

The Russian US Election Hacking Big Lie Got Bigger

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, June 15, 2017

Region: [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Law and Justice](#)

In-depth Report: [FAKE INTELLIGENCE, U.S. Elections](#)

According to [Bloomberg News](#), “Russian Cyber Hacks on (the) US electoral system (are) far greater than previously known.”

A Big Lie – utter rubbish! The CIA, and likely NSA and FBI, can cyberattack targets anywhere, making it appear to have originated elsewhere – outside America from any other designated country.

Despite months of allegations, insinuations and accusations, not a shred of evidence suggests any Russian hacking of America’s November 2016 election or any other US target.

Claims otherwise are Big Lies. Repeated enough gets most people to believe them.

Bloomberg:

“Russia’s cyberattack on the US electoral system before Donald Trump’s election was far more widespread than has been publicly revealed, including incursions into voter databases and software systems in almost twice as many states as previously reported.”

Investigators in Illinois said “cyber intruders tried to delete or alter voter data,” according to Bloomberg – presenting no evidence of Russian involvement in what may or may not have happened, just more baseless claims with nothing verifying them.

In December, Jill Stein’s Wisconsin, Michigan and Pennsylvania electoral recount scam ended with her discredited.

In ruling against her, Pennsylvania US District Court Judge Paul Diamond said her hacked election claim “border(ed) on the irrational.”

“(T)here is no credible evidence that any ‘hack’ occurred, and compelling evidence (shows) Pennsylvania’s voting system was not in any way compromised.” Strong stuff!

Stein struck out the same way in Wisconsin and Michigan. No evidence suggests foreign hacking in any of America’s 50 states.

Not according to sources Bloomberg cited, claiming

“(d)etails of the wave of attacks, in the summer and fall of 2016, were provided by three people with direct knowledge of the US investigation into the matter. In all, the Russian hackers hit systems in a total of 39 states, one of them said.”

Where’s the evidence? None was presented, just accusations with nothing backing them. Bloomberg cited the dubious Intercept report as proof of Russian hacking.

A [previous article](#) debunked what it called a leaked top secret NSA document, claiming without justification that

“Russian military intelligence executed a cyberattack on at least one US voting software supplier and sent spear-phishing emails to more than 100 local election officials just days before last November’s presidential election.”

It said the document obtained was anonymously provided, “independently authenticated,” though lacking “ ‘raw’ intelligence on which” NSA claims were made.

An unnamed “US intelligence officer” cautioned about drawing conclusions from its material. Here’s a sample:

“Russian General Staff Main Intelligence Directorate actors...executed cyber espionage operations against a named US company in August 2016, evidently to obtain information on elections-related software and hardware solutions.”

“The actors likely used data obtained from that operation to...launch a voter registration-themed spear-phishing campaign targeting US local government organizations.”

Where’s the evidence? Claims without it are groundless. Besides, what motive could Russia have to hack America’s presidential election.

Duopoly government with two right wings rules, dirty business as usual winning all US elections. Russia and other countries have no ability to change things.

Attempting to hack America’s election system would be an exercise in futility - accomplishing nothing.

In Senate Intelligence Committee testimony, Comey repeated the Big Lie about Russian US election hacking, adding:

“They’re coming after America. They will be back.”

Most significant from his testimony was admitting he unethically and maybe illegally leaked government memos about Trump to The NYT.

If government property,

“a claim for criminal conduct could be made but it would be unlikely under existing precedent,” Law Professor Jonathan Turley explained.

"However, that does not mean that (his) conduct was either lawful or professional," he added.

"(O)thers have been punished for releasing non-public information to the media...Comey and the FBI were tasked with finding" administration leakers. He's one of them, discrediting him more than already.

As for claims about Russian US election hacking or anything else in America, ignore them.

Without proof, they're baseless, part of longstanding Russia bashing – solely for its sovereign independence and opposition to US imperial lawlessness.

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: How the US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com.

Featured image: NOVA Next

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2017

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."
<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants

permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca