

The Deployment of Russia's Iskander Tactical Missiles in Response to US-NATO Threats

Part II

By Andrei Akulov

Global Research, December 24, 2013

Strategic Culture Foundation

Region: Europe, Russia and FSU

Theme: Militarization and WMD, US NATO

War Agenda

Russia's Response to NATO's concern

Russia is free to deploy Iskander tactical missiles "wherever it likes" on its territory, Defense Minister Sergei Shoigu said on December 18 meeting reserve officer training course students (Russia StateTechnological Institute) in a response to concern of the West. "Recently there was a great deal of empty talk to the effect that we had put Iskanders somewhere in the wrong place. On the territory of the Russian Federation we'll put them where we like," he said. The Defense Chief also added in the context that the Russian Federation has the technology to react to the deployment of US missile defence systems in Europe. "We are not sitting and passively watching (US/NATO) missile defense deployment. Our scientists designers and plants are working effectively and the Russian army possesses powerful, mobile and efficient weapons," Shoigu said. "One cannot believe rumors saying Russia is weak and can do nothing. Believe me, that is a lie," he said...



Russia's general stance on the issue

Back in November 2011 when the US failed to agree to make the missile defense shield a joint project with Russia, then-President Dmitry Medvedev announced <u>sweeping plans</u> to address what Moscow considered to be a threat to national security. He said he would deploy strike systems in the west and south of the country, as well as station Iskander missiles in the Kaliningrad region in order to counter the risk posed by the European missile defense shield. This February Colonel General (three stars) Valery Gerasimov, the Chief of the Russian General Staff, said all Russian missile brigades would be armed with Iskander systems by 2020.

Moscow has long been calling for legally-binding guarantees that the missile defense system will not be aimed against Russia, but the US has so far refused to deliver such a promise. For years, the necessity of building the missile defense shield in Europe was justified by the perceived threat from countries like Iran. Moscow had hoped that the US missile system would be withdrawn, since the Iranian deal signed by Tehran and the P5+1 on November 24 in Geneva. Russian Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov said Iran's nuclear deal with the West leaves no place for the deployment of the long-touted US missile system in Europe. "If the Iran deal is put into practice, the stated reason for the construction of the defense shield will no longer apply," Lavrov said in Rome on November 25 (the P5+1 Joint Plan of Action, concluded between Iran and P5+1 member states regarding Tehran's nuclear program). The settlement of the Iranian nuclear problem will make the deployment of missile defense in Europe unnecessary. "We presume that the solution of problems related to the Iranian nuclear program should lead to a revision of the concept of the U.S. missile defense network in Europe," Lavrov said during "the Government's Hour" at the Federation Council on December 18. "In fact, the solution of the Iranian nuclear problem will do away with the

premise, which explained the need for the deployment of missile defense in Europe," he said (3). But NATO officials think otherwise.

President Putin has put it clear - all Russian strategic nuclear forces must be invulnerable to any missile defense. Addressing the nation on the Constitution he stressed that with the Iran's problem settled the missile defense turns into an offensive weapon. Speaking in his annual State of the Nation address on December 12, Putin said that Russia was aware that the US-planned missile defense system in Eastern Europe was defensive only in name and described it as having strategically offensive potential. "The increase by foreign countries of their strategic, high-precision non-nuclear systems potential and boosting missile defense possibilities could ruin earlier reached agreements in the sphere of nuclear arms control and reduction and lead the disruption of the so-called strategic balance," he said. "No one should have illusions over a possibility of taking military advantage over Russia," Putin said. "We will never allow this." Putin noted that the missile shield would "erode" the world's balance of power. He said that "any international problem can and must be solved through political means". "Iran's nuclear program once served as the key reason for deploying missile shields," Putin said. "And what do we have now? Iran's nuclear problem is fading, while the missile shield remains in place. Moreover, it is still developing." At the December 19 annual press-conference Mr. Putin said Russia cannot but to react to the deployment of US weapons are really located in Europe, including missile defense systems. According to him, there is nothing new about deploying the Iskander in the Kaliningrad region. The President emphasized that the final decision is not taken yet but Russia should not be provoked because the Iskander is not the only and not the most effective weapon Russia possesses to defend itself.

Earlier at a meeting with Russia's Defense Minister Shoigu on December 10 he said that the country should upgrade its weaponry to maintain parity with "leading nations actively modernizing their arsenals." Defense Minister Sergei Shoigu said Russia would pursue deployment of Iskander nuclear missiles in the country's western-most region Kaliningrad as a reaction to the US missile plans.

President Putin has cited the missile shield as one of the reasons Russia must maintain a strong military and has pledged to spend 23 trillion roubles (\$700 billion) by 2020 to upgrade defense. Russia has always suspected that the US was deploying not just missile defense systems in Europe, but fully-fledged first strike weapons. "We are well aware of the fact that the missile defense system is only defensive in name, whereas in reality it is an essential element of a strategic offensive potential," Putin said his address to the Federal Assembly, adding that Russia was "closely following the evolution of the so-called "disarming nuclear strike' concept" of the US military. Putin said the US program was designed "to upset what is known as the strategic balance of power." "We are well aware of that. And we know what we need to do about it," Putin warned.

On December 11 Russian Deputy Prime Minister Dmitry Rogozin warned that Russia would use nuclear weapons if it came under attack, adding that this possibility serves as the main deterrent to potential provocateurs and aggressors.

"One can experiment as long as one wishes by deploying non-nuclear warheads on strategic missile carriers. But one should keep in mind that if there is an attack against us, we will certainly resort to using nuclear weapons in certain situations to defend our territory and state interests," Rogozin, the defense industry chief said speaking at the State Duma, the

lower house (4)

Aleksey Pushkov, the chairman of the Foreign Affairs Committee of the Russian State Duma, the lower house of parliament, said in an interview to Russia Today (RT) that from the very beginning western argument backing the idea of the European BMD was "deeply flawed" and both North Korean and Iranian arguments used to justify American ABM in Europe "are just fake." "The real goal is to create a new stage of American superiority in Europe, to try to neutralize at least partially Russian nuclear potential and to create the new bond between the US and Europe," Pushkov told RT. (5)

Reports about the Iskander deployment to Kaliningrad had been pre-signaled. Russian President Vladimir Putin and other Russian officials have talked about such a move for years emphasizing that it was a necessary countermeasure to the U.S.-led NATO missile defense for Europe. Russia does what it says. There is still time left for NATO to get serious about really tackling the issue instead of expressing surprise and concern over what it had been duly warned about.

Notes:

- 3.
- http://voiceofrussia.com/news/2013_12_18/Iranian-nuke-problem-settlement-makes-unnecessary-European-missile-defense-Lavrov-7479/).
- 4. http://rt.com/politics/nuclear-strike-attack-rogozin-053/).
- 5. http://rt.com/news/hagel-shoigu-missile- defense-356/

Part I of this article

The original source of this article is <u>Strategic Culture Foundation</u> Copyright © <u>Andrei Akulov</u>, <u>Strategic Culture Foundation</u>, 2013

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Andrei Akulov

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca