

The Colombian and Venezuelan Refugee Crisis: Mainstream Media Distortion

By Joe Emersberger

Global Research, February 19, 2018

FAIR 18 February 2018

Region: <u>Latin America & Caribbean</u>
Theme: Media Disinformation

A **Wall Street Journal** article by <u>Juan Forero</u> (2/13/18) ran with the headline "Venezuela's Misery Fuels Migration on Epic Scale." The subhead stated, "Residents Flee Crumbling Economy in Numbers That Echo Syrians to Europe, Rohingya to Bangladesh."

Forero's article quoted a UN official: "By world standards, Colombia is receiving migrants at a pace that now rivals what we saw in the Balkans, in Greece, in Italy in 2015, at the peak of [Europe's] migrant emergency." Further on, Forero says, "The influx prompted Colombian officials to travel to Turkey last year to study how authorities were dealing with Syrian war refugees."

Two enormous problems with the way Forero and his editors have framed this article should immediately stand out:

- Colombia's population of <u>internally displaced people is about 7 million</u>, and has consistently been neck and neck with Syria's. According to the UNHCR, as of mid-2016, Colombia is also the Latin American country which has the most number of <u>refugees living outside its borders</u>: over 300,000, mainly in Venezuela and Ecuador. Forero and his editors picked the wrong country to compare with Syria.
- 2. Greece and Italy do not share a border with Syria, nor do the Balkans as they are generally defined. Colombia and Venezuela, by contrast, share a very long border. Forero's comparison, therefore, excludes states that border Syria. Three of those bordering states—Lebanon, Jordan and Turkey—collectively absorbed 4.4 million Syrian refugees by 2016; five years after war broke out in Syria, Turkey alone took in almost 3 million.

It's very important to expand on the first point. Colombia is a human.colombia is a human.colombia.colom



Colombian military police (cc photo: Pipeafcr/Wikimedia)

Praise for Colombia's government has also come from the liberal end of the US establishment, albeit with much more subtlety than from Kelly. In 2014, a **New York Times** editorial (9/21/14) stated that "Colombia, Brazil and other Latin American countries should lead an effort to prevent Caracas from representing the region [on the UN Security Council] when it is fast becoming an embarrassment on the continent." So to **Times** editors, Colombia is a regional good guy that must lead its neighbors in shunning Venezuela.

Colombia's current president, Juan Manuel Santos, was minister of Defense from 2006 to 2009. From 2002 to 2008, the Colombian military murdered about 3,000 civilians, passing them off as slain rebels. As human rights lawyer Dan Kovalik explained (**Huffington Post,** 11/20/14), the International Criminal Court (ICC) "concluded that these killings were systemic, approved by the highest ranks of the Colombian military, and that they therefore constituted 'state policy.'" The murders occurred with the greatest frequency between 2004 and 2008, which Kovalik observed "also corresponds with the time in which the US was providing the highest level of military aid to Colombia."

If <u>Colombian</u> and US officials evade prosecution for all of this, it will be with the help of corporate media—as well as the severe limitations powerful governments impose on international bureaucracies like the ICC. Kovalik remarked:

You might say, no official of the US can be prosecuted by the ICC because the US has refused to ratify the ICC treaty. While this may appear to be true, this did not stop the ICC from prosecuting officials from the Sudan—also not a signatory to the ICC.

The closest Forero came in his article to even hinting at any of these gruesome facts was when he wrote that "Colombia has long had troubles of its own, including integrating former Communist guerrillas from a civil conflict that only ended recently." The "conflict" has not exactly "ended," given that 170 leftist political leaders and activists were assassinated in 2017.

Putting aside Forero's epic distortions by omission regarding Colombia, what about his reporting about migration from Venezuela? He wrote:

Nearly 3 million Venezuelans—a tenth of the population—have left the oil-rich country over the past two decades of leftist rule. Almost half that number—some 1.2 million people—have gone in the past two years, according to Tomás Páez, a Venezuelan immigration expert at Venezuela's Central University.

In April 2002, Páez signed his name to a <u>quarter-page ad</u> in the Venezuelan newspaper **El Nacional** that welcomed the dictatorship of Pedro Carmona, then head of Venezuela's largest business federation, who was installed after a US-backed military coup briefly ousted the late President Hugo Chavez. I've written before (**ZNet**, <u>1/16/17</u>) about Western outlets—**New York Times** (<u>11/25/16</u>), **Reuters** (<u>10/15/14</u>) and **Financial Times** (<u>8/22/16</u>)—citing Páez without disclosing his anti-democratic record.

The World Bank has compiled data over the years on the numbers of Venezuelan-born people living abroad. The numbers point to far smaller migrations than Páez has estimated:

Population of Former Venezuelan Residents Living Abroad

Residents Living Abroad	
Year	Population
1990	216,923
2000	333,647
2005	463,759
2010	521,620
2013	655,400

Data in table can be found here, here, here and here.

During the years Chavez was in office (1999-2013), the World Bank's figures tell us Venezuelans living abroad increased by about 330,000. By 2013, Páez was estimating that about 1.3 million had left—about 1 million more than World Bank estimates. Would journalists ignore data published by the World Bank in favor of estimates by Páez if he were a staunch supporter of the Venezuelan government?

During those 1999–2013 years, the World Bank figures also say that the number of Colombian-born people living in Venezuela grew by 200,000. Forero's article implies that migration from Colombia to Venezuela ended in the "late 20th century."

The World Bank has not updated migration data past 2013, but there is no doubt there was a huge increase in migration from Venezuela since its economy entered into a very deep crisis starting in late 2014. (For an overview of the important role of US policy in creating the crisis and now deliberately making it much worse, see my op-ed, "US Policy a Big Factor in Venezuela's Depression"—**Tribune News Service**, 2/2/18.)

According to a <u>Colombian university study</u> of Venezuelan migration to Colombia, it averaged about 47,000 per year from 2011–2014, then increased to 80,000 per year in 2015–16.

US government data show migration from Venezuela to the United States increasing from about 7,000 per year before 2013 to 28,000 per year by 2015, including Venezuelans who have entered without authorization.

Venezuelan-Born Population in the United States

Year	Population
2000	77,800
2013	170,000
2015	225,000

Numbers in the table can be found here and here.

From 2000 to 2013, the United States was the destination for about 30 percent of Venezuelan-born people who left to live abroad, according to the World Bank figures. If the Colombian university study and US government data are accurate, then the United States has been the destination for about 20 percent of Venezuelan migrants after 2013. That would mean about 140,000 Venezuelans per year were leaving to live abroad by 2016.

That is not remotely comparable to the 5 million Syrians who fled the country in the first five years following the civil war—and that doesn't include over a million per year who fled their homes inside Syria (the internally displaced).

That Forero would even try to force this comparison into his article speaks volumes. It's not hard to guess why it was made, given that US has bombed Syria regularly and has had Venezuela's government in its crosshairs for almost two decades.

*

Joe Emerberger is a writer based in Canada whose work has appeared in Telesur English, ZNet and Counterpunch.

Featured image is from the author.

The original source of this article is <u>FAIR</u> Copyright © Joe Emersberger, FAIR, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Joe Emersberger

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca