

The American Government's Biggest Lie Is About Ukraine and Vladimir Putin. Deception and the Dangers of Nuclear War with Russia

By Eric Zuesse

Global Research, March 16, 2015

Region: Russia and FSU

Theme: Media Disinformation, US NATO

War Agenda

The American Government's biggest lie in 2002-2003 was about Saddam Hussein and Iraq. We've already seen what that lie produced. It cost the U.S. more than \$3 trillion, produced ISIS, and caused death and destruction in Iraq that make Saddam Hussein's dictatorship look benign by comparison. Are Americans still fooling themselves about that? (Some are; but most are not.)

The American Government's biggest lie in 2014-2015 is instead about Vladimir Putin and Ukraine — and it's even worse, and far more dangerous, because this one can very possibly lead to a nuclear war, one with Russia that's totally unnecessary for America's national-security, and that actually places all of our nation's security at risk, for the shameful reasons of aristocrats ("oligarchs") in both the U.S. and Ukraine — not for any real reasons of the American people, at all.

But, that's where we are heading, nonetheless, because America's aristocrats overwhelmingly want it (as will be shown here).

Radio Free Europe, Radio Liberty, or RFE/RL, is a U.S. government broadcaster which, like NATO, was necessary when communism threatened the United States from the Soviet Union, and which, also like NATO, should have been disbanded when the U.S.S.R. and its communist ideology effectively ended. It, like NATO, is now really just a vile vestige of our war against communism, a war that's gone but which America's aristocrats want to continue fighting, because America's aristocracy want to conquer the entire world and want U.S. taxpayers to fund the effort. The ideological excuse is gone, and they want us not to notice that.

A good example of RFE/RL's current vileness was a story they ran on March 12th, <u>"A Bipartisan Cause In Washington: Arming Ukraine Against Russia,"</u> and it reported that, "Consensus appears to be snowballing among Democratic and Republican lawmakers in the U.S. capital on at least one issue: arming Ukraine. One exception, however, is the figure who matters most: President Barack Obama."

The implicit thrust of this news article is that, as their propaganda-writer put it, "Obama has resisted providing such assistance despite the pressure from lawmakers and public statements by top military brass, including U.S. Secretary of Defense Ashton Carter, supporting lethal aid to Kyiv. 'The president has all the authority he needs to do it. He just needs to have the will to do it,' [Eliot] Engel [a House Democrat] told RFE/RL." In other

words: the article presents Obama as being obstructionist against something that supposedly needs to be done, and should be done.

Earlier, RFE/RL had brazenly <u>reveled in the international success of its campaign to vilify</u> Russia's President, Vladimir Putin.

And, unfortunately, that entire <u>propaganda campaign is based on blatant lies</u>, just like the propaganda to invade Iraq in 2003 was. But this one is far more dangerous.

Furthermore, this propaganda campaign (including that article) ignores that the top leaders in Ukraine who are pressing for the U.S. and other Western nations to supply arms to the Ukrainian Government are Ukraine's ultra-nationalist outright nazis, especially the leaders of Ukraine's two nazi parties, both of which were created as local copies of Hitler's Nazi Party, and one of which even called itself the Social Nationalist Party of Ukraine, in order to signal to Ukrainians that it's in the tradition of Adolf Hitler but just the local, Ukrainian nationalist, version of it. That party's leader (Andrei Parubiy) was the chief organizer of the Maidan demonstrations that were used by the Obama Administration as cover for the coup that the Obama Administration planned and carried out, which installed the current, rabidly Russiahating, racist-fascist, Government in Ukraine.

It also ignores that the leader (<u>Dmitriy Yarosh</u>) of the other Ukrainian nazi party (<u>also calling for America to send weapons</u>), which party is called Right Sector, was <u>the organizer of the gunmen whose shooting into the crowd of anti-corruption demonstrators actually brought down the democratically elected <u>President of Ukraine</u>, and thus enabled the Obama Administration to choose the new leader of Ukraine (which <u>Obama's operative</u>, <u>Victoria Nuland</u>, <u>chose on 4 February 2014</u>, <u>18 days before the coup</u>).</u>

It also ignores that the leader of Ukraine's most effective fighting force, the Azov Battalion (<u>Andrei Beletsky</u>), authored the official statement of "Ukrainian Social Nationalism," including:

<u>"The historic mission of our Nation,</u> a watershed in this century, is thus to lead the White peoples of the world in the final crusade for their survival. It is to lead the war against Semites and the sub-humans they use."

It also ignores that Ukrainian Prime Minister Arseniy Yatsenyuk, who on 4 February 2014 was selected for his post by Victoria Nuland of the U.S. State Department, was quoted by Ukrainian media on Thursday March 12th of 2015 as saying that, "Ukraine is in a state of war with a nuclear state, which is the Russian Federation." He blamed all of Ukraine's current problems on Russia, and urged "our Western partners" to send weapons.

Clearly, these people are eager to serve as the proxy-state for Washington's war against Russia.

It also ignores that, as *German Economic News* headlined on March 15th, <u>"Ukraine: Rightwing extremists boycott peace plans with Russia,"</u> reporting that several of the leading nazis in the Ukrainian Government (which America's coup installed and which still is enthusiastically supported by both President Obama and the U.S. Congress) employed such phrases as: "Russia is the 'eternal enemy' of 'civilization'." This is what America's Government wants to send yet more American weapons (we've already sent lots, <u>some of</u>

which have already turned up destroyed on the battlefield when the Ukrainian forces have surrendered).

While it's true that there is virtual unity (more than 98% among members of Congress) in Washington to supply arms to Ukraine, the U.S. public, when polled about this matter, are more than 2 to 1 opposed to doing so.

In this regard, the U.S. public are <u>far more in line with the leaders of the EU</u> than they are with the leaders of the United States.

The RFE/RL propaganda-article ignored, of course, the overwhelming opposition of Europeans, and even of their leaders, to supplying weapons to Ukraine. It also ignored the overwhelming opposition of the American public to doing so.

Whereas the central focus of Obama's foreign policy is to weaken if not destroy Russia, some in Washington are not satisfied with the intensity of that campaign, and want it to be even more, but Obama is trying to avoid pushing European leaders so hard on this that he loses them altogether. The difference between Obama and the Republicans on this is merely tactical. Both want to destroy Russia; the debate is over how to get the job done.

Insane and globally suicidal isn't bad enough for some of the rotten people whom we in America elect into public office. But we, the public, are not to blame for it — the aristocrats who fund politics determine what the field of candidates will be from which we get to select our leaders. And, for example, only too late are the American public starting to recognize that the choice between Barack Obama and Mitt Romney in 2012 was really a choice between, as Ralph Nader lied in 2000 but which was true in 2008 and 2012, "Tweedledum versus Tweedledee." Obama turns out to be a dark-skinned Romney with prettier rhetoric.

The aristocrats determine the political choices that we have; and, now (in this century) in America, they're all bad.

As Martin Gilens and Benjamin I. Page found in their massive study published by the American Political Science Association during the Fall of 2014, today's United States is an aristocracy (or as they call their findings, an "oligarchy"), and the will of the public no longer counts for anything in determining the federal government's policies and laws: the aristocrats control the media so that they control the political personnel and outcomes that matter the most to politicians' sponsors.

And, now, they're even pushing the envelope of revolution, because nuclear war would harm everybody. Aristocrats' chief motive is dominance; the public aren't like that: mere survival is more important to the bottom 99% of the population. Nuclear war is too much of a risk to take for our aristocracy's global dominance, and we're heading now straight into that risk. They want us to do like Ukraine's nazis are doing, and play along with it. But we're not like Ukraine's nazis — nor like any. Nazis have the dominance-culture, just as aristocrats do; but we don't. So: the lies are coming, thick and fast, to make us go along, purely on the basis of deception.

<u>If the public is deceived, then democracy is impossible.</u> All choices become bad. And that's where we now are. Things like <u>this</u> just can't be explained any other way.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of <u>They're Not Even Close:</u> <u>The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010</u>, and of <u>CHRIST'S</u>

<u>VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity</u>, and of <u>Feudalism</u>, <u>Fascism</u>, <u>Libertarianism</u> and <u>Economics</u>.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Eric Zuesse, Global Research, 2015

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Eric Zuesse

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010, and of CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: $\underline{publications@globalresearch.ca}$