

The ExxonMobil Russia-Rosneft Partnership vs. Obama's Sanctions Regime against Russia?

Why ExxonMobil's Partnerships With Russia's Rosneft Challenge the Narrative of U.S. Exports As Energy Weapon

By Steve Horn

Global Research, March 17, 2014

desmogblog.com

Region: <u>Russia and FSU</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: Global Economy, Oil and Energy

In a long-awaited moment in a <u>hotly contested zone currently occupied by the Russian military</u>, Ukraine's citizens living in the peninsula of Crimea <u>voted overwhelmingly to become part of Russia</u>.

Responding to the referendum, President Barack Obama and numerous U.S. officials rejected the results out of hand and the Obama Administration has confirmed he will authorize economic sanctions against high-ranking Russian officials.

"As I told President Putin yesterday, the referendum in Crimea was a clear violation of Ukrainian constitutions and international law and it will not be recognized by the international community," Obama said in a press briefing. "Today I am announcing a series of measures that will continue to increase the cost on Russia and those responsible for what is happening in Ukraine."



But even before the vote and issuing of sanctions, <u>numerous key U.S. officials hyped</u> the <u>need to expedite U.S. oil and gas exports</u> to fend off Europe's reliance on importing Russia's gas bounty. In short, gas obtained via <u>hydraulic fracturing ("fracking")</u> is <u>increasingly seen</u> as a "geopolitical tool" for U.S. power-brokers, as The New York Times explained.

Perhaps responding to the repeated calls to <u>use gas as a "diplomatic tool,"</u> the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) recently announced it will <u>sell 5 million barrels of oil from the seldom-tapped Strategic Petroleum Reserve</u>. Both the <u>White House and DOE deny the decision had anything to do with the situation in Ukraine</u>.

Yet even as some say we are witnessing the beginning of a "new cold war," few have discussed the ties binding major U.S. oil and gas companies with Russian state oil and gas companies.

The ties that bind, as well as <u>other real logistical and economic issues</u> <u>complicate the narrative</u> of exports as an <u>"energy weapon."</u>

The situation in Ukraine is a simple one at face value, at least from an energy perspective.

"Control of resources and dependence on other countries is a central theme connecting the longstanding tension between Russia and Ukraine and potential actions taken by the rest of

the world as the crisis escalates," <u>ThinkProgress explained in a recent article</u>. "Ukraine is overwhelmingly dependent on Russia for natural gas, relying on its neighbor for 60 to 70 percent of its natural gas needs."

At the same time, Europe also largely depends on Ukraine as a key thoroughfare for imports of Russian gas via pipelines.

"The country is crossed by a network of Soviet-era pipelines that carry Russian natural gas to many European Union member states and beyond; more than a quarter of the EU's total gas needs were met by Russian gas, and some 80% of it came via Ukrainian pipelines," explained The Guardian.



Given the circumstances, weaning EU countries off Russian gas seems a no-brainer at face value. Which is why it's important to use the brain and look beneath the surface.

ExxonMobil and Rosneft

The U.S. and Russian oil and gas industries can best be described as "frenemies." Case in point: the tight-knit relationship between U.S. multinational petrochemical giant ExxonMobil and Russian state-owned multinational petrochemical giant Rosneft.

ExxonMobil CEO Rex Tillerson sung praises about his company's relationship with Rosneft during a June 2012 meeting with Vladimir Putin.



Rex Tillerson (L), Vladimir Putin, unknown and Igor Sechin, Rosneft President and Management Board Chairman (R). Photo Credit: <u>Russian Presidential Press and Information Office</u>

"I'm pleased that you were here to be part of the signing today, and very much appreciate the strong support and encouragement you have provided to our partnership," <u>said Tillerson</u>. "[N]othing strengthens relationships between countries better than business enterprise."

A year later, in June 2013, <u>Putin awarded ExxonMobil an Order of Friendship</u>. But what does the friendship entail?



Putin and Tillerson. Photo Credit: Russian Presidential Press and Information Office

In 2012, <u>ExxonMobil and Rosneft signed an agreement</u> "to share technology and expertise" with one another. Some of the details:

- -Forming of a joint venture to explore offshore oil and gas in the Kara Sea and the Black Sea
- -Rosneft acquiring a 30 percent stake in 20 ExxonMobil-owned offshore oil and gas blocks in the Gulf of Mexico.
- "The 20 blocks have a total area of approximately 111,600 acres (450 square kilometers) in water depths ranging between 2,100 and 6,800 feet (640 and 2,070 meters)," <u>explained a Rosneft press</u> release.



Map Credit: Rosneft

-Rosneft obtained a 30 percent stake in ExxonMobil's share in the La Escalera Ranch project in the Permian Basin Shale in West Texas. It also gained a 30 percent stake in a portion of ExxonMobil's stake in Alberta's Cardium Shale formation.

In 2013, ExxonMobil and Rosneft <u>announced a partnership to conquer the Arctic for oil and gas</u>, creating the <u>Arctic Research and Design Center for Continental Shelf Development</u>.

ExxonMobil put down the first \$200 million for the initial research and development work, while Rosneft threw down \$250 million later. Officially, Rosneft owns 66.67 percent of the venture and ExxonMobil owns 33.33 percent.

"[S]taff will be located with the Rosneft and ExxonMobil joint venture teams in Moscow to promote resource efficiency and interaction between technical and management staffs," explained a press release. "The [Arctic Research Center] initially will be staffed with experts from ExxonMobil and Rosneft."

Also part of the 2013 deal, <u>ExxonMobil gave Rosneft a 25 percent stake</u> in <u>Alaska's Point Thomson natural gas field</u>. Further, <u>the two companies signed a Memorandum of Understanding</u> to study the possibility of jointly building a <u>LNG (liquefied natural gas) facility in the Russia's far east.</u>

Then at the end of 2013, ExxonMobil and Rosneft inked a deal to start a pilot project for tight oil reserves development in Western Siberia's shale basins. Rosneft owns a 51 percent stake, ExxonMobil a 49 percent stake.

Tillerson recently said the ongoing events in Crimea and Ukraine at-large will have no expected impact on his company's partnerships with Rosneft.

"There has been no impact on any of our plans or activities at this point, nor would I expect there to be any, barring governments taking steps that are beyond our control," he said at the company's recent annual meeting, as reported by The Wall Street Journal. "We don't see any new challenges out of the current situation."

"Not a U.S. Company"

In <u>Steve Coll</u>'s book "<u>Private Empire: ExxonMobil and American Power</u>," he documents that Lee Raymond — former CEO of ExxonMobil from 1993-2005 — was asked if his company would build more U.S. refineries to fend off gasoline shortages.

Raymond's reply: "I'm not a U.S. company and I don't make decisions based on what's good for the U.S."



Lee Raymond with former U.S. Sen. Kay Bailey Hutchison (R-TX); Photo Credit: Wikimedia Commons

So what does this all mean when looked at in aggregate?

As The Washington Monthly put it,

"The clout, reach, and mission of ExxonMobil mean that it runs what amounts to its own foreign policy, raising the question of how that policy relates to the foreign policy of the United States."

And ExxonMobil is not alone in this. <u>ConocoPhillips</u>, <u>Chevron</u> and <u>Shell</u> also have their own unique deals with Russia. BP operated in Russia for years until <u>selling off its stake to Rosneft in 2012</u>.

Climate Trump Card

Exciting as the energy geopolitics stand-off is to follow from afar, the climate disruption implications of it serve as the ultimate trump card.

"Sadly, few seem to care about diminishing the threat posed by climate change, since it has become increasingly clear that LNG would make things worse," wrote <u>ClimateProgress</u> Editor <u>Joe Romm</u> in a <u>March 12 article</u>.

"First, natural gas is mostly methane, (CH4), a super-potent greenhouse gas, which traps 86 times as much heat as CO2 over a 20-year period. So even small leaks in the natural gas production and delivery system can have a large climate impact."

It appears the U.S. push to export LNG has trumped climate change concerns, with export facilities in <u>Freeport, Texas</u>; <u>Elba Island, Georgia</u>; and <u>Lusby, Maryland</u> all barreling ahead through the permitting process.

So even if the U.S. (and/or ExxonMobil) comes out ahead in this energy-centric geopolitical brouhaha, we still all end up losing in the end.

Photo Credit: Getty Images

The original source of this article is <u>desmogblog.com</u> Copyright © <u>Steve Horn</u>, <u>desmogblog.com</u>, 2014

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Steve Horn

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those

who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca