

# The Truth About Russian-Taliban Ties Is as Intriguing as the Fake News About Them

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, July 01, 2020

Region: [Asia, Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [AFGHANISTAN](#)

*Russia doesn't exploit the Taliban as a proxy for killing Americans, but seeks to nurture equally close relations with it along the lines of the ones that it presently enjoys with Pakistan. Moscow seems to believe that the group will likely return to power one of these days (ideally through peaceful means), so it makes sense to get on its good side. Once the war finally ends, Russia will require the Taliban's goodwill to ensure the security of any prospective trade corridor with Pakistan ("RuPak"/"N-CPEC+") for accessing the Afro-Asian ("Indian") Ocean.*

The New York Times' latest [fake news provocation](#) alleging that Russia's military-intelligence agency GRU solicited the Taliban (officially designated as "[terrorists](#)" by Moscow) to assassinate American soldiers in Afghanistan has brought a lot of attention to Moscow's ties with the militant group. The truth, however, is just as intriguing than the fake news about them. On the surface, it's surprising enough that Russia has diplomatic contacts with the Taliban considering that the latter grew out of the 1980s Mujahiddin that defeated the USSR.

Casual observers could be forgiven for thinking that Russia still holds a grudge against the group, but astute followers of the country's foreign policy have no such excuse. Russia's 21st-century grand strategy is to become the [supreme "balancing" force](#) in Eurasia, to which end it's sought to prioritize relations with non-traditional partners. The most prominent examples include Germany, Turkey, "[Israel](#)", Saudi Arabia, Iran, [Pakistan](#), China, and Japan, all of which it has a long history of problems with stemming from the Old Cold War.

Nevertheless, Russia's new partnerships with all of the aforementioned prove that it's willing to let bygones be bygones and won't judge any of them based on the policies that they pursued at that time. Instead, it wants to turn the page and move past their troubled histories in order to chart a new era of multipolar cooperation together. The Taliban is but the latest addition to Russia's "balancing" network, but since it's no longer a state actor, Moscow's ties with the group are understandably limited to the Afghan peace process.

Russia, like every party to the Afghan peace process, has some degree of diplomatic contacts with the group through its Doha office, but that's the full extent of it. The previous fake news allegations about its material support to the Taliban, to say nothing of the present provocation by the New York Times, are intended to delegitimize its diplomacy by falsely portraying it as pursuing aggressive ends instead of the peaceful "balancing" ones that it truly aspires to advance.

[Moscow hosted Taliban representatives](#) on several occasions as part of the peace process that it sought to resurrect over the past year, and even Trump himself planned to meet with its leaders at Camp David last September before an unexpected attack in Afghanistan made that [politically impossible](#) on the eve of commemorating 9/11. Even so, his administration [clinched a deal](#) with the group a few months back in February, which proved that it has much closer contacts with the Taliban than Russia does.

It can only be speculated upon at this point pending official confirmation from the parties involved, but there's plausible reason to believe that Pakistan facilitated Russia's engagement with the Taliban. The [fast-moving rapprochement](#) between these Old Cold War-era rivals was initially driven by their shared assessment of security threats emanating from Afghanistan following ISIS' arrival in that theater. Both countries realize that the Taliban is the most effective anti-ISIS force in the country, hence their pragmatic interests in working with it.

The Taliban is an independent militant group [fighting for national liberation](#) from foreign occupation, but it historically has very close ties to Pakistan, hence why Moscow could have realistically used Islamabad's diplomatic services to proverbially break the ice between it and the Taliban. The trust-based relations that have been on full display between Russia and Pakistan as evidenced by their yearly anti-terrorist drills and joint participation in the multilateral Afghan peace process testify to [just how close](#) they've become in recent years.

Russia doesn't exploit the Taliban as a proxy for killing Americans, but seeks to nurture equally close relations with it along the lines of the ones that it presently enjoys with Pakistan. Moscow seems to believe that the group will likely return to power one of these days (ideally through peaceful means), so it makes sense to get on its good side. Once the war finally ends, Russia will require the Taliban's goodwill to ensure the security of any prospective trade corridor with Pakistan ("[RuPak](#)"/"[N-CPEC+](#)") for accessing the [Afro-Asian \("Indian"\) Ocean](#).

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

*This article was originally published on [OneWorld](#).*

*Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.*

*Featured image is from OneWorld*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2020

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

### About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)