

The US Military Is Occupying 53 of 54 African Nations

Ron Paul Reminds Americans

By Rachel Blevins

Global Research, October 26, 2017

Activist Post 25 October 2017

Region: <u>sub-Saharan Africa</u>

Theme: Intelligence, Militarization and

WMD, US NATO War Agenda

While many Americans are aware that the United States is at war in countries such as Iraq, Syria and Afghanistan because of the media attention given to those conflicts, the news that four U.S. soldiers were killed in Niger came as a surprise that left some asking the question, "Since when is the U.S. at War with Africa?"

Sgt. La David Johnson, Staff Sgt. Bryan Black, Staff Sgt. Jeremiah Johnson and Staff Sgt. Dustin Wright were <u>killed</u> on Oct. 4, after their team was reportedly ambushed by "ISIS-affiliated militants traveling by vehicle, carrying small arms and rocket-propelled grenade launchers."

Former Texas Congressman Ron Paul weighed in on the situation, and <u>noted</u> that this appears to be one more war the U.S. is fighting without approval from Congress—and it is a war that includes the presence of U.S. troops in 53 out of the 54 nations in Africa.

"Now, when the Pentagon and the administration have had some pressure on them, you know, instead of having 100 people there, they're admitting we have 6,000 people in Africa, and they even put a number on it. They say 'we have some military in 53 of the 54 countries in Africa.' That's pretty expansive," Paul said.

for those who are just finding out about the US's silent war in Africa, here's a shocking little list. pic.twitter.com/L2kFoNkyt9

Matt Agorist (@MattAgorist) October 25, 2017

While South Carolina Sen. Lindsey Graham, a devoted war hawk and member of the Senate Committee on Armed Services, initially admitted that he was not aware the U.S. had troops in Niger before news of the attack surfaced, he immediately pledged his support to yet another military conflict created by the United States.

"The war is morphing," Graham <u>said</u>. "You're going to see more actions in Africa, not less; you're going to see more aggression by the United States toward our enemies, not less; you're going to have decisions being made not in the White House but out in the field."

You know you are in too many wars in too many places when even warmonger Lindsay Graham can't keep track anymore

— Senator Rand Paul (@RandPaul) October 23, 2017

In response to the attack and to the public relations scandal that has followed as Americans learn they are funding military operations in Africa, Joint Chiefs Chairman Gen. Joseph Dunford <u>claimed</u> that the U.S. has had troops stationed in Niger "on and off" for more than 20 years with the purpose to "defeat violent extremism in West Africa."

"This area is inherently dangerous," Dunford said. "We're there because ISIS and Al Qaeda are operating in that area."

As Ron Paul noted, the U.S. is still using the Authorization for Use of Military Force that was passed after 9/11 and intended to be used to go after the suspected terrorists responsible for the attacks.

"That's not unusual for governments to distort and use laws differently than even what Congress says. Congress writes a law and then the executive branch writes regulations, and that same principle applies to foreign policy. They say, 'Well you can go and do this,' but there's no limit. That just initiates it. Nobody even talks about the War Powers Resolution—not that that was the solution, but it was this idea that there was supposed to be a little more oversight after the Vietnam War. But that doesn't even come up for discussion."

The AUMF that was passed in 2001 has been used and abused over the last 16 years to fit each and every military conflict the U.S. decides to pursue. Instead of focusing on one group, the U.S. has applied the authorization to any situation in which the trigger phrases Al-Qaeda, ISIS, or Islamic terrorism are included, and U.S. officials are now scrambling to find a way to make that definition fit, in order to justify military presence in Africa.

Watch Ron Paul's comments in full:

Rachel Blevins is a Texas-based journalist who aspires to break the left/right paradigm in media and politics by pursuing truth and questioning existing narratives. Follow Rachel on Facebook, Twitter and YouTube. This article first appeared at The Free Thought Project.

Featured image is from Activist Post.

The original source of this article is <u>Activist Post</u> Copyright © <u>Rachel Blevins</u>, <u>Activist Post</u>, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Rachel Blevins

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca