

The View from Singapore: Trump is Erratic, Unpredictable, Contradictory and Disingenuous

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, June 13, 2018

Region: [Asia](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [History](#)

In-depth Report: [NORTH KOREA](#)

Featured image: President Donald J. Trump and North Korean leader Kim Jong Un, walk together to their expanded bilateral meeting, Tuesday, June 12, 2018, at the Capella Hotel in Singapore. (Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)

Negative fallout ahead is more likely than overall ongoing afterglow continuing longer than short or perhaps intermediate term – the way US agreements most always play out.

Believing this time is different with the most extremist US regime in power is foolhardy at least, hugely dangerous at worst given Washington's rage for regime change in all sovereign independent countries, North Korea no exception – not now, not earlier or ahead.

What follows Kim Jong-un/Trump summit talks will be playing out for months and years to come – most likely for ill, not good.

Post-summit talks, Trump stunningly said “war games are very provocative. It is inappropriate to be having” them, ending them in East Asia clearly not about to happen, adding:

“The sanctions will come off when we are sure the nukes are no longer a factor.”

(Denuclearization) takes a long time scientifically.”

Trump is erratic, unpredictable, contradictory and disingenuous – often doing something entirely different from what he says, trusting him and bipartisan hardliners infesting Washington sure to disappoint.

Nuclear related sanctions on Iran were only partially rescinded. The Obama regime breached the JCPOA straightaway, betraying the Islamic Republic, never getting key benefits promised – well before Trump pulled out altogether.

Make what you will out of him saying

“(w)e are are going to take care of a very big and very dangerous problem for the world.”

Agreed on positive change is meaningless unless and until it unfolds as pledged – the rare

exception to the rule in US dealings with most nations, notably sovereign independent ones.

A new chapter in bilateral relations may be no different than what's gone on for decades.

A [NYT commentary](#) claimed "Trump was outfoxed in Singapore...hoodwinked" by Kim Jong-un, adding:

"Kim forced the American president, through his nuclear and missile tests, to accept North Korea as a nuclear equal, to provide security guarantees to North Korea, and to cancel war games with South Korea that the North has protested for decades."

"...Trump seems to have won astonishingly little" in return." The framework agreement reached lacked details.

The devil is always in them, what's unknown so far, the jury very much out until they're known at a later time, along with actions by both countries going forward to play out in the months and years ahead.

The historical record isn't encouraging. Betrayal defined US/North Korea relations before. Nothing positive between both countries ever happened. Earlier deals agreed on collapsed, Washington fully to blame, not Pyongyang.

Why expect a new leaf by Washington toward the DPRK now when never before under less extremist US regimes than Trump's.

The Times complained about what wasn't in the joint statement – relating to its nuclear and ballistic missile programs, along with inspections for verification of specifics agreed on.

That's not what a framework statement is all about – following a few short hours of Kim/Trump talks and preparatory pre-summit ones preceding them, details to come later.

They'll likely unfold incrementally, both sides testing each other to assure pledges made are fulfilled – never before by Washington in dealing with the DPRK, no reason to think this time will be different.

For now, things have come a long way from Trump's "fire and fury like the world has never seen" threat and rage to "totally destroy" North Korea to "develop(ing) a very special bond" with Kim, along with calling talks "a good prelude to peace."

Have "rocket man" Kim and "dotard" Trump become buddies? Far from it! The threat of US betrayal haunts unfolding events ahead like always before.

Deplorable US duplicity is likely to surface, shattering hopes for durably stepping back from the brink on the Korean peninsula for real.

DPRK leadership is well aware of what it's up against in dealing with any US regime.

A breakthrough for peace and normalized bilateral relations with Pyongyang is less likely under Trump than his predecessors.

The fullness of time will have final say. Then we'll know the outcome of unfolding events.

Tuesday talks barely scratched the surface. The hard stuff hasn't begun.

*

Stephen Lendman is a Research Associate of the CRG, Correspondent of Global Research based in Chicago.

VISIT MY NEW WEB SITE: stephenlendman.org ([Home - Stephen Lendman](http://stephenlendman.org)). Contact at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

My newest book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: How the US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](http://stephenlendman.org), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](http://stephenlendman.org)

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca