

“The United States of Injustice” and the Boston Bombing Trial. Court of Public Opinion Lynching, Prosecutorial Distortions

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, May 17, 2015

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

None whatever exists. Guilt by accusation suffices for anyone Washington wants convicted.

Innocence is no defense. Juries are pressured to convict. Evidence when absent is invented. Lack of proof doesn't matter.

Thousands of political prisoners languish in America's gulag – at home and abroad. Thousands more are imprisoned for misdemeanors too minor to matter – ones for which just societies wouldn't tolerate incarceration.



Wrongful convictions are longstanding US practice – including numerous victims sentenced to capital punishment for crimes they didn't commit.

Dzhokhar Tsarnaev is the latest example – wrongfully convicted on 30 counts, 17 subject to possible capital punishment.

His kangaroo court trial mocked judicial fairness. It wreaked of inconsistencies, distortions, contrived claims and Big Lies.

His conviction was almost entirely based on convoluted testimony from one dubious witness unable get his account of events straight – plus photos showing the Tsarnaev brothers

present near the Boston marathon finish line. So were hundreds of others charged with no crime.

On Friday, Dzhokhr was sentenced to death despite no evidence proving guilt. Ironically, he was the first post-9/11 federal capital punishment victim.

Lead prosecuting attorney Carmen Ortiz praised what she called “a fair and just verdict.”

“Even in the wake of horror and tragedy, we are not intimidated by acts of terror or radical ideals,” she said.

“On the contrary, the trial of this case has showcased an important American ideal that even the worst of the worst deserve a fair trial and due process of law.”

US Attorney General Loretta Lynch called capital punishment for Tsarnaev “a fitting punishment.”

Boston Mayor Marty Walsh said he hoped the verdict “provides a small amount of closure” for survivors.

Tsarnaev’s father, Anzor, said he intends to “fight (the verdict) until the end.”

Dzhokhar is the latest homeland war on terror victim – unjustly charged, wrongfully convicted.

Post-9/11, numerous other Muslims were persecuted, arrested, falsely charged, prosecuted and convicted for alleged crimes they didn’t commit.

It’s the wrong time to be Muslim in America. Automatic guilt follows terrorism accusations. Washington’s rogue agenda needs enemies. Fear-mongering is used to justify its phony war on terror.

Muslims are America’s target of choice. They’re vilified for praying to the wrong God – for their race and ethnicity, for opposing Washington’s war on Islam.

They’re stereotypically portrayed as culturally inferior, dirty, lecherous, untrustworthy, religiously fanatical and violent – compared to Western high-minded moral superiority.

Media war on Islam makes it easy to convict victims like Tsarnaev. Judicial lynching follows vilification in the court of public opinion.

US Criminal justice requires following rule of law standards. Guilt must be PROVED BASED ON CREDIBLE EVIDENCE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT.

At the same time, jurors aren’t given reasonable doubt guidance. Prosecutors take full advantage. America’s system is inherently unfair. Nothing is done to change things.

Evidence suggests the Boston bombing was state-sponsored terrorism – a false flag black operation.

Craft International (a Blackwater USA type firm) operatives dressed in military-style attire

were near the marathon's finish line.

So were FBI agents – before the incident occurred. Media scoundrels ignored what was vital to report. Doing so would have compromised the official story. Older brother Tamerlan might be alive today. Dzhokhar might never have been convicted.

Fear-mongering replaced truth and full disclosure. Dzhokhar and Tamerlan were used as convenient patsies.

Police murdered Tamerlan in cold blood. Dzhokhar's turn awaits – victimized by criminal injustice.

He pleaded innocent to all 30 charges. It bears repeating. Not a shred of evidence suggested guilt.

Shockingly, his lead defense lawyer, Judy Clark, conceded guilt in her closing arguments. She blamed Tamerlan for coercing Dzhokhar to go along with what no evidence proved either brother committed.

She called only four witnesses on his behalf – compared to 92 for federal prosecutors. Guilt on all counts didn't surprise, nor capital punishment sentencing.

Dzhokhar never had a chance. Court of public opinion lynching combined with prosecutorial distortions, misinformation and Big Lies assured conviction.

An innocent young man will die for a crime he didn't commit. He'll languish on death row until state-sponsored murder takes him.

His life ended before it barely began. In April 2013, he was 19 years old when the incident occurred.

He was an honors student, a Greater Boston League all-star wrestler, a city of Cambridge scholarship winner.

What motive could he possibly have to commit terrorism, or brother Tamerlan?

His father calls him a "true angel." He insists both brothers were "set up." They "killed my older son Tamerlan," he said.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca