

The Trump Regime Has Launched a Nuclear Arms Race?

By Stephen Lendman

Global Research, October 27, 2018

Region: <u>USA</u>

Theme: Militarization and WMD, US NATO

War Agenda

In response to the Trump regime's unilateral Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty pullout, former Soviet Russia President <u>Mikhail Gorbachev</u> (image below) said the move signals the beginning of a nuclear arms race, saying:

"Over 30 years ago, President Ronald Reagan and I signed in Washington the United States Soviet Treaty on the elimination of intermediate-and shorter-range missiles."

"For the first time in history, two classes of nuclear weapons were to be eliminated and destroyed" under terms of the landmark agreement.

In July 1991, the Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START I) followed, proposed by Gorbachev and Ronald Reagan – signed by Soviet Russia's president and GHW Bush.



It prohibits both countries from deploying more than 6,000

nuclear warheads atop a total of 1,600 ICBMs and bombers.

In 1993, Russian President Boris Yeltsin and GHW Bush signed START II – banning use of multiple independently targetable reentry vehicles (MIRVs) on intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs).

It never became effective. In January 1996, Senate members overwhelmingly rejected it by an 87 – 4 vote.

In April 2000, Russia ratified START II, withdrawing from the treaty in June 2002 because of Bush/Cheney's ABM Treaty pullout.

In April 2010, New START, calling for further strategic nuclear disarmament, was ratified by Russia and the US, signed by then-Russian President Dmitry Medvedev and Obama.

For Washington, rhetoric changed, not policy, nuclear disarmament not envisioned or planned, upgraded US weapons to replace outdated ones.

Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) pillars were disregarded – namely, non-proliferation, disarmament, and peaceful use.

Nor did Obama and undemocratic Dems intend restoring the important ABM Treaty, abandoned by Bush/Cheney in June 2002.

Bush/Cheney's 2005 Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations remains in force. Obama's 2010 Nuclear Posture Review was more about warmaking than prevention.

It's all about removing the distinction between defensive and offensive deterrents, including the menacing triad of land and sea-based strategic bombers, land-based missiles, and ballistic missile submarines, as well as robust research development and industrial infrastructure to develop, build, and maintain unchallengeable offensive and defensive systems.

US missile defense is misnamed. It permits first-strike use of nuclear and conventional weapons, notably against Russia, China, Iran, and North Korea if denuclearization fails.

Last year, Trump called new START a bad treaty for capping deployment of nuclear warheads he wants increased – despite no US enemies since WW II ended, just invented ones, unjustifiably justifying endless imperial wars, along with provocative actions against Russia, China, Iran and other countries.

Trump claimed new START advantaged Russia over the US, saying Moscow outsmarted Obama on "START Up," the mischaracterization he used.

In his October 25 op-ed, Gorbachev said "(t)here are still too many nuclear weapons in the world" – even though their numbers are far below earlier peak levels.

Upgraded thermonukes likely have far greater destructive power. Trump's announced INF pullout threatens a nuclear arms race over his stated "intention to build up (US) nuclear arms," Gorbachev explained.

The stakes are potentially too ominous to ignore. Trump's JCPOA and INF Treaty pullouts risk greater wars than already.

Gorbachev slammed what he called the Trump regime's intent "to release the United States from any obligations, any constraints, and not just regarding nuclear missiles," adding:

"The United States has (effectively) destroy(ed) the entire system of international treaties and accords that served as the underlying foundation for peace and security following World War II."

"There will be no winner in a 'war of all against all' — particularly if it ends in a nuclear war."

"And that is a possibility that cannot be ruled out. An unrelenting arms race, international tensions, hostility and universal mistrust, will only increase the risk."

Gorbachev hopes Russia, the UN, especially Security Council members, and rest of the world community "will take responsible action (f) aced with this dire threat to peace..."

"We must not resign, we must not surrender," he stressed.

Award-winning author Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at <u>lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net</u>. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

Visit his blog site at <u>silendman.blogspot.com</u>.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Stephen Lendman</u>, Global Research, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Stephen Lendman

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cuttingedge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants

permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca