

The Democratization of Banking? California Legislature Passes Bill to Study State-owned Bank

By Ellen Brown

Global Research, September 15, 2011

webofdebt.com 15 September 2011

Region: <u>USA</u>
Theme: <u>Global Economy</u>

<u>AB 750</u>, California's bill to study the feasibility of establishing a state-owned bank that would receive deposits of state funds, has <u>passed both houses</u> of the legislature and is now on the desk of Governor Jerry Brown awaiting his signature.

It could be the governor's chance to restore the state to its former glory. As noted <u>in Time</u> <u>Magazine</u>:

[I]n the 1950s and '60s, California was a liberal showcase. Governors Earl Warren and Pat Brown responded to the population growth of the postwar boom with a massive program of public infrastructure—the nation's finest public college system, the freeway system and the state aqueduct that carries water from the well-watered north to the parched south.

But that was before Proposition 13, a California constitutional amendment enacted by voter initiative in 1978. Prop 13 limited real property taxes to one percent of the full cash value of the property and required a two-thirds majority in both legislative houses for future increases of any state tax rates.

Prop 13 radically reduced the tax base, and as economist <u>Michael Hudson observes</u>, it is too late to raise property taxes now. The tax savings simply drove property prices up, getting capitalized into additional debt service to the banks. Today, he says, "so much urban property is sinking into negative equity territory that a rise in property taxes will lead to even more foreclosures and abandonments, and hence even lower fiscal returns."

Meanwhile, the state is struggling to meet its budget with a vastly shrunken tax base. What it needs is a new source of revenue, something that won't squeeze consumers, homeowners, or local business.

A state-owned bank can provide that opportunity. North Dakota, the one state that currently has its own bank, is the only state to be in <u>continuous budget surplus</u> since the banking crisis began. North Dakota's balance sheet is so strong that it recently <u>reduced</u> individual income taxes and property taxes by a combined \$400 million and is debating further cuts. It also has the <u>lowest unemployment rate</u>, <u>lowest foreclosure rate</u> and <u>lowest credit card default rate</u> in the country, and it hasn't had a bank failure in at least <u>the last decade</u>.

Revenues from the Bank of North Dakota (BND) have been a major boost to the state budget. The bank has contributed over \$300 million in revenues over the last decade to state coffers, a substantial sum for a state with a population less than one-tenth the size of Los Angeles County. North Dakota is an oil state, but according to a <u>study by the Center for</u>

<u>State Innovation</u>, from 2007 to 2009 the BND added nearly as much money to the state's general fund as oil and gas tax revenues did. Over a 15-year period, according to other data, the BND has contributed more to the state budget than oil taxes have.

North Dakota is a conservative red state, not the sort you would expect to be engaging in government enterprise. But the conservative justification for a state-owned bank is that it preserves state sovereignty, allowing the state to be independent of Wall Street and the Feds. The BND is not a business competitor of the local banks but partners with them, helping with capital and liquidity requirements. It participates in loans, provides guarantees, and acts as a sort of mini-Fed for the state.

According to the annual BND report for 2010:

Financially, 2010 was our strongest year ever. Profits increased by nearly \$4 million to \$61.9 million during our seventh consecutive year of record profits. . . . We ended the year with the highest capital level in our history at just over \$325 million. The Bank returned a healthy 19 percent ROE, which represents the state's return on its investment.

A 19 percent return on equity beats the <u>170 billion dollars LOST</u> by CalPERS and CalSTRS, California's two public pension funds, by the time the stock market hit bottom in March 2009. The BND was making record profits all through that period.

The BND augments state revenues in other ways besides just returning its profits to the general fund. It helps build the tax base by providing the funding needed by local businesses, and by financing the infrastructure that attracts them. Among other resources, it has a loan program called Flex PACE that allows a local community to provide assistance to borrowers in areas of jobs retention, technology creation, retail, small business, and essential community services.

The BND also furnishes a credit line to the state itself, one that is effectively interest-free, since the state owns the bank. Credit lines are extended in times of emergency or whenever state departments or municipalities face unforeseen circumstances, such as the recent flooding in the state. Having a credit line to the state's own bank allows state and local governments to avoid extortionate interest rates from Wall Street and pressure to privatize and reduce services in order to avoid downgrades from rating agencies.

Timothy Canova is Professor of International Economic Law at Chapman University School of Law in Orange, California. In a June 2011 paper called "The Public Option: The Case for Parallel Public Banking Institutions," he compared North Dakota's comfortable financial situation to California's:

. . . California is the largest state economy in the nation, yet without a state-owned bank, is unable to steer hundreds of billions of dollars in state revenues into productive investment within the state. Instead, California deposits its many billions in tax revenues in large private banks which often lend the funds out-of-state, invest them in speculative trading strategies (including derivative bets against the state's own bonds), and do not remit any of their earnings back to the state treasury. Meanwhile, California suffers from constrained private credit conditions, high unemployment levels well above the national average, and the stagnation of state and local tax receipts.

California was once the nation's leader in technology, industry, entertainment and public

education. Under Governor Pat Brown, tuition at UC campuses was free, making higher education available to all. Today tuition is about \$13,000 a year, and the state has an unemployment rate hovering at 12%.

California, like North Dakota, is resource-rich. A state-owned bank will allow it to capitalize on its resources to full advantage, by providing the credit needed to realize its potential. As the bank was described by Assembly Member Ben Hueso of San Diego, who authored AB 750, "It's not the fad of the moment, a pair of tight fitting jeans; it's a pair of construction boots."

You can contact Governor Brown's office to urge him to sign AB 750 by writing or calling:

Governor Jerry Brown c/o State Capitol, Suite 1173 Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-2841 Fax: (916) 558-3160

Email: http://gov.ca.gov/m_contact.php

First posted on <u>YES! Magazine</u>. Ellen Brown is an attorney, president of the Public Banking Institute, and the author of eleven books, including Web of Debt: The Shocking Truth About Our Money System and How We Can Break Free. Her websites are http://webofDebt.com and <

The original source of this article is webofdebt.com, 2011

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Ellen Brown

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca