides to [re-cast the whole White House Press Office](#)? Suppose, for example, he intends to deny many veteran reporters their press credentials, and instead welcomes independent journalists?

Suppose Trump decides to establish his own Web channel, and live- streams many fireside chats directly to a global audience, without even letting the press know his schedule?

Suppose the New York Times and the Washington Post fall to the bottom of the pile, left to scramble for crumbs?

Yes, things could get much worse for major media. And they should, because they have been lying to the public ever since the first brick was laid on their first office building.

*Trump's war on the media should become a centerpiece of his presidency. If not, they will shatter his term in office.* I hope he understands that fully.

Suppose Trump's inside man, Steve Bannon, puts his head together with a few deep-pocket investors and shows them how to create strong social-media alternatives to Twitter and Facebook, who are now trying to censor and bury independent online media operations?

Suppose Julian Assange and Project Veritas' James O'Keefe vault to the top of the press hierarchy?

Suppose the American people laugh the Times and WaPo and CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, and FOX out of court?

Suppose their echo chamber falls apart?

The major media's fear and loathing of Trump knows no bounds. Their loyalty to Hillary Clinton, who played a central role in the inhuman decimation of Libya, and whose Foundation set up a parallel government stop-and shop for global greedheads, is without conscience.

If you think independent media need your support now, watch what is going to happen in the coming months and years. Big media will keep throwing nasty conspiracy theories like cakes of dreck at the wall, hoping something, anything sticks to "fake news" sites.

These "liberals" are so firmly in the Globalist camp, they wouldn't know how to escape even if they wanted to. The very notion that America might reassert itself as a sovereign nation is a silver bullet aimed at their vampire hearts.

They've been sucking all the blood they can out of this country, with their "kind and gentle" "share and care" con job. It pays for their lifestyles, and they fully intend to maintain their status.

Not quite on the level of George Washington's Farewell Address or Eisenhower's last warning to America about the military-industrial complex, [here is Obama at his final press conference](#)—tortured logic, generalized garbled garbage, and veiled threats of censorship—looking for scapegoats after the election put a cap on his failed presidency:

If fake news that's being released by some foreign government is almost identical to reports that are being issued through [domestic] partisan news venues, then it's not surprising that that foreign propaganda will have a greater effect. It doesn't seem that farfetched compared to some of the other stuff folks are hearing from domestic propagandists.

To the extent that our political dialogue is such that everything is under suspicion, everybody's corrupt and everybody is doing things for partisan reasons and all of our institutions are, you know full of malevolent actors and if that's the story that is being put out there, then when a foreign government introduces that same argument, the facts are made up, voters who have been listening to that stuff for years, who have been getting that stuff every day from talk radio or other venues, they're going to believe it.

So, if we — if we want to really reduce foreign influence on our elections, then we better think about how to make sure that our — our political process, our political dialogue is stronger than it's been.

Then Obama basically characterized the totality of the WikiLeaks emails as "gossip." That

was his overheated swan song.

His message for the media was: stick to the script; you know what it is; why did you cover material detrimental to The Plan?

["Mele Kalikimaka."](#)

—So, dear reader, you can choose to obtain your news from purveyors of the Plan, or you can explore and keep exploring independent sources.

What is The Plan? Aiding and abetting the descent of America into a nation swallowed up in a global management system, where the Constitution, freedom, and the individual are relics of a discarded past.

Donald Trump is not The Answer. He never was. A declaration of independence can take many forms, articulated by many individuals, and backed up in different ways.

What's your way?

*Jon Rappoport*

*The author of three explosive collections, [THE MATRIX REVEALED](#), [EXIT FROM THE MATRIX](#), and [POWER OUTSIDE THE MATRIX](#), Jon was a candidate for a US Congressional seat in the 29<sup>th</sup> District of California. He maintains a consulting practice for private clients, the purpose of which is the expansion of personal creative power. Nominated for a Pulitzer Prize, he has worked as an investigative reporter for 30 years, writing articles on politics, medicine, and health for CBS Healthwatch, LA Weekly, Spin Magazine, Stern, and other newspapers and magazines in the US and Europe. Jon has delivered lectures and seminars on global politics, health, logic, and creative power to audiences around the world. You can sign up for his free NoMoreFakeNews emails [here](#) or his free OutsideTheRealityMachine emails [here](#).*

The original source of this article is [Jon Rappoport's Blog](#)

Copyright © [Jon Rappoport](#), [Jon Rappoport's Blog](#), 2017

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Jon Rappoport](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long as the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)