

The Moral Decoding of 9-11: Beyond the U.S. Criminal State, The Grand Plan for a New World Order

Part I

By [Prof. John McMurtry](#)

Global Research, May 29, 2018

[Journal of 9/11 Studies](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#), [History](#),
[Terrorism](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

We bring to the consideration of our readers this incisive and carefully formulated analysis by Canada's renowned philosopher Professor John McMurtry.

The complete text published by [the Journal of 9/11 Studies can be downloaded in pdf](#).

This article was first published by Global Research in February 2013

* * *

I was sceptical of the 9-11 event from the first time I saw it on television. It was on every major network within minutes. All the guilty parties were declared before any evidence was shown. The first questions of any criminal investigation were erased. Who had the most compelling motives for the event? Who had the means to turn two central iconic buildings in New York into a pile of steel and a cloud of dust in seconds? [\[i\]](#)

Other questions soon arose in the aftermath. Why was all the evidence at the crime scenes removed or confiscated?

Who was behind the continuous false information and non-stop repetition of "foreign/Arab terrorists" when no proof of guilt existed? Who was blocking all independent inquiry?

Even 11 years on these questions are still not answered.

But those immediately named guilty without any forensic proof certainly fitted the need for a plausible Enemy now that the "threat of the Soviet Union" and "communist world rule" were dead. How else could the billion-dollar-a-day military be justified with no peace dividend amidst a corporately hollowed-out U.S. economy entering its long-term slide? While all the media and most of the people asserted the official 9-11 conspiracy theory as given fact, not all did.

A Bay Street broker with whom I was improbably discussing the event in Cuba had no problem recognising the value meaning. When I asked what he thought about the official conspiracy theory, he was frank:

"You can call it what you want, but America needs a war to pull the people together and expand into new resource rich areas. That what it has always done from Mexico on. And that

is what it needs now". When I wondered why none in the know said so, he smirked: "It would be impolite", adding, "It affects the entire future prosperity of America and the West". And all the deaths? "It had to be done -far less than it could have been". The 19 Arabs with box-cutters reducing the World Trade Center buildings to powder in a few seconds? He shrugged.

Thus everyone since 9-11 is prohibited nail-clippers on planes to confirm the absurd - including 15 of the 19 alleged hijackers being from Saudi Arabia and several apparently still alive after crashing the planes into the buildings.^[ii] As for the diabolical mastermind Osama bin Laden, he is never linked by credible evidence to the crime and never claims responsibility for the strike since the videos of him are fakes. "Ground Zero" is a double entendre. All doubts are erased apriori.

Decoding the U.S. Theater of Wars and the Moral Driver Behind

One already knew that suspension of belief is the first act of fiction, and that instant culture rules the U.S. One already knew that monster technical events are America's stock in trade. And one already knew the long history of false U.S. pretexts for war - so well established that a young strategic thinker a decade after 9-11 advises the right-wing Washington Policy Institute on how to create a crisis by deadly planned incident to make war on Iran - "it is the traditional way of getting into war for what is best in America's interests".^[iii]



One further knew from past research that the U.S.'s strategic leadership since 1945 had been Nazi-based in information and connections and the dominant Central-European figures articulating it ever after across Democrat and Republican lines have a common cause. For over 40 years, Henry Kissinger as Republican and Zbigniew Brzezinski as Democrat have been protégés of David Rockefeller, selected as Trilateral Commission and Bilderberg Group leaders, and capable of any mass-homicidal plan to advance "U.S. interests". The banker-and-oil imperial line through David Rockefeller as paradigm case goes back to the Nazi period to John Foster Dulles (an in-law) and his brother Allen Dulles (OSS and then CIA Director), who Supreme Court Justice Arthur Goldberg called "traitors" for their support of the Nazi regime. The Rockefeller Foundation funded and developed German eugenics programs in the pre-war years, Standard Oil supplied oil in collaboration with I.G. Farben, and so on.^[iv]

The supreme moral goal and strategic methods governing U.S. covert-state performance have not only have been very similar in moral principle, but have deeply connected

Rockefeller protégés Kissinger and Brzezinski, and more deeply still the theoretical godfather of U.S. covert state policy, Leo Strauss, who was funded out of Germany by David Rockefeller from the start.

The inner logic of covert and not-so-covert U.S. corporate world rule since 1945 unified under Wall Street financial management and transnational corporate treaties for unhindered control of commodities and money capital flows across all borders is undeniable if seldom tracked. This architecture of the grand plan for a New World Order is evident in both strategic policy and global political and armed action over decades that have seen the objectives increasingly fulfilled with constructed deadly crises as pretexts for war the standard technique.[\[v\]](#) Behind them as first post-Nazi historical turn lies the 1947 National Security Act (NSA) which created the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and explicitly licenses destruction of life, truth and other societies as institutional methods.

The CIA is charged with designing, planning and executing “propaganda, economic war, direct preventive action, sabotage, anti-sabotage, destruction, subversion against hostile States, assistance to clandestine liberation movements, guerrilla murders, assistance to indigenous groups opposed to the enemy countries of the free world”. The linkage back to Nazi methods and world-rule goal as the highest moral objective is not just one of corresponding ultimate principles and strategic policy formation. It relied on Nazi SS intelligence sources and means from the beginning of the covert terror state.[\[vi\]](#)

There is no heinous means that is not assumed as the highest morality by this long-standing covert institutional formation linking to the presidential office. It is an explicitly secret system involving at least the Defense Department and the CIA, the former with many more operatives and offices.

The Special Activities Division (SAD) to carry out NSA criminal operations, for example, also confers the highest honors awarded in recognition of distinguished valor and excellence – as did the earlier SS prototype in Germany. What people find difficult to recognise is that these actions, whether by the SAD or other system operations, are conceived as the highest duty, however life-system destructive and mass murderous they are. All participants are super patriots in their own view, as were the Nazis. Contradiction between declared and actual values, however, is a central mode of the covert system. For example, what can be considered a high duty in the perpetual U.S. “war on drugs”, the most morally obligatory commitment of the U.S. state, is at the same time a war against and with other drug operations to transport illegal hard drugs into the U.S. itself.[\[vii\]](#)

We might see here a parallel between foreign mass murder and domestic mass murder in 9-11, with both regarded as high patriotism in this supreme morality. In the background of America’s Reichstag Fire and likewise disclosing the unlimited geo-strategic action that can be operationalized as necessary and good, the post-1945 U.S. control of international sea-lanes made the covert U.S. state the world’s dominant narcotics controller so as to fund secret criminal war actions from South-East Asia to Latin America, entailing the addiction of its own peoples.[\[viii\]](#) This woeful method has been long known by experts, but came to be public knowledge in the Reagan-state funding of the death-squad Contras of Nicaragua as “the moral equal of our Founding Fathers” (a tribute he is said to have given later to the drug-running warlords and jihadists of Afghanistan).

These moral contradictions seem insane, but this is so only if one does not comprehend the underlying supreme morality of which they are all expressions.

Even U.S.-sponsored death squads torturing and killing tens of thousands of poor people across Latin America before 2000 and their return as direct covert U.S.-state method from Iraq to Syria after 9-11 – called “the Salvador option”[\[ix\]](#) – is regarded as necessary and obligatory to “defend the Free World and our way of life”. They entail ever more total U.S. world rule and self-maximizing position by strategic deduction from the supreme morality’s first premises.

The covert nature of the mass-murderous operationalization is never from moral embarrassment. It is solely to ensure effectiveness of execution against “soft” and “uninformed” public opinion, to terrorize people in situ from continued resistance, and to annihilate its leadership and community agency all the way down. Throughout the deciding moments of execution of the underlying supreme value program, global corporate money demand multiplication is always the ultimate value driver -as may be tested by seeking any covert U.S. action or overt war which is not so regulated beneath saturating propaganda of lawful intentions of peace and freedom.

These lines of underlying moral institution, policy, strategic plan, and massive life destruction at every level are indisputable facts of the covert and official faces of the U.S. state, but are typically not connected to the September 11, 2001 attack. Since most people cannot believe their own government or the “leader of the free world” could execute such a sabotage action as “9-11” in which thousands of American themselves died, these behavioral reminders forge the unifying meaning.

Worse still occurred in the last “war” before 9-11. In the background providing graphic example of how the covert U.S. state apparatus is structured to attack and murder U.S. citizens themselves to strategically maximize implementation of its supreme value program of transnational corporate money sequences over all barriers, there is the now known Operation Northwoods. Very familiar to the 9-11 truth movement, but unpublicized since its release under freedom of information laws, this Department of Defense and Joint Chiefs of Staff plan proposed that the CIA and other operatives covert operatives “undertake a range of atrocities” to be blamed on Cuba to provide pretext for invasion.

“Innocent civilians were to be shot on American streets; boats carrying refugees fleeing Cuba were to be sunk on the high seas; a wave of violent terrorism was to be launched in Washington DC, Miami and elsewhere. People would be framed for bombings they did commit; planes would be hijacked”.[\[x\]](#)

All would be blamed on Castro the Communist in place of bin Laden the Islamicist, and invasion of desired resistant territory would be achieved as a triumph of American freedom and interests over its enemies.



Operation Northwoods was not, however, okayed by President Kennedy – perhaps another reason for his assassination and replacement by more pliant presidents to represent “America’s interests” in accord with the supreme morality. Underneath the stolen election of George Bush Jr. in contrast – whose family made its money, in part, by serving the covert financial requirements of the Nazi regime before and during the 1939-45 War – was a domestic and foreign administration which would push further than any in the past to advance “U.S. interests” to full-spectrum world rule. Its project included reversing the Roosevelt New Deal and the social state within the U.S. itself – “an anomaly” as Bush Jr. expressed the historical perspective and ethic at work.



This plan was more explicit in the published Project for the New American Century formed from 1997 on. It even supplied the need for a 9-11 event in its 2000 version, the year that Bush Jr. was elected and the year before 9-11. To indicate the “non-partisan” nature of the planning, Democrat National security Adviser Zbigniew Brzezinski had already hinted at the usefulness of a 9-11-style domestic attack to move policy forward in his 1998 book, *The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*.[\[xi\]](#)

The Moral Compass of 9-11

As a moral philosopher with *social value systems as my primary object of analysis*, my first thoughts in understanding “9-11” were of the system motives, known methods, and objective interests driving the event which could coherently *explain it*. Whatever the immediate hold of the official conspiracy theory on the public mind, a rational explanation is required which is consistent with the suppressed facts and the organising geo-strategic plan on both sides of the event.

For over a decade before 9-11, there were three U.S.-propelled global trends that almost never come into the understanding of 9-11 itself. 9-11 truth seekers themselves have focused on the foreground technics and the transparent motive for oil. But these are undergirded by deeper sea-shifts of geopolitical and economic wars of seizure and destruction by other name against which the world’s people were rising. To compel books of analysis into one unifying frame, transnational corporate-rights treaties from NAFTA to the Maastricht Treaty to the WTO overrode all other rights across borders; the private

“financialization”stripping of social sectors and welfare states had advanced across the world; and the totalizing movement of the system across all former “cold war” and cultural borders was “the new world order” in formation. Together these vast shifts towards transnational money-sequence rule of all reversed centuries of democratic evolution. And every step of the supreme value program was life blind at every step of its global operationalization.[\[xii\]](#)

Yet states and cultures were so sweepingly re-set into unaccountable transnational corporate and bank rule that few recognised the absolutist value program being imposed on the world. Fewer still recognised all was unfolding according to plan.

What has been least appreciated about the long-term strategic plan unfolding on both sides of what was immediately called “9-11” – CallEmergency!–is that supreme banker and global money director David Rockefeller had summarized “the plan” to fellow money-party elites across borders at the Bilderberg meeting in Baden Baden Germany in June 1991 -exactly at the same time that the Soviet Union and its resistant barriers fell.[\[xiii\]](#) Bear in mind that Rockefeller among other initiatives appointed both Kissinger and Brzezinski for the lead in both the supranational Bilderberg and Trilateral strategic bodies of which he was the lead patron, not to mention financed the unemployed academic Leo Strauss out of Germany to be the godfather “philosopher” of the “new world order”. Rockefeller speaks very precisely to his fellow “elite of the elite” of the Western world where only Americans and Europe are invited and reportage excluded:

“A supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries”, Rockefeller said.[\[xiv\]](#)

Observe the foundational new concepts in place of responsible government and democratic accountability. They are now consigned to “past centuries”. A “*supranational sovereignty*”has replaced them and is morally“preferable”. Rockefeller is not exaggerating. By 1991 a “supranational sovereignty” had already developed in the form of transnational treaties conferring override rights of “profit opportunity” on transnational corporations and private bank rule of government finances across borders – procedurally trumping any elected legislatures and their laws which are inconsistent with their thousands of treaty articles, even when the system eventually leads to world depression as now.[\[xv\]](#) The source of the legitimacy of governments, ultimate *sovereignty*, has now passed as preferable to “an intellectual elite and bankers”: more exactly, academic strategy servants and transnational money sequences overriding all human and planetary life requirements a-priori by the supreme moral goal.

Ask which function of the world’s people and means of life is not now in debt to Wall Street and the private global banking system it leads. Ask which means of life from food and water to autos and pension cheques is not thus ultimately controlled, or which commodity is not under oligopolist corporate sway. The “surely preferable” objective was already achieved by 1991 or in advanced global institutional motion. Now supreme over all else so that all else is now accountable to it, and it is not accountable to anything above it, “the plan”seemed all but accomplished by Rockefeller’s own considered words.



But what if people resist the new world rule with no life coordinate or constraint at any level of its execution? We may recall that during the death-squad rule of the Argentina generals at this time in which civilians were murdered and tortured in the thousands, National Security Adviser Kissinger congratulated the junta on their “very good results – – The quicker you succeed the better.” Kissinger also heartily approved of the earlier massacres and torture in Chile.

The resistance was in this way pre-empted long before the Soviet Union fell, and after 1990 had no block in the Middle East and Central Asia either. “The plan” has been very long term. Kissinger the geo-executer was originally appointed to high office by Rockefeller (to lead the Council on Foreign Relations back in 1954), and – to give a sense of the long-range trajectory of the plan design – was, incredibly, the U.S. administration’s first choice for an “independent 9-11 Commission”. The obviously not-independent Kissinger was still not a problem for “the free press” and official discourse. But when he was required to disclose his business connections, he withdrew to stay covert in his ongoing backroom capacities and enrichment.

The 9-11 sacrifice is better understood within the deep-structural context of the unfolding plan. Thus David Rockefeller gave special thanks to media like “the *New York Times*, *Washington Post*, *Time Magazine* and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion” in co-operating with the plan. Rockefeller was again precise:

This plan for the world would have been impossible for us to develop if we had been subjected to the light of publicity during those years. [\[xvi\]](#)



The plan’s next decisive steps were in fact already in motion as Rockefeller expressed gratitude for the media black-out. A new strategic manifesto from the Pentagon was in preparation entitled “Defense Planning Guidance on Post-Cold- War Strategy,” completed on February 18, 1992. [\[xvii\]](#) Prepared under the supervision of Paul Wolfowitz, then the Pentagon’s Undersecretary for Policy, it was disclosed in March of 1992 by the *New York Times*. After the first invasion of Iraq, it became

known as the Project for the New American Century, publicly released from 1997 to 2000 prior to 9-11.

Again we may note the long arc of planning control, crisis and war as required. Item 6 of the strategic plan defined the agenda in general terms: "In the Middle East and Southwest Asia, our overall objective is to remain the predominant power in the region and preserve U.S. and western access to the region's oil."

Oil-rich Iraq had in fact been invaded – not only to privatize its peerlessly high-quality surface oilfields but to destroy its region-leading socialist infrastructure. Iraq became accessible for invasion as the arms-bankrupted Soviet Union was in collapse. We may observe that the covertly genocidal destruction of Iraq bridged Republican and Democrat administrations over three changes of government – disclosing how the covert state operates as a moral constant across party fronts.

The actions confirm and express the one supreme moral goal identified above. They bridge from Saddam himself as CIA-payroll killer and war proxy against Iran to recapture lost Iran oilfields dating from 1980 to 1988 to the fall of the USSR in 1991 as the axis of the long-term strategic plan of global turnaround to "America's century" still to come before and after 9-11. But between 1990 and 2003 Saddam was transmuted from former ally to aggressor against Kuwait in an invasion given an official green light from the U.S. government, to "mushroom cloud" threat with invented "weapons of mass destruction".

In fact, National Security Adviser Wolfowitz explained after the invasion found nothing of the kind: "[We had] virtually no economic options with Iraq because the country floats on a sea of oil."

Observe how the invasion is conceived as obligatory for a reason that expresses the supreme value goal. Observe that it occurs less than two years after 9-11, which gave the open-cheque justification for the bombing and occupation which allowed the expropriation of Iraq's society's oil resources.

The problem was not the evil Saddam or the "weapons of mass destruction", the standard reverse projection.^[xviii] The problem was the Iraqi people themselves and their developed oil-funded social life infrastructure *between* the supreme oil-fields and their U.S. corporate control and privatization. 9-11 was, thus, first the justification for invading Afghanistan – to clear the way for pipelines into the former Soviet republics from the Caspian Sea region – pipelines that prompted the U.S. representative to predictively warn the Taliban: "Either you accept our offer of a *carpet of gold*, or we bury you under a *carpet of bombs*."^[xix] 9-11 was then the necessary basis of justification for the bombing of Baghdad for the unifying supreme objective.

In fact, seldom published in the corporate media keeping the glare of publicity away from the supreme moral objective, the publicly owned and managed oil revenues of Iraq had been invested since the 1950's in Iraq's advanced social infrastructure, leading the Middle East with free higher education, high health standards, and near universal livelihood security. The world's oldest civilisation was robust in organisational capacities long before the CIA-asset Saddam was installed.

Despite his murdering his way to the top in this function, even Saddam could not destroy the system because socialist government had been achieved decades earlier by a powerful

oil-workers' union base and a population glad to have all education free, an efficient low-cost foods delivery system, and the most advanced public healthcare system in the Middle East. So there was not only the "sea of oil" as a motive to assert U.S. control in the new "supranational sovereignty" of the world. Just as important in this ultimate moral cause, what the U.S. covert state always seeks to destroy by any means, is a successful social infrastructure without private big oil, bankers and transnational corporations free to control it towards higher profit opportunities.

Unravelling the Supreme Moral Doctrine behind the U.S. Covert State

✖ The genocide of Iraq, as the long-opposing "evil empire" was in free-fall, is the most important strategic anchoring prior to "9-11". Covert strategic policy to forward the supreme goal is by now self-evident, but the inner moral logic is assumed not penetrated. The most influential of Rockefeller's protégés in this regard is the "philosopher king" of the U.S. covert state, Leo Strauss. While he never worked in a philosophy department or has any training in logic, his concept of "natural right" fits exactly to the "supranational sovereignty" of private money-sequence rule of the world – what "the intellectual elite" Rockefeller refers to invoke as "moral anchor", "right" and "justice".

The moral thought system is not unlike that of *Mein Kampf* without the racist rant, camouflaged everywhere in practice by the method of big lies – "noble lies" as Strauss exalts them.^[xx] The innermost value driver is a perpetual war of dispossession of the weaker for the private transnational money-capital multiplication of the rich.

Nothing in this doctrine is too mendacious, greed-crazed and murderous if it fulfills the plan of this limitless private-capital rule as ultimate moral ground and compass. In Strauss's canonical teaching of U.S. national security advisers and intellectual following, the ruling moral absolute is expressed by the core master idea behind the "supranational sovereignty" of an "intellectual elite and bankers":

"limitless capital accumulation – — the highest right and moral duty".^[xxi]

This is the ethical absolute of the covert U.S. state and its strategic decision structure. And there is *no* internal limit within this moral universe to life means seizure from poorer societies and resource looting for the supreme goal. It is the natural and absolute Good.

To justify its meaning, the Straussian canon adopts a potted reading of Western moral and political philosophy from Plato through Hobbes, Hegel, Nietzsche, Marx and Weber. This impresses American political operatives of the faith, but Strauss is a failed philosopher turned down by Paul Tillich for his post-doctoral *Habilitation* and only saved from academic ruin in Germany by Rockefeller grant money. While not taken seriously as philosophy anywhere else, it is worth decoding its talmudic involution for the borrowed ideas that drive its covert state disciples and neo-fascist public "intellectuals" in America.

The ultimately organising idea is to commend *all forms of conquering and limitlessly expanding private capital as "natural right and law"* with genocidal subjugations justified in glowing moral terms. For example, "noble lies" is the moral category for limitless mendacity. One may wonder how educated people can be so bent out of moral shape. So I now concisely provide what cannot be found elsewhere: the inner logic of the supreme doctrine as perversions of great thinkers.

Its framework of meaning and value helps us to understand why the 9-11 event could easily follow for the managers of the covert U.S. state and its Straussian planners as not at all anomalous or evil within their moral logic. 9-11 follows as a maximally rational and unique tool to achieve the objectives in fact achieved by 9-11, and the geo-strategic cabal behind it is servilely linked from the beginning to the dominant private transnational corporate and banking interests exemplified by David Rockefeller.

To understand this brutal moral universe and its connection to 9-11, the 9-11 wars and a globalizing police state, we need to understand the deformations of its basic organising ideas. Plato's idea of "the noble lie" means, in fact, a myth or parable to communicate an underlying truth about the triadic human soul of reason, spirit and appetite which, Plato argues, should be reflected in the construction of the ideal state (in which the rulers are communist in their common property to keep them uncorrupted and true).

But through the prism of U.S. global money-party rule à la Strauss this idea becomes the principle of lying to the public to keep the vulgar herd – the people themselves – ignorant and obedient. The philosophies of Hobbes and Hegel are also grist for this mill. Hobbes argues that "man is moved by a restless desire for power after power that ceaseth only in death", but this brute desire in the "State of Nature" is tamed by "the covenant of peace" ordered by the internal sovereign as absolute.

Via Strauss and the U.S. covert state this becomes right is might and the ultimate "natural right" is limitless private capital power and empire with no end of totalization across the peoples and lands of the world. Hegel too suits a fascist-capitalist reading since he argues "the State is the march of God through the world", and war itself is history's test of which State is a higher realisation of "the absolute Idea". But Hegel still envisaged a "universal state" to supersede the competitive private-property division of capitalism in the "universalization of right and law on earth".

Once again U.S. private money-capital power with no bound, the supreme moral goal in the Rockefeller-Strauss doctrine, is opposite to the classical philosophy it invokes. Once more dialectical development of reason to more coherently inclusive conception and life is reversed into one-way private money capital sequences maximized to rule the world with the U.S. military as its instrument of force and terror.

However it conceals its meaning, all positions come down to this underlying value code – as may be tested on whatever transnational money-sequence demand, right or war is launched next. 9-11 construction in such a moral world does not violate this value code. It expresses it in self-maximizing strategic turn to achieve the ultimate goal.

Friedrich Nietzsche may provide the best fodder for the doctrine when he advises that "life is essentially appropriation, injury, overpowering of what is alien and weaker, imposing of one's own forms, and at its mildest exploitation" in his superman vision of "beyond good and evil". For philosophical Nietzscheans, this is code for the inner meaning of the angst of artistic creation. But this meaning is predictably lost on the U.S. covert-state school seeking the "supranational sovereignty" of "limitless capital accumulation" as the supreme good with the "intellectual elite" as servants to it. Karl Marx's link of capitalism's success to productive force development is the ultimate equivocation upon which this ruling doctrine depends – making no distinction between productive capital providing life goods and unproductive money sequencing hollowing out the world by money-capital multiplication. Marx, it must be acknowledged, did not make the distinction himself since this mutation of

capital came a century after his death.[\[xxii\]](#)

Finally Max Weber's *Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism* does not ground this doctrine of "limitless capital accumulation as the highest right and duty" with the state to serve it, as Strauss again torturously suggests. In fact, Weber deplores any such perversion of public authority. His capitalist model is a young Benjamin Franklin speaking of money saved and invested as like having "a breeding sow", not a transnational money-sequence juggernaut of eco-genocidal expansion. Revealingly, Benjamin Franklin and "the protestant ethic" in general were most concerned about *non-waste*, which Strauss explicitly excludes from the meaning of "limitless capital accumulation". For Leo Strauss and his U.S. "national security" disciples, the capitalist may waste as much as he wants by "natural right".

Further, in complete inversion of source, the greed worship of the U.S. state, its patrons and its academy disciples reverses the model of the "spirit of capitalism" exemplified by Benjamin Franklin in proprietary claim on knowledge and inventions. He, in fact, refused to patent his famous Franklin Stove because he believed that no innovation or new knowledge from which other people could benefit should be denied them – just as he himself had benefitted from the community of knowledge and science as the distinguishing feature of being a civilised human being.

In short, it is important to recognise how twisted the covertly ruling doctrine is. No element of it is life coherent or true to the classical thinkers in which it costumes itself. In the end, only the transnational U.S. money party has any place in its rights and obligations, and any sacrifice of other life to its supreme goal is legitimate – linking back to the Nazi-U.S. corporate axis that nearly destroyed the civilised world once before.[\[xxiii\]](#)

Money-Capital Power *UeberAlles*: How Economic Rationality Leads the Plan

The U.S. culture of money-sequence "rationality" is the underlying intellectual and moral disorder which leads to "limitless money capital accumulation" as the supreme moral goal. In formal terms, *the equation of rationality to atomic self-maximization is assumed a-priori* across domains. With globalizing Wall-Street-led "financialization", this "rationality" becomes equated to private money-sequence multiplication across all borders as the ultimate Good. This is the innermost mutation of value logic and goal, the moral DNA, from which the cancerous world system develops on both sides of 9-11.[\[xxiv\]](#)

This first principle itself is, in fact, built into formal economics, decision and game theory, and strategic science, as I explain step by step in "Behind Global System Collapse: The Life-Blind Structure of Economic Rationality."[\[xxv\]](#) It is axiomatic but unexamined, life-blindly absolutist but not recognised as morally problematic. To make a long story short, competitive self-maximization in the market is assumed to produce "the best of possible worlds" by mathematical proof. "Pareto efficiency" is believed to demonstrate this by private money exchanges between self-maximizing atoms *a priori* stripped of all life properties, relations, society, conditions of choice, and all natural and civil life support systems. Pareto himself recognised outside this formula what has since been covered up.

Not only is the formula consistent with most having remaining impoverished by the "optimum" of "no-one worse off", what none who cite "Pareto efficiency" as a standard academic mantra ever acknowledge or even recognise. Pareto himself is in no doubt of the implication. As the fascist party he belongs to rules Italy and Rockefeller creates the Council of Foreign Relations, he asserts with approval: "Very moral civilized people have destroyed

and continue to destroy, without the least scruple, savage or barbarian peoples”.[\[xxvi\]](#) We glimpse here at the roots the supreme morality built into “economic science” itself.

Yet, as demonstrated in “Behind Global System Collapse”, even the most liberal canons of America, including John Rawls’ classic *A Theory of Justice*, are grounded in the same meta principle.[\[xxvii\]](#) Rationality and value are *equated* to self-maximizing gain with no limit within game-theoretic interactions as the sole limiting framework of “limitless money capital acquisition”. The generic equation defines, indeed, the dominant intellectual and economic mind-set of America and the global system *in action* since 1980. The cabal internal to U.S. national security strategic planning follows the moral logic to its most radical conclusions with no constraints by life or law.

The one absolute moral meaning is the spread of U.S. economic, military and political power as good for all, or, more exactly in Straussian language, *limitless private transnational money-capital expansion as the highest right and moral duty*. Only what is consistent with or serves this supreme morality, it follows, deserves to exist. This is the alpha and omega of the covert doctrine and state, and careful reading can find no disconfirmation beneath the rhetoric of “noble lies”.

The Iraq Paradigm: Genocide Strategy From 1990 On

The Iraq line of the geostrategic plan from 1990 to 2001 and after is a paradigmatic articulation of the covertly ruling moral logic. It launches into the theatre of war as direct war attack when U.S. Ambassador to Iraq, April Glaspie, is instructed to green-light Saddam’s already known plan to invade Kuwait in 1990: “The US. has no opinion on your Arab-Arab conflicts, such as your dispute with Kuwait”, she advises. To formalize the lie as official and traditional, she reports: “Secretary Baker has directed me to emphasize the instruction, first given to Iraq in the 1960s, that the Kuwait issue is not associated with America”.[\[xxviii\]](#)



The dispute was, in fact, over Kuwait’s drawing out oil from reserves underlying Iraq as enabled by the colonial split of the oil-rich Kuwait province from Iraq – the classic divide-and-rule policy holding also in the division of oil-rich Kurdistan among four manufactured states. Saddam had good reason to trust the U.S., not only by the long-term official promise of neutrality but as blood-mix ally when he waged a U.S.-supported war of aggression against Iran – which still remains the target. Note the big lie to provoke the supreme crime of war has remained without any glare of publicity that might derail the plan.

When Saddam did exactly as planned by invading Kuwait, Bush Sr. raved about the Nazi-like aggression against a weaker country in the reverse projection that always defines the covert U.S. state before, through and after 9-11. So in the same name of “preventing aggression” U.S. “defense” forces invaded Iraq to destroy any life capacity it had to defend itself – always the strategy since the defeat in Vietnam. The genocide began by the massacre of many tens of thousands of fleeing soldiers. Recall the weeping young woman, the Kuwait

ambassador's daughter, planted next to baby incubators falsely claiming the monster Saddam had murdered the babies. This reverse projection was soon to be made real thousands of times over inside the victim society of Iraq.

Reverse projection of evil is the meta law of U.S. psy-ops propaganda in the deadly conflicts and wars it covertly starts. This is the supreme moral program in action as "noble lies". In this case, the air-bombing *after* surrender continued from U.S. and "special ally" Britain as "sanctions of Iraq" to "prevent aggression" – again the reverse projection. In fact the bombs continually fell on the water and electricity infrastructures of the defenceless people and against all lines of repair to restore either – "the line in the sand against Iraq aggression". We might bear in mind that Wolfowitz was Undersecretary of Defense under Secretary Cheney at this time, their positions not unlike those at the time of 9-11.

Air-bombing, as Bertrand Russell long ago pointed out, is inherently fascist in erasing the killed and maimed from sight while ensuring impunity for the bombers of defenceless people. But all such mass murder is only collateral damage to the supreme moral goal as "natural right and law". The air bombing of Iraq's water and electricity supplies dressed in one big lie after another continued in slow mass-murderous destruction of the people and their social life infrastructures years on end.



Denis Halliday, United Nations Humanitarian Coordinator for the mission finally called it "genocide" (Wikipedia calls it "the Persian Gulf War") when he resigned in 1998 to protest against "the crimes against humanity". But no-one knew until the U.S. Department of Defense Intelligence got out that the first sweep of Iraq was planned down to the mass killing of the infants and children. September 11 in 2001 is better understood in this wider context of strategic planning by the covert U.S. terror state. For years the non-stop bombing of the people's central life-water support system deliberately engineered mass dying from diseases of children in the hundreds of thousands.

What was predicted by Harvard Medical School researchers from the continuous civilian infrastructure bombing by the U.S. military – the deaths of over 500,000 children- was verified by the counts scientifically taken at the risk of researchers as the bombing continued month after month with NATO support.[\[xxix\]](#)

Full-spectrum corporate money-sequencing through Iraq under the Comprehensive Privatization Program would only be enabled by "9-11" down the road. But first the bases of advanced social life organization needed to be destroyed. The later-leaked U.S. Defense Intelligence document entitled "Iraq Water Treatment Vulnerabilities" expresses the moral DNA at work. I cite the key lines of U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency reports because they reveal the character of the supreme moral goal and its strategic planning. "With no domestic

sources of water treatment replacement or chemicals like chlorine”and “laden with biological pollutants and bacteria”, the leaked Defense Intelligence Agency report says (*italics added*), “epidemics of such diseases as cholera, hepatitis, and typhoid” will “probably take six months before the [drinking and sewage water] system is fully degraded”.

The document continues, *Conditions are favorable for communicable disease outbreaks* [by the one-way air bombing] with the “most likely diseases during next sixty-ninety days of diarrheal diseases (particularly children) acute respiratory diseases (colds and influenza); typhoid; hepatitis (particularly children); measles, diphtheria, and pertussis (particularly children); meningitis including meningococcal (particularly children), cholera”. “Medical Problems in Iraq”, dated March 15, 1991, reports that the “water is less than 5 percent of the original supply – – diarrhea is four times above normal levels – – Conditions in Baghdad remain favorable for disease outbreaks”. The fifth document in June reports “almost all medicines in critically short supply” and “Gastroenteritis killing children – – in the south, 80 percent of the deaths are children”.[\[xxx\]](#)

In short, no limit to covert U.S. planning of indiscriminate mass murder for the supreme goal exists. The number who died in 9-11 suddenly pales in comparison. In all cases, it lets “those inimical to U.S. interests” know that there is no limit to how far the covert terror state will go for the supreme moral code not yet decoded. Combined with wars of aggression before and after 9-11, raining fire and explosions on civilians from the air so that no defense or escape can be made, saturating the fields of public meaning with big lies civilly dangerous to unmask, and bringing vast enrichment and new powers to transnational corporate conglomerates and their past and present CEO’s of the acting U.S. state – all become clear in their ultimate meaning once decoded. As the Democrat U.S. Secretary of State responded to the question of the 500,000 killed children, “we think the price was worth it”. No price is too much to pay for fulfilment of the transcendent project of the global U.S. state and its private capital rule as “the Free World”. “Those inimical to our interests” are those who oppose or are in the way of it, and thus “hate our freedom”.

The Strategic Logic of Value through 9-11

By 2000 it was very clear to the U.S. strategic planners that the opening up of the Middle East and Central Asia after the fall of the Soviet Union had to be further pursued before it was too late. The great regret for the planning personnel of the coming Bush Jr. administration such as Paul Wolfowitz was that Iraq had not been taken over on the first invasion. The need for “full spectrum dominance” across the Middle East and Central Asia was thus the essential argument of the Project for the New American Century (PNAC), with the prescription that no other “regional power” was able to contest this dominance.

The PNAC more explicitly recognised the strategic necessity for what Zbigniew Brzezinski had already called for in 1998 in *The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives* – namely, “the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat” to ensure public support for “the United States, as the sole and, indeed, the first truly global power”. The now once untouchable Central Asia, formerly of the USSR, was thus targeted as essential not only for its vast oil reserves, but to complete rule of the “first truly global power”.

The Project for the New American Century was more explicit than Brzezinski in 2000, the year before 9-11. As former Defence Minister of Canada, Paul Hellyer, lucidly puts it in a

recent address (italics added):

“The authors of this American ‘Mein Kampf’ [the PNAC] for conquest recognized the difficulty of persuading sophisticated Americans to accept such a gigantic change in policy. So they wrote the following (subsequently removed from the record): ‘Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary changes, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor.’”[\[xxxi\]](#)

Excepting the Vietnam War ending in military defeat – but vastly enriched armaments and connected private bank and corporate interests – the hitherto favoured strategic-plan mode had been local death squads along with pervasive American media propaganda against the victims as “communists” and “sponsored by the USSR”. But once there was no remotely equal opponent in mass-kill capacities *and* transnational trade treaties now bound governments within corporate-rights law as overriding domestic laws and policies, anything became permissible. The plan for the “supranational sovereignty” of “limitless capital accumulation” in “full-spectrum power” required only 9-11 to derail world-wide peace, environmental and anti-corporate globalization movements growing into uncontrollable civilian capacity across borders and continents.

People were waking up to the one-way destruction of life systems at all levels. Iraq was not alone in the genocidal clearance of former socialist infrastructures uniting peoples across ethnic lines. A far more democratic Yugoslavia was set up and destroyed by financial means in the same year by the 1991 U.S. Foreign Operations Appropriations Law after the 1980’s multiplication of public interest rates to over 20 percent primed devoured social life support structures across the world.

This was the unseen financialization base of a global war against public and worker economic and political powers that was reaping a cumulative global civilian reaction of opposition to “the plan”. 9-11 ensured against the fightback of financially dispossessed peoples with the signature reverse operation – diversion to an external “terrorist threat” that stood in the way of *more* sweeping transnational corporate wars on more peoples being dispossessed. Civil war in Yugoslavia long targeted by Reagan’s secret National Security Directive 133 as early as 1984 was predicted and occurred after the underlying employment and welfare structure of multi-ethnic Yugoslavia collapsed under deliberate financial destabilization. (The villain of the piece, Slobodan Milosevic, was himself a major banker).



In oil-rich Somalia, two-thirds of its territory had been leased out to four transnational oil companies by 1993 – a condition of lost grounds of life for Somalians behind the primeval civil war ever since. These are merely expressions of the underlying logic of value and the plan for its supranational rule beneath the lights of publicity as “discretion”. The examples are myriad from Latin America to South-East Asia to sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East to Israel and Canada today. But a descriptive law of the supreme moral goal holds across all diverse instances of its expression.

Strategic planning for the destruction of social life infrastructures of peoples for private money capital gain without limit is the ultimate value program throughout from the U.S. to China.

The people of the U.S. are not exempt from their own system of covert state rule, although democratic heroism here joins with the larger world against it. This is the ultimate moral struggle on earth today. The moral politics of the disorder are the enforcement of the descriptive law. This is the ruling meta program, and it is carcinogenic by its nature. The supreme motive force it multiplies by is privately self-maximizing money possession (individual and corporate) seeking to be limitlessly more. More = Better. Less = Militant Demand for More.

The “9-11” event is the epicentre of the supreme moral objective seated in Wall Street. It is best understood as an ultimate strategic maximizer of the italicized formula. Exactly expressed, its ultimately regulating axiology is private money inputs through all life to maximally more private money outputs in ad infinitum progression: Money à Life as Means à More Money or, formally, $\$ \rightarrow \text{LasM} \rightarrow \$^{1,2,3,4-N}$.

At the highest level of anchoring moral meaning, this private money-demand rule seeks to be absolute and total across borders with no quarter. “Full spectrum dominance” is its military method. Yet what distinguishes it from the Nazi rule it connects with as prior transnational corporate partner in war making is that in the U.S. private money demand multiplication at the top is the *only* organising value meaning. 97% of its money command is produced by private bank notes of others’ debt to the private bank system centred in Wall Street. Yet despite this very narrow centre of control, almost no global territory or field of life is outside its rule and strategic plan.

The “Trans-Pacific Partnership” is but its latest expression – focusing on private knowledge-

patent money sequencing to rule out generic pharmaceuticals and other life-and-death knowledge commons from which higher profits cannot be made. The one underlying common principle throughout all phases is transnational corporate and bank money sequencing to more. Its converse is to override all life requirements at all levels, and strategically planned crises and wars are the advancing lines of control and enforcement.

What is not recognized through all the genocidal wars, ecocidal results, collapsing social life support systems and falling wages, however, is that this *ruling value sequence rationally leads to "9-11" as maximal strategic payoff progression*. "Absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event", the Project for the New American Century declared before 9-11,

" - - the U.S risks the loss of a global security order that is uniquely friendly to American principles and prosperity".

Decoded, this meant in theory and practice more transnational private money sequence progression to ever more control over all still-uncontrolled assets for more and richer returns without limit of take or life destruction. But these are unspeakable lines of value meaning, and that is likely why, for example, Wikipedia keeps altering the entry of my name with conspiracy theory attributions and smears to ensure that such deep-structural diagnosis does not gain currency. That is how this system works, and analysis will provide more variations of this gagging method on 9-11 ahead.



The strategic necessity of the 9-11 event for "global security order" can even be asserted by the principal architects of the administration under which it happened, and those who observe this can be dismissed as "conspiracy theorists". Reverse projection is, as always, the essential psychological operation. The documented but shouted-down logistics included V-P Cheney having control of the air-defence of NORAD six months before the event to manage the relocation of the stand-by fighter jets to Alaska and Canada on September 11, 2001, and more broadly, no jet intervention for almost two hours until the full operation was completed.

The tell-tale signs that it was not the "foreign act of war" which was trumpeted were that President Bush Jr. continued exposed in set-up photo ops with school children during the "attack on the U.S." Not a wheel turned in U.S. jet intervention or homeland protection. No evident defensive action or response whatever occurred. Until strategic security from public uprising and awareness was established, the blame on foreign terrorists was repeated non-stop around the clock with no-one raising a question.

Weeks thus passed in inaction. As the future director of the 9-11 Commission said years

before 9-11: "The effort and resources we devote to averting or containing this threat now, in the 'before' period, will seem woeful, even pathetic, when compared to what will happen 'after'." [xxxii] And so one war of invasion after another was made upon entirely unproven sources of the "attack" who were, in fact, states and peoples standing in the way of vast publicly owned oil-fields. These had to be taken for control by U.S. and allied state armies for the private transnational corporations employing their leaders in and out of office. Money-sequence oil to quadruple-plus more has been the story ever since. [xxxiii] If 80% of the 19 claimed suicide agents were from oil-ally Saudi Arabia, if none of their identity papers could have survived the destroyed buildings, and if several of these "hijackers" were apparently still alive, why did none of the vaunted "free press" ever investigate 9-11? The "noble lie" is built into every step.

The total demolition of the buildings on 9-11 was professionally executed – impossible to manage except by a technologically sophisticated state with intelligence support, as former State Secretary for Defense of Germany, Andreas von Bülow, has concisely observed at the first-order level: "To hijack four big airliners within a few minutes and fly them into targets within a single hour and doing so on complicated flight routes! That is unthinkable, without backing from the secret apparatuses of state and industry". [xxxiv] Turning huge fireproofed steel-girded buildings in the centre of New York into fuming debris in a few seconds and melting car bodies nearby extends the problem of physical impossibility by jet fires. That is why the firemen were killed by being "falsely told it was a fire, not military ordnance". The fire-squad commander who told me this asked me not to name him because of the harm that might come to him "from the media" – the 9-11 gag again. Yet the core and deciding issue is strangely avoided by all:

Whatever the technics, every step before and after 9-11 took place in accordance with the supreme moral objective and covert-state strategic methods to execute it. The smoking gun is incinerated buildings. Every step "before" and "after" goes back to the motive, the crime syndicate, the plan, the payoffs, the seizures and dispossessions.

Reducing the Auto-Determination of Nations Requires the Plan

To reduce the "auto-determination of nations practised in past centuries" for the "supranational sovereignty of an intellectual and banker elite" could only be made possible through "full spectrum dominance" on the grounds as the Project for the New American Century had independently explained, and "the catastrophic and catalyzing event" required was "9-11", however it was accomplished.

Nicholas Rockefeller was already speaking of "the plan" eleven months before the "9-11" call for emergency help when he said to his close friend, Aaron Russo:

"There's going to be an event Aaron... We are going to go into Afghanistan so we can put a gas pipeline to the Caspian Sea... We are going to go into Iraq to take the oil and to establish a base in the Middle East and we're going to go into Venezuela and try and get rid of Chávez – – Through it, you fight the War on Terror and then you go into Iraq – – the media can convince everybody that it's real – –" [xxxv]

Lest the reader doubt this witness, it has nowhere been disavowed any more than the patriarch's disclosure of "the plan" itself which is also available on the right-wing Cato Institute website. All express the underlying but observable moral law of motion of this

ruling value system -to acquire maximally more money demand for private use and control with no public or other barrier across internal and external borders by war, trade treaty or any other means whatever the sacrifice of others' lives. They do not count in the calculus. All life is an "externality". There is no step of the covert U.S. state that does not obey the formula.

The legality of international treaty was and remains the transnational legal method already established in the decade before 9-11 to provide the supranational framework of private transnational money-sequence rule as the moral absolute to which all conformed. Coded as "the global free market", it is neither free nor a market, but oligopolist corporate control of supply, demand, and inert-state policy. In fact, the supreme morality as defined overrides all economic interests themselves by absolute protection of private transnational "profit opportunity" alone -with thousands of regulations across borders governed by this moral absolute. This too is testable by examination of the articles of any transnational trade treaty in the NATO control zone.

Policy structures follow in line. Tax, financial, natural resource and investment policies are structured by law and right to ignore all destruction of social and natural life and life capital bases to grow transnational private money sequences to limitlessly more. This is why the self-multiplying money sequencing with no committed life function has expanded in accordance with this moral absolute through and after "9-11". Observe how the ultimately regulating principles of value prescription and description *all conform to one axiological syntax across controllable theoretical, economic, political, and other levels of the global system.* [\[xxxvi\]](#)

Thus whatever the world uprisings against it and however destructive of the planet's social and ecological life bases, this law of motion remains the ruling constant. Not even the life impoverishment of the growing majority and the collapsing of the biosphere itself are allowed to modify its supranational ruling form. Even a tenth of one percent tax on its ruinous money-sequence tides or fraction of legal tender to back them is off-limits.

Not only 9-11 itself, but global policy locks of every kind are the expressions of this ruling moral absolute as inviolable and supreme, however much they destroy people's lives without any committed life function - the normalization of private-bank compound-interest debts bleeding peoples dry, destabilizing speculations in sovereign currencies and bonds, asset-stripping buyouts and disemploying mergers, predatory repossessions of homes and loan-shark rates on poor debtors including college students with no limit, endless takeovers of productive firms by foreign multinationals with only banknotes, ecologically devastating mega-projects and loot-mining with no environmental or social criteria, lethal armaments manufacture led by bribery for sales to despots, Wall Street intermediations in every project with no life commitment, huge hauls of financial lifeblood from public privatizations to equity capital multiplying outside of securities regulations, stock-market derivatives exploiting fabricated money-sequence tides and futures at the cost of hundreds of millions more hungry people, and- in general - limitless corporate predation of societies' domestic resources, home markets, worker pay and benefits, and public tax revenues.



With all regulating life standards thus erased and repelled, a direct question arises: Why would the sacrifice of a few thousand mixed-nation people and two iconic buildings count against this covert value calculus if it reaped the world in payoff to the under one percent and could always be blamed on the Enemy to achieve even more full-spectrum dominance of the ultimate objective over all life and life systems that limit its growth and universalization?

Conversely, and in particular at the geostrategic armed force level, if any society does not yet fit into the world system as function of it, armed invasion can now follow as “defense against the terrorists” who have “attacked America” in 9-11. As U.S. General Wesley Clark has also reported for the public record, this strategic line of war has been explicit in “U.S. defense” strategic planning for Iraq, Libya, Sudan, Somalia, Iran, and Syria since 9-11.

The second part of this essay is forthcoming on Global Research.

[The complete text published by the Journal of 9/11 Studies can be downloaded in pdf](#)

Professor John McMurtry is a moral philosopher specializing in social value systems and life-value analysis. His many articles and books have been internationally published and translated, and include multi-volume work for UNESCO’s Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS). He is University Professor Emeritus at the University of Guelph, and an elected Fellow of the Royal Society of Canada.

Notes

[i] Understandably, the improbable physics of the official account of the destruction of the WTC buildings by jet-plane impact and office fires has drawn increasing interest. The ejection outwards of steel columns and assemblies, the severing of fireproofed steel columns, the evidence of molten metal in the building remains, the acceleration rates of the descending buildings, the presence of nanothermite in the dust and the statements of numerous eyewitnesses are some of the obvious signs of controlled demolition. Detailed discussion of these and other difficulties with the official account can be found in the *Journal of 9/11 Studies* and on the website of Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth.

<http://www.journalof911studies.com/>

<http://www.ae911truth.org/>

My analysis of the official U.S. National Institute of Technology and Standards (NIST) accounts goes

further: I argue that we have been given *non-explanationby erasure*.

[ii] One of the best sources of critical information about the 9/11 crimes is *The 9/11 Toronto Report: International Hearings on the Events of September 11, 2001*, edited by James Gourley (International Center for 9/11 Studies, 2012)

[iii]<http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2012-09-26/guest-post-globalist-think-tank-suggests-using-engineered-event-excuse-war-iran/>

[iv] See Charles Higham, *Trading with the Enemy: An Expose of the Nazi-American Money Plot 1933-1949* (New York: Dell Publishing Co , 1983), the classic documentation and historical account. Transnational corporations involved after war was declared in 1942 included IBM (concentration camp identification system), Dupont (chemical gases), General Motors, Ford (armoured vehicles) and Union Banking (in which George Bush Jr.'s grandfather was a Director, making the family's fortune). All ended up not only with their property intact or returned, but most with reparations for damages caused to it.

[v] An anonymous reviewer of this journal has kindly pointed out that the concept of "New World Order," was known in Nazi-occupied Europe as "l'ordre nouveau," (France and Belgium), "nieuweworde" (Dutch), and "nyordre" (Norwegian) in collaborationist discourses during the early 1940's.

[vi] After the massive defeat of the German armed forces in the Battle of Stalingrad in January 1943, Martin Bormann, the Deputy Fuhrer and the main linkage of the Nazi party with the industrial and financial cartels that ran the German economy, conceived a plan for post-War organization of German Nazis in Latin America, South Africa, Egypt and Indonesia called the "Organization of Veterans of the S.S.," or Odessa by acronym. A main element of the Odessa was led by General ReinhardGehlen who was head of the Foreign Armies East in German Army Headquarters. He was responsible for all intelligence operations through East Europe and the Soviet Union, and in the remaining months of the war deposited the extensive files in a hiding place in the Bavarian Alps. After the war was over, he negotiated a secret treaty to work "jointly with the Americans" on the basis of the detailed files and the services of some 4000 agents. "By one estimate, some 70 per cent of the total intelligence flowing into NATO's military committee and Allied headquarters (SHAPE) on the Soviet Union, the countries of East Europe, the rest of Europe and indeed the rest of the world was generated [from this source]." (Carl Oglesby, "The Secret Treaty of Fort Hunt". *Covert Action Bulletin*, 35, Fall 1990, pp. 8-16.) Corroborating this heavily researched account, Lake Sagaris reports in her detailed study of Pinochet's Chile (Sagaris, *op. cit.*) that Nazi activity and influence in Chile was particularly widespread during Pinochet's military dictatorship from 1973-90 (cited in Graeme Mount, "The Long Shadow of Chile's Fascism", *Literary Review of Canada*, October 1996, pp. 8-10). Pinochet's coup occurred on September 11, 1973.

[vii]See note 8, and for ongoing exposure of the moving nexus of narco-terrorist-covert-U.S.-state operations across continents, see continual reports by globalresearch.ca.

[viii][McCoy, Alfred W.](#); Cathleen B. Read, Leonard P. Adams II.[The Politics of Heroin in Southeast Asia.CIA complicity in the global drug trade](#). New York: Harper & Row. 1972 and Jonathan Quitny, *The Crimes of Patriots — A True Tale of Dope, Dirty Money, and the CIA*.New York: Simon and Shuster, 1986.

[ix] See Michel Chossudovsky, "Terrorism with a 'Human Face': The History of America's Death Squads/Death Squads in Iraq and Syria. /The Historical Roots of US-NATO's Covert War on Syria, <http://www.globalresearch.ca/terrorism-with-a-human-face-the-history-of-americas-death-squads/5317564>

[x] US Joint Chiefs of Staff, "Justification for U.S. Military Intervention in Cuba (Top Secret), US Department of Defense, 13 March 1962, published online in a more comprehensive form by the National Security Archive on 30 April 2001, months before 9-11. (I am indebted to James Bamford, *Body of Secrets*. New York: Doubleday from which the text quotation comes, and to Jeremy Keenan, "How the U.S. Has been sponsoring terrorism in the Sahara", *New Internationalist*, December 12, 2013, p. 35). Lest one wonder why this mass-murderous plot to terrorize and kill American and other citizens was not kept secret by the covert U.S. state, and indeed released just before 9-11, one needs to bear in mind that Rockefeller and the Right do not object to elite knowledge of "the plan" to liquidate national sovereignty and self-determination for private banker world rule with the collaboration of the U.S. corporate media in not reporting it. Supreme arrogance and helplessness of opponents to do anything about it are part of the supreme morality, and freedom of information at the top long after the action of seems internal to the design of U.S. supreme power. It validates it as de facto reality.

[xi] Zbigniew Brzezinski, *The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*. New York: Basic Books, 1998 : "Moreover, as America becomes an increasingly multi-cultural society, it may find it more difficult to fashion a consensus on foreign policy issues, except in the circumstance of a truly massive and widely perceived direct external threat." (p. 211). On the international front, Democrat national security adviser Brzezinski advised : "The defeat and collapse of the Soviet Union was the final step in the rapid ascendance of a Western Hemisphere power, the United States, as the sole and, indeed, the first truly global power." (p. xiii) and "To put it in a terminology that harkens back to the more brutal age of ancient empires, the three grand imperatives of imperial geostrategy are to prevent collusion and maintain security dependence among the vassals, to keep tributaries pliant and protected, and to keep the barbarians from coming together." (p.40). The 9-11 event managed to fulfil all these objectives at once.

[xii] I document and diagnose these world trends and their economic value doctrine and its bases in depth in *Unequal Freedoms: The Global Market as an Ethical System*, Toronto: Garamond/ University of Toronto Press, 1998.

[xiii] While this analysis does track the David Rockefeller thread of "the plan", it by no means restricts explanation to this dominant thread of moral meaning. Many other dominant money-sequence interests are in interlocked involvement with the supreme value purpose and its system enactment. In this interlock, for example, Bank of America, JP Morgan Chase, Citigroup and Wells Fargo own Exxon Mobil, Royal Dutch/Shell, BP and Chevron Texaco along with Deutsche Bank, BNP, and Barclays, these corporations in turn having heavy involvement in supranational armaments and media systems. We may observe the wider interlocking system of transnational money-sequence control of global industry across domains in the Rockefeller portfolio of Exxon Mobil, Chevron Texaco, BP Amoco, Marathon Oil, Freeport McMoran, Quaker Oats, ASARCO, United, Delta, Northwest, ITT, International Harvester, Xerox, Boeing, Westinghouse, Hewlett-Packard, Honeywell, International Paper, Pfizer, Motorola, Monsanto, Union Carbide and General Foods. (See concise summary at <http://www.globalresearch.ca/the-federal-reserve-cartel-the-eight-families/2508>). Wherever the U.S. state goes in demand, crime and war it is to enforce this private transnational

money sequence system.

[xiv] Cited by <<http://freedomlaw.com/coffee.html>> which lists among its sponsors the Cato Institute, the Heritage, and the Mackinac Centre for Public Policy.

[xv] This complex point is spelled out in the second edition of *The Cancer Stage of Capitalism/ From Crisis to Cure*. London: Pluto and Palgrave-Macmillan, 2013.

[xvi] The most developed account of this and the above citation and their context is by Daniel Estulin, *The True Story of the Bilderberg Group*. Walterville:Trine Day. 2007 (also translated in Spanish). Estulin's grandfather was a senior KGB agent and his father was expelled from the Soviet Union for his activities on behalf of free speech.

[xvii] I am indebted to Paul Hellyer, former Minister of Defence of Canada, for this fact and its disclosure.

[xviii] I define *reverse projection* as *attributing to others what you are doing yourself as the reason for attacking them*. U.S. foreign policy is defined by this generic reversal operation. The reversals have five standard operations: *i.* claiming humanitarian assistance to victims of a regime when in fact bombing or attacking them; *ii.* standing up to terrorism when by far the greater terrorism is perpetrated by doing so; *iii.* covertly supporting the very terrorist organisations as a justification for these dominant terrorist activities; *iv.* imposing the extremest form of terror, torture, to “stop the terrorists”; *v.* planning, organising and waging the supreme crime of humanity, a war of aggression, to compel the other society to “comply with the laws and norms of the community of nations”.

[xix] Cited in archive.democrats.com/view.cfm?id=5166 - *United States*.

[xx] Social science report of the Leo Strauss school of thought and its national security disciples is provided by Shadia Drury, *Leo Strauss and the American Right*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 1999.

[xxi] Leo Strauss, *Natural Right and History*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953, p. 60.

[xxii] *Cancer Stage, ibid*, spells out this great aporia in Marx's theory at both life capital and money capital ends.

[xxiii] Rich introductory overview of these connections is provided by physician Dr. Jim Macgregor, “Fascism in America” http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Fascism/Neo-fascism_America.html. I originally spelled out the principles and behaviours in common in “Fascism and Neo-Conservatism: Is There a Difference?” (1983) *Praxis International* (1983) 1, 86-102.

[xxiv] This historical pathogenesis is tracked in depth in McMurtry, *The Cancer Stage of Capitalism: From Crisis to Cure*. *Ibid*, in both first and second editions, 1999/2013.

[xxv] I spell this meaning out in formal depth in “Behind Global System Collapse: The Life-Blind Structure of Economic Rationality”, *Journal of Business Ethics* (2012), 108:1, 49-61.

[xxvi] Pareto, Vilfredo, (1971 [1906]), *Manual of Political Economy*, New York: A.M. Kelley, p. 12.

[xxvii] John Rawls assumes the self-maximizing principle as the ultimately regulating principle of rationality in *A Theory of Justice* when he says: "From the standpoint of the original position, *it is rational for parties to suppose that they do want a larger share* – – The concept of rationality invoked here – – is the standard one familiar in social theory" – – " (*A Theory of Justice* . Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1971, p 143). As to how this first premise shared by "social theory" as "standard" leads to entailments that are not tracked is spelled out step by step in John McMurtry, "Human Rights v. Corporate Rights: Understanding Life-Value, the Civil Commons, and Social Justice," *Studies in Social Justice*, 5(1) (Summer, 2011), 11-61.

[xxviii] *NYT*, Sept 23 1990.

[xxix] The fuller description and documentation of the war crimes and crimes against humanity by the U.S. in Iraq from 1990 on can be found in *Value Wars: The Global Market versus the Life Economy* . London: Pluto, 2002, pp. 30-36.

[xxx] Originally available on <www.gulflink.osd.mil>, but the information has been since removed from this site since it was cited in *Value Wars*. See also:

<http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/routledg/mcs/2004/00000020/00000002/art00002>

[xxxi] "Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategy, Forces and Resources for a New Century. A report of the Project for the New American Century, September 2000." The description of this "new *Mein Kampf*" is from Hon. Paul Hellyer, "The G20 Fiddles While The Planet Burns", Global Breakthrough Energy Conference, Sunday, November 11, 2012.

[xxxii] See note 47 and page context for the bright idea from the Executive Director of the "9/11 Commission" long before 9-11.

[xxxiii] The average price of oil was \$24.08 per barrel in August 2001, \$28.27 in 2000, and \$10.20 in 1998.

[xxxiv] *Tagesspiegel*, 13. Jan. 2002. Andreas von Bulow is an especially relevant analyst on this score as almost uniquely a renowned scholar in covert-state activities and a former minister of defence.

[xxxv] <<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MGF6DDd8Uto>>. I am indebted to software engineer Kip Warner for this reference.

[xxxvi] This framework of diagnosis of the system 9-11 expresses is spelled out in systematic depth in *The Cancer Stage of Capitalism / From Crisis to Cure* forthcoming April 2013, the second edition of the original 1999 study.

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof. John McMurtry](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.
For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca