

The Grim, Relentless March to War with Syria

By Joe Giambrone Global Research, September 07, 2013 Who What Why Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>US NATO War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>SYRIA</u>

Polls show Americans overwhelmingly reject any form of US military intervention in Syria's civil war, with as little as <u>4% support for an invasion</u>. Peace protests have sprung up, with <u>further demonstrations planned</u> this weekend ahead of a likely war vote in both houses of Congress next week.

Even active military service members have asserted a resounding rejection of this conflict, some <u>photographing themselves</u> holding signs in front of their faces to avoid retaliation. Images posted to Twitter, Facebook and the wider web state that, "I will not fight for Al Qaeda in Syria."

Reports out of the G-20 summit in St. Petersburg show similar rejection of this proposed action. Only France seems ready to commit to the US coalition. Russia has stood firmly against the US demands, and the Chinese spokesman argued that the price of oil would spike in the event of a new war. The Pope urged politicians to "lay aside the futile pursuit of a military solution." As details of the meetings emerged, <u>Time</u> assessed that, "Apart from France, no one was ready to take up arms with the U.S. in Syria."



Despite massive public opposition to any military action against the Assad government, the Senate Foreign Relations Committee approved a hastily-assembled war authorization resolution on Wednesday.

"Al Qaeda?"

The Syrian opposition rebels' long string of atrocities has not inspired confidence for their cause in the west, as genocidal massacres in rebel held areas continue to be <u>reported</u>.

"U.N. investigators released a particularly detailed and horrific report that slams both sides, accusing rebels fighting to oust President Bashar al-Assad of murder, rape, torture and forced disappearances."

Ethnic cleansing and summary executions of civilians and captured soldiers have been reported since 2011. Invading Sunni militias have repeatedly targeted government loyalists, non-Muslims and non-Sunni Muslims. A recent <u>report</u> dramatizes the situation on the ground:

"We are still finding people who were killed in their homes, and bodies left in bushes," said Sheikh Mohammed Reda Hatem, an Alawite religious leader in Latakia. "Until now 150 Alawites from the villages have been kidnapped. There are women and children among them."

Even the Obama Administration acknowledged that the Al Nusra Front inside Syria are terrorists and placed the group and its affiliates on the <u>State Department's terrorism list</u> in December of 2012. Yet, the administration insists on aiding these same rebel opposition forces on the grounds that they are a minority of the opposition fighters.

Secretary of State John Kerry claimed:

"Maybe 15% to 25% might be in one group or another who are what we would deem to be bad guys."

This vague claim of Al Nusra/Al Qaeda minority status has been made without any corroborating evidence. Yet even John Kerry concedes that up to one in four of the people who will militarily benefit from a US bombing campaign against Syria are "bad guys."

Consider this: <u>By America's own laws</u>, providing any aid at all to a designated terrorist organization would be considered "*material support for terrorism*." Nevertheless, the U.S. and its allies in the region have directly supported these designated Al Nusra terrorist organizations and currently seek to increase this aid by orders of magnitude.

Grayson v. Hagel

As the debate over Syria moved into the Congress, Secretary of Defense Chuck Hagel made his case for attacking Syria. When confronted by Representative Alan Grayson (D, FL-9th), Hagel <u>responded</u> with some of the most intriguing testimony to date.

GRAYSON: Secretary Hagel, there's been a report in the media that the administration has mischaracterized post-attack Syrian military communications, and that these communications actually express *surprise* about the attack. This is a very serious charge. Can you please release the original transcripts so that the American people can make their own judgment about that important issue?

HAGEL: What um, transcripts are you referring to?

Hagel expresses complete ignorance of the alleged *evidence* against the Syrian government that his direct boss, <u>President Obama</u>, has told the world is central to their case for war:

"Our intelligence shows the Assad regime and its forces preparing to use chemical weapons, launching rockets in the highly populated suburbs of Damascus, *and acknowledging that a chemical weapons attack took place.*" -Barack Obama, August 31, 2013

Representative Grayson repeated the request to Hagel.

GRAYSON: The transcripts that were reported that took place after the attack in which the government has suggested that they confirm the existence of an attack, but actually it's been reported that Syrian commanders expressed surprise about the attack having taken place, not confirmed it.

HAGEL: Well, that's probably classified. Uh congressman, I'd have to go back and review exactly what you're referring to.

GRAYSON: Well, you will agree that it's important that the administration not mislead the public in any way about these reports, won't you?

Hagel finished up the round by stating, "I have no idea what exactly you're talking about..." Taking the United States Secretary of Defense at face value, if he sincerely has no idea about any details of the actual alleged evidence against the Syrian state, a UN signatory, one might ask why he's found it a matter of national urgency to launch a military attack on that nation, in clear violation of international law.

International Law: Putin v. Obama

As agreed to by all parties concerned, in the United Nations Charter, the Russian President Putin had <u>this</u> to say:

"...(A)nything that is outside the U.N. Security Council is aggression, except selfdefense. Now what Congress and the U.S. Senate are doing in essence is legitimizing aggression. This is inadmissible in principle."

Beyond the legal realm, Putin escalated his rhetoric and verbal attack on the US administration.

"They lie beautifully, of course. I saw debates in Congress. A congressman asks Mr Kerry: 'Is al Qaeda there?' He says: 'No, I am telling you responsibly that it is not' ... Al Qaeda units are the main military echelon, and they know this ... But he is lying and knows he is lying. It's sad."

Tensions between the nuclear-armed powers are currently at dangerous levels. The US delegate to the UN, Samantha Power, fired back at the Russians, claiming:

"In the wake of the flagrant shattering of the international norm against chemical weapons use, Russia continues to hold the council hostage and shirk its international responsibilities..."

Power signaled that the UN Security Council would no longer be relevant to US decisions on Syria. This breakdown in the established international order may have numerous

ramifications and unintended consequences as this crisis unfolds.

The United Nations Secretary General, Ban Ki Moon, <u>responded</u> to the impending US military action:

"The use of force is lawful only when in exercise of self-defense in accordance with article 51 of the United Nations Charter and or when the Security Council approves such action."

Both the U.S. and Russia continue to relocate warships into the waters around Syria, with recent reports of four additional Russian vessels including an <u>intelligence platform and</u> <u>landing craft</u>. Russia's Deputy Defense Minister Anatoly Antonov described the move as a stabilizing action:

"Our navy vessels are a guarantee of stability, guarantee of peace, an attempt to hold back other forces ready to start military action in the region."

The US <u>placed</u> at least five destroyers, equipped with Tomahawk cruise missiles and helicopters as well as a landing vessel manned by US Marines into the eastern Mediterranean. US diplomats have now been <u>recalled from Lebanon</u>.

Other regional powers have taken steps in preparation for a wider war in Syria. <u>Israel</u> called up its army reserves and began distributing gas masks to the public. Iran has <u>reportedly</u> <u>ordered</u> some militants in Iraq to retaliate in the event of a US assault on neighboring Syria. Unnamed US sources have claimed that this Iranian edict would prompt military actions against the, "U.S. Embassy and other American interests in Baghdad."

The original source of this article is <u>Who What Why</u> Copyright © <u>Joe Giambrone</u>, <u>Who What Why</u>, 2013

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Joe Giambrone

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca