

The Trump Administration Isn't Ending the Wars in Syria or Yemen — It's Only Shifting (and Fighting Over) Strategy

By Julia Kassem

Global Research, December 21, 2018

Region: Middle East & North Africa

Theme: Intelligence, US NATO War Agenda

In-depth Report: **SYRIA**

The loss-averse President Donald Trump, who campaigned on a platform to "defeat ISIS, sought to uphold his election-season slogan by declaring "historic victories against ISIS" as a reason for his Wednesday decision to end US military presence in Syria.

.

We have defeated ISIS in Syria, my only reason for being there during the Trump Presidency.

— Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump) <u>December 19, 2018</u>

Amidst the steady gains of the Syrian army, which has reclaimed land from ISIS and various rebel factions since 2016, Trump has sought to market the destabilization of Syria as a success in his presidency.

Though US military withdrawal is always a cause to celebrate, a steady geopolitical undercurrent shows that the move only represents a shift in strategy in loss minimization for the Gulf-Israel-US axis in the Middle East.

The decision was <u>decried by</u> right wing war hawks, such as Lindsey Graham and Marco Rubio-as well as many Hollywood <u>liberals</u> pinning it as evidence of alleged Putin-Trump collusion.

As Trump pulls troops out of Syria, we must acknowledge the enormity of the world's failure to halt a humanitarian catastrophe . U.S exit benefits Russia, ISIS – still active, Iran & Assad. Was this agreed to during Putin/Trump's 2 hour Helsinki meeting- without witnesses?

— Mia Farrow (@MiaFarrow) <u>December 19, 2018</u>

The Syria Democratic Forces <u>urged</u> the U.S. to not withdraw, warning that the cessation of support and presence will have "serious repercussions" that will "create a vacuum" in the region.

The withdrawal represents a loss in regime change objectives from the US and its allies, something the US envoy to Syria James Jeffrey explicitly <u>has said</u> the country is no longer interested in, coming soon after Syria <u>regained over 90</u> percent of its territory.

In September, it was revealed that Israel, in cutting its losses, <u>stopped</u> arming and funding rebel groups that reports disclosed they were secretly supporting for years. Like its Zionist ally, the US too has decided to cut its losses and not further rock the boat between itself and Turkey.

Military failures and excessive expenditures have made direct war campaigns highly unpopular for the US following Iraq and Afghanistan. Since then, the US's strategy post-war on terror has been to pursue more covert strategies.

These have been carried out through proxy wars, support of Western-backed rebel groups, media <u>censorship and manipulation</u>, and maintaining or increasing support and funding to NATO and GCC allies and Israel.

The US has supported the YPG in hopes of utilizing the separatist faction as a proxy and reliable alternative to other rebel groups, helping it gain territory and grounding against ISIS in Syria. This has put it in conflict with Turkey, who considers the YPG a "terrorist" group. While the US has more faith and assurance in the group as a reliable and long-term proxy ally, Turkey has long harbored deep antagonisms to the groups separatist ambitions.

The disagreement has prompted <u>a deal</u> by Turkey demanding that the US withdraw SDF (Syrian Democratic Forces) presence, an alliance led by the YPG, from the Manbij by the end of 2018.

Both the US and Turkey hope that the tides will turn in NATO favor, Turkey plans to launch an <u>operation</u> against the Kurdish group soon, forestalling a complete territorial liberation and victory for Syria, and expected to prolong at least some US military presence in the country.

The second condition for US presence in the country, aside from the pretext of ISIS activity, is the stronger subtext of countering Iran's allies.

Meanwhile, the ceasefire over Yemen has garnered similar reactions that applaud the US for supposedly pulling back on its campaigns of violence in yet another part of the world.

When the US Senate voted last week to end US support of the war in Yemen, passing 56 to 41, a buried provision of the House and Rules Committee's "Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 would block any vote or further discussion of the US involvement in the war in Yemen.

"6. Section 2 provides the provisions of section 7 of the War Powers Resolution (50 U.S.C. 1546) shall not apply during the remainder of the One Hundred Fifteenth Congress to a concurrent resolution introduced pursuant to section 5 of the War Powers Resolution (50 U.S.C. 1544) with respect to Yemen."

On Thursday, President Trump signed the bill into law.

115th Congress 2d Session

H. Res.

Conference Report to accompany H.R. 2 - Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018

- 1. Provides for consideration of the Conference Report to accompany H.R. 2.
- Waives all points of order against the conference report and against its consideration.
- 3. Provides that the conference report shall be considered as read.
- Provides that the previous question shall be considered as ordered without intervention of any motion except one hour of debate and one motion to recommit if applicable.
- Debate on the conference report is divided pursuant to clause 8(d) of rul XXII.
- Section 2 provides the provisions of section 7 of the War Powers
 Resolution (50 U.S.C. 1546) shall not apply during the remainder of the
 One Hundred Fifteenth Congress to a concurrent resolution introduced
 pursuant to section 5 of the War Powers Resolution (50 U.S.C. 1544) with
 respect to Yemen.

RESOLUTION

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider the conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 2) to provide for the reform and continuation of agricultural and other programs of the Department of Agriculture through fiscal year 2023, and for other purposes. All points of order against the conference report and against its consideration are waived. The conference report shall be considered as read. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the conference report to its adoption without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate; and (2) one motion to recommit if applicable.

Sec. 2. The provisions of section 7 of the War Powers Resolution (50

The US push for a UN brokered ceasefire in Yemen, like its withdrawal in Syria, comes after 4 years of incurred losses by the US-backed Saudi coalition after a devastating siege and blockade beginning in March 2015.

The ceasefire is pushed amidst continued aggression against Ansarullah forces in the past few days, including the US-Saudi launch of warplane raids against the Sana'a international airport.

Attempts at holding to the ceasefire continue to be impeded <u>diplomatically</u> and militarily by Saudi Arabia and the US, continuously reneging upon the agreement that it only hopes will disarm Yemeni forces, and thus, wage an easier final offensive against.

The U.S. and Saudi Arabia also hope to malign the terms of the truce to specify condemnation of Iran as allegedly playing a role in prolonging the conflict. The draft resolution, under US pressure, now condemns both Iranian support and "unmanned aerial vehicle attacks by the Houthis against neighbouring countries."

Russia has rejected the draft resolution on these terms.

Despite the language, the Yemeni popular forces agreed to respect the terms of the ceasefire, responding only to foil the aggression launched by the Western supported Gulf coalition.

Yahya Saree, brigadier of the Yemeni armed forces, <u>said that</u> the Yemeni army and popular committees are "committed to the cease-fire in Hodeidah," complicated by the recurrent US and Saudi violations.

The army spokesman added that more than mortar shells have been fired at Hodeidah's residential areas in <u>violation of</u> the ceasefire, including the US-Saudi launch of 26 airstrikes across various locations in 24 hours.

Meanwhile, the US has refocused its efforts on both confronting Iran directly via soft power through sanctions and dealing with Israeli pressure to take action against Hezbollah in Lebanon.

Last week, the US rejected Israel's request to sanction Lebanon after the Zionist state began operations to destroy cross border tunnels on its side off the border.

Though Saudi Arabia and Israel have been intensely pressuring Lebanon to target Hezbollah in Lebanon, the US is reluctant to take further action, as a supplier of foreign and military aid to the country and its armed forces.

On Tuesday, the US expressed its 'deep concerns" over its supposed growing political as well as military influence, condemning its allies in Lebanon's government for giving it "cover" and "a veer of legitimacy."

Across the Middle East, the US is reluctant to expend much more effort in direct involvement or combat-yet it does not signal willingness to stop its support of its allies in the region.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Julia Kassem</u>, Global Research, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Julia Kassem

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca