

## The Federal Reserve Has too Much Power

By <u>Washington's Blog</u> Global Research, November 25, 2010 <u>Washington's Blog</u> 25 November 2010 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Global Economy</u>

The Question Isn't Whether the Fed Should Be Stripped of Its Mandate to Maximize Employment ... The Question Is Whether the Fed Has too Much Power

The Fed <u>says</u> that unemployment will remain high for years.

Some Republican congressmen are trying to take away the goal of ensuring full employment from the Fed. See <u>this</u> and <u>this</u>.

Conservatives argue that the relationship between inflation and unemployment – as represented in the Phillips Curve – is false, and therefore the rationale behind the dual mandate makes no sense. See <u>this</u>, <u>this</u> and <u>this</u>.

Liberals like economist James, Galbraith, congressmen Jerry Nadler and Alan Grayson and Senator Bernie Sanders are defending the Fed's mandate to maximize employment.

But I think that all of their arguments pro or anti the Fed's dual mandate are missing the point.

Specifically, the Fed's dual mandate was created more than 30 years ago, in 1978.

The Fed has had this full employment mandate all throughout this economic crisis. But it is indisputable that the Fed's actions have been increasing unemployment. See <u>this</u> and <u>this</u>. Indeed, John Williams puts the current real unemployment rate at around <u>23%</u>.

The Fed had the mandate in 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 ... but it acted to save the big banks instead of the American worker.

And yet the Fed has been given more and more power – even though it has been increasing unemployment ... and even though the American people already thought the Fed had too much power. See <u>this</u>, <u>this</u> and <u>this</u>.

So the problem isn't the Fed's dual mandate ... the problem is that the Fed has too much power. And the Fed has wielded that power to save its <u>shareholders</u> (the big banks), <u>at the</u> <u>expense of Main Street and the real economy</u>.

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2010

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Washington's Blog

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca