

The 2008 Global Economic Crisis, Tenth Anniversary of Financial Collapse, Preparing for the Next Crash

By <u>Kevin Zeese</u> and <u>Margaret Flowers</u> Global Research, September 03, 2018 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Global Economy</u>

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above

Ten years ago, there was panic in Washington, DC, New York City and financial centers around the world as the United States was in the midst of an economic collapse. The crash became the focus of the presidential campaign between Barack Obama and John McCain and was followed by protests that created a popular movement, which continues to this day.

2007	2016
Top 1 percent	Top 1 percent
33.7 %	38.7 %
Next 9 percent	Next 9 percent
37.7%	38.5%
Bottom 90 percent	Bottom 90 percent
28.6 %	22.8%
Source: Federal Reserve Survey of Consumer Finances	

Banks: Bailed Out; The People: Sold Out

On the campaign trail, in March 2008, <u>Obama blamed mismanagement</u> of the economy on both Democrats and Republicans for rewarding financial manipulation rather than economic productivity. He called for funds to protect homeowners from foreclosure and to stabilize local governments and urged a 21st Century regulation of the financial system. John McCain opposed federal intervention, saying the country should not bail out banks or homeowners who knowingly took financial risks.

By September 2008, McCain and Obama <u>met with President George W. Bush</u> and together they called for a \$700 billion bailout of the banks, not the people. Obama and McCain issued a joint statement that called the bank bailout plan "flawed," but said, "the effort to protect

the American economy must not fail." <u>Obama expressed "outrage"</u> at the "crisis," which was "a direct result of the greed and irresponsibility that has dominated Washington and Wall Street for years."

By October 2008, the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), or bank bailout, had recapitalized the banks, the Treasury had stabilized money market mutual funds and the FDIC had guaranteed the bank debts. The Federal Reserve began flowing money to banks, which would ultimately total almost twice the \$16 trillion claimed in a federal audit. Researchers at the University of Missouri found that the Federal Reserve gave over \$29 trillion to the banks.

This did not stop the <u>loss of nine million jobs</u>, <u>more than four million foreclosures</u> and the <u>deep reduction in wealth</u> among the poor, working and middle classes. A complete banking collapse was averted, but a deep recession for most people was not.

The <u>New Yorker described</u> the 2008 crash as years in the making, writing:

"...the crisis took years to emerge. It was caused by reckless lending practices, Wall Street greed, outright fraud, lax government oversight in the George W. Bush years, and deregulation of the financial sector in the Bill Clinton years. The deepest source, going back decades, was rising inequality. In good times and bad, no matter which party held power, the squeezed middle class sank ever further into debt."

Before his inauguration, Obama proposed an economic stimulus plan, but, as <u>Paul Krugman</u> <u>wrote</u>,

"Obama's prescription doesn't live up to his diagnosis. The economic plan he's offering isn't as strong as his language about the economic threat."

In the end, the stimulus was even smaller than what Obama proposed. Economist <u>Dean</u> <u>Baker explained</u> that it may have created 2 million jobs, but we needed 12 million. It was \$300 billion in 2009, about the same in 2010, and the remaining \$100 billion followed over several years — too small to offset the \$1.4 trillion in annual lost spending.

<u>New York Magazine</u> reports the stimulus was "a spending stimulus bigger, by some measures than the entire New Deal." But unlike the New Deal, which benefited people at the bottom and built a foundation for a long-term economy, the bi-partisan post-2008 stimulus bailed out Wall Street and left Main Street behind.

<u>Wall Street executives were not prosecuted</u> even though the financial crisis was in large part caused by their fraud. Bankers were given fines costing dimes on the dollar<u>without being</u> <u>required to admit guilt</u> or having their cases referred for prosecution. The fines were <u>paid by</u> <u>shareholders</u>, not the perpetrators.



Protest near Union Square in New York, April, 2010. Popular Resistance.

Still at Risk

Many of the root causes of the crisis remain today, making another economic downturn or collapse possible. The <u>New Yorker reports</u> that little has changed since 2008, with Wall Street banks returning to risky behavior and the inadequate regulation of Dodd-Frank being weakened. Big finance is more concentrated and dominant than it was before the crash. Inequality and debt have expanded, and despite the capital class getting wealthier in a record stock market with corporate profits soaring, <u>real wages are stuck at pre-crisis levels</u>.

People are economically insecure in the US and live with growing despair, as measured by reports on well-being. The Federal Reserve reported in 2017 that "two in five Americans don't have enough savings to cover a \$400 emergency expense." Further, "more than one in five said they weren't able to pay the current month's bills in full, and more than one in four said they skipped necessary medical care last year because they couldn't afford it."

Positive Money writes:

"Ten years on, big banks are still behaving in <u>reckless</u>, <u>unfair and neglectful</u> <u>ways</u>. The structural problems with our money and banking system still haven't been fixed. And many experts fear that if we don't change things soon, we're going to sleepwalk into <u>another crash</u>."

William Cohen, a former mergers and acquisitions banker on Wall Street, writes that <u>the</u> <u>fundamentals of US economy are still flawed</u>. <u>The Economist</u> describes the current situation: "The patient is in remission, not cured."



From Occupy Washington DC at Freedom Plaza.

The Response Of the Popular Movement

Larry Eliott wrote in the Guardian,

"Capitalism's near-death experience with the banking crisis was a golden opportunity for progressives."

But the movement in the United States was not yet in a position to take advantage of it.

There were immediate protests. Democratic Party-aligned groups such as USAction, True Majority and others <u>organized nationwide actions</u>. Over 1,000 people <u>demonstrated on Wall</u> <u>Street</u> and phones in Congress were ringing wildly. While there was opposition to the bailout, there was a lack of national consensus over what to do.

Protests continued to grow. In late 2009, a <u>"Move Your Money" campaign</u> was started that urged people to take their money out of the big banks and put it in community banks and credit unions. The most visible anti-establishment rage in response to the bailout arose later in the Tea Party and Occupy movements. Both groups shared a consensus that we live in a rigged economy created by a corrupt political establishment. It was evident that the US is an oligarchy, which serves the interests of the wealthy while ignoring the necessities of the people.

The anti-establishment consensus continues to grow and showed itself in the 2016 presidential campaigns of Senator Bernie Sanders and Donald Trump. They were two sides of the same coin of populist anger that defeated Jeb Bush and Hillary Clinton. Across the political spectrum, there is a political crisis with <u>both mainstream</u>, <u>Wall Street-funded</u> <u>political parties being unpopular</u> but staying in power due to a calcified political system that

protects the duopoly of Democrats and Republicans.



Occupy Wall Street 2011.

Preparing for the Next Collapse

When the next financial crisis arrives, the movement is in a much stronger position to take advantage of the opportunity for significant changes that benefit people over Wall Street. The Occupy movement and other efforts since then have changed the national dialogue so that more people are aware of wealth inequality, the corruption of big banks and the failure of the political elites to represent the people's interests.

There is also greater awareness of alternatives to the current economy. The Public Banking movement has grown significantly since 2008. Banks that need to be bailed out could be transformed into public banks that serve the people and are democratically controlled. And there are multiple platforms, including our <u>People's Agenda</u>, that outline alternative solutions.

We also know the government can afford almost \$30 trillion to bail out the banks. One sixth of this could provide a \$12,000 annual basic income, which would cost \$3.8 trillion annually, <u>doubling Social Security payments</u> to \$22,000 annually, which would cost \$662 billion, a \$10,000 <u>bonus for all US public school teachers</u>, which would cost \$11 billion, free college for all high school graduates, which would cost \$318 billion, and universal preschool, which would cost \$38 billion. National improved Medicare for all would actually <u>save the nation</u> trillions of dollars over a decade. We can afford to provide for the necessities of the people.

We can look to Iceland for an example of how to handle the next crisis. In <u>2008</u>, they jailed the bankers, let the banks fail without taking on their debt and put controls in place to protect the economy. They recovered more quickly than other countries and with less pain.

How did they do it? In part, through protest. They held sustained and noisy protests, banging pots and pans outside their parliament building for five months. The number of people participating in the protests grew over time. They created democratized platforms

for gathering public input and sharing information widely. And they created new political parties, the Pirate Party and the Best Party, which offered agendas informed by that popular input.

So, when the next crash comes. Let's put forward a People's Agenda. Let's be like Iceland and mobilize for policies that put people first. Collectively, we have the power to overcome the political elites and their donor class.

*

Kevin Zeese and Margaret Flowers co-direct <u>Popular Resistance</u> where this article was originally published.

All images (except the featured) in this article are from the authors unless otherwise stated.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Kevin Zeese</u> and <u>Margaret Flowers</u>, Global Research, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: <u>Kevin Zeese</u> and <u>Margaret Flowers</u>

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca