

# 'The Case Against Peace': Elites Manipulating Domestic Society With War

By [Stuart J. Hooper](#)

Global Research, June 28, 2016

[21st Century Wire](#) 26 June 2016

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

*It is understandable why elites would think this way, but it does not stand up to scrutiny and exposes humanity to untold dangers.*

Stephen Walt, a world famous professor of international relations, has presented an interesting '[case against peace](#)' that might explain some of the most recent trends in international affairs.

Walt presents an argument that essentially says that a state of war allows for domestic social cohesion to occur, because:

When the wolf is at the door, domestic quarrels are put aside in order to deal with the more immediate danger.

George Simmel, a sociologist, is also quoted by Walt and proceeds to explain how, in his opinion, 'peace time' can lead to domestic unrest:

A group's complete victory over its enemies is thus not always fortunate in a sociological sense. Victory lowers the energy which guarantees the unity of the group; and the dissolving forces, which are always at work, gain hold.

Walt explores the work of another political scientist, Michael Desch, who said:

The cold war was the 'perfect' type of threat. It never escalated to a major war ... although it was serious enough to be a unifying factor.

Walt acknowledges that the 9/11 attacks created a similar unifying atmosphere, yet notes that it quickly collapsed after the true reality of the threat turned out to be based upon lies:

The threat from al Qaeda and its ilk is just not serious enough to galvanize the national unity that a genuine international rivalry produces.

Domestic terrorism continues to shock us, but it's hard to rally the nation over the long term when the risk of dying in a terrorist incident is still about [1 chance in 4 million](#) each year.

Walt concludes with this:

Reducing external dangers turns out to have a downside: The less threatened we are by the outside world, the more prone we are to ugly quarrels at home. Even worse, peace may even contain the seeds of its own destruction.

Now if we examine recent trends, we can see how this thesis is being applied.



Political elites have continued to shift their rhetoric in recent years to portraying Russia as the enemy of the Western world, and particularly the United States, in a way that we might see as attempting to produce that 'genuine international rivalry' that Walt speaks of.

Many believe that stoking domestic tensions is beneficial to those in control, and at a certain level it is, but domestic harmony is far more conducive to economic growth. The 'police state takeover' would be of massive short term gain to elites, but in reality it would be of little gain at all in the long term.

The rise of anti-Russian rhetoric in particular may be a drive towards implementing the 'case against peace', in order to keep a working population in motion and generating the massive economic activity that ultimately is the thing that keeps the US on top of everybody else.

There are however, some very worrying aspects of the 'case against peace' that Walt does not consider. The first is that a real threat does not necessarily incite mass social cohesion. The war in Vietnam was framed as a fight against the 'real' threat of communism, yet huge protests and massive social unrest occurred against it. Moreover, despite being in the height of the post-9/11 us-against-them mentality, London saw its biggest protest in history take place against the Iraq War.

Desch calls the Cold War the 'perfect type of threat', because 'it never escalated into a major war', but fails to mention that it very well could have done, which would have destroyed far more than just social cohesion and made a 'normal life' impossible for centuries to come.

It is also not necessarily true that it is only a threat from 'the outside world' that maintains domestic harmony. Why would we risk something that may escalate into a 'major war', when there are perhaps other ways of achieving social calm? In the Western world as it stands, most social unrest can be traced back to the fact that we have a massively unequal society – financially speaking. We might, instead of stoking conflict with an international, military super power like Russia, seek to create an economic reality that allows people to maintain a prosperous and fulfilling life.

Walt contends that the 'case against peace' means for:

A recurring cycle of conflict where periods of peace give way to new sources of tension and division.

This is the saddest part of the entire argument. We, as humans, need to find alternative means of dealing with our 'sources of tension and division' other than 'a recurring cycle of conflict' that the 'case against peace' advocates. Until we do that, we will be doomed to follow the mistakes of the past.

The original source of this article is [21st Century Wire](#)  
Copyright © [Stuart J. Hooper](#), [21st Century Wire](#), 2016

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stuart J. Hooper](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)