

The 'Rebel' Assassination of Muammar Gaddafi: a NATO Operation from A to Z

By [Martin Iqbal](#)

Global Research, October 22, 2011
22 October 2011

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)
Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)



Muammar Gaddafi – revolutionary leader of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya – was assassinated on Thursday 20 October, 2011, in the Libyan city of Sirte. The precise circumstances surrounding his death have been clouded with mystery and contradicting reports, but the media consensus is that NATO's 'rebel' stooges captured and killed him. This has lent the unelected and universally despised NTC occupation government a decisive propaganda victory in the war on Libya. However, a picture is emerging as to the actual circumstances of his death, one that puts NATO special forces – likely the British SAS – in the centre of the frame.

SAS squads hunting Gaddafi for weeks

NATO special forces including the British SAS have been [on the ground in Libya since February](#) – long before the beginning of the Orwellian 'no-fly zone'. These forces set up bases in Libya from which they trained and directed the poorly-trained 'rebel' mercenaries being used as pawns to overthrow Gaddafi. The Libya war would not have been possible without the presence of these special forces. NATO [airstrikes have been coordinated by these operatives](#) on the ground. Further to this, the incredibly inept 'rebels' have proven themselves utterly incapable of achieving and holding a single military or strategic victory against the overwhelming size and breadth of the indigenous Green Libyan Resistance. Operation Mermaid Dawn, coordinated and [overtly carried out by Western special forces and soldiers](#), was an indication of the sheer ineptitude of the tribalists, terrorists and extremists fighting for NATO as 'Libyan rebels'.

After Operation Mermaid Dawn in August, British SAS soldiers, [dressed in civilian Arab garb and carrying the same weaponry as the 'rebels'](#), refocused their efforts towards hunting down Muammar Gaddafi. Furthermore, the British media was [replete with reports of this](#)

[special forces activity on Libyan soil.](#)

A matter of days ago on Thursday 20 October, 2011, NATO's war on Libya culminated in the assassination of Muammar Gaddafi. As could have been predicted for a war replete with brazen psychological warfare, the 'official' story was that the 'rebel' forces had captured Gaddafi cowering in a sewage pipe, and he subsequently died in their custody. This story was betrayed by the fact that NATO themselves admitted to bombing the revolutionary leader's convoy as it was travelling in the Sirte area on that morning. U.S. officials [confirmed that an American Predator drone fired on the convoy](#), as did French aircraft. In reality it is not justifiable to claim a 'rebel' victory here, when NATO bombs were instrumental to Gaddafi's capture, as they were to the entire war.

Knowing that NATO had targeted Gaddafi's convoy, and knowing that the British SAS had been hunting him for weeks, a logical person will deduce that NATO would have been tracking the convoy during and after the strike, and an SAS squad would have been rapidly sent to the location.

This theory is bolstered by a [recent report](#) from the well-connected Israeli intelligence outfit DEBKAfile. In a report titled *'After helping to kill Qaddafi, NATO prepares to end Libya mission'*, DEBKA reveals that its military sources indicate Gaddafi was captured and shot by NATO special forces:

DEBKAfile's military sources report mounting indications that a NATO special forces unit – although of which nation is unknown – located and captured Muammar Qaddafi in the Sirte area.

They apparently shot him in both legs to prevent his escape and informed a Misrata militia of his whereabouts, knowing they would kill him in view of the town's long reckoning with the former Libyan ruler. NATO was guided by two considerations: First not to comprise the presence of ground troops in the battle zone in breach of the alliance's UN mandate; and second, to give the Libyan rebels a psychological victory – especially after they failed in battle to capture Qaddafi's home town of Sirte."

Qatari special forces are known to have a long relationship with the British SAS, [dating back 20 years](#). Qatari special forces were [involved in Operation Mermaid Dawn](#). NATO's inclusion of Qatari forces allows the occupying forces to: a) minimise the chance of Western casualties and the resulting political fallout, and b) more easily impersonate indigenous Arab Libyan fighters.

In light of the known SAS involvement in coordinating airstrikes and hunting Gaddafi – in addition to DEBKA's report – it is highly likely that British special forces (or Qataris led by the British) captured Gaddafi and handed him over to the occupation 'rebel' forces after callously shooting him to prevent escape and ensure his eventual death.

The media consensus however paints an entirely false picture of a 'rebel' victory. These occupation stooges have been unable to hold a single city without NATO's bombs, bullets and hellfire missiles first razing everything in their path. Every single decisive event in the war on Libya has been achieved by NATO while being fraudulently attributed to this group of witless, power-hungry rats. Even the ultimate 'victory' of capturing and murdering Muammar Gaddafi, was handed to them on a plate by foreign forces – the real face behind

the so-called Libyan 'uprising'.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Martin Iqbal](#), Global Research, 2011

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Martin Iqbal](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca