

Thailand's "Youth Protests" Made in USA. Undermine Thailand Relations with China

By [Tony Cartalucci](#)

Global Research, September 09, 2020

Region: [Asia](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Politics](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

Imagine the reaction if the Russian government funded programs and events for years inside the US to create youth groups indoctrinated in support of Moscow's interests – particularly in regards to voting in US elections and the shaping of the US government itself.

It would be considered up to and including an act of war, with Russian-funded organizations in the US dismantled, those involved jailed for sedition and even treason, and heavy penalties leveled against Russia directly.

Yet the United States itself has been engaged in these very activities for years – directly interfering in the internal political affairs of targeted nations around the globe.

"Youth" groups directly involved in current protests across Asia – from Hong Kong to Thailand – propped up by US government money are the result of years of US efforts to recruit, indoctrinate, and turn the region's youth against incumbent governments – especially those with close ties to China.

Washington "Youth" Brand in Thailand

The Western media and their Thai partners have helped build up the "youth" brand of recent anti-government protests in Thailand.

Despite Thailand's billionaire-led opposition and the US government itself being behind virtually every aspect of the current protests – they are still described as "youth-led," "self-organized," "organic," and "spontaneous."

Of course, with major rallies – including one [supposedly organized by secondary school children](#) – featuring expensive stages, professionally printed banners, ribbons, flags, and clothing, promoted by US government-funded media platforms across US-based social media platforms, and serving the interests of opposition parties [led by aging billionaires](#) – there is obviously nothing youthful, self-organized, organic, or spontaneous about any of them.

But where did this "youth" brand come from?

One of the Thai "youth" leaders – Parit "Penguin" Chiwarak – [visiting the US Embassy in 2016](#) provides a clue.

In fact, Parit "Penguin" Chiwarak's visit was just part of a much wider strategy by the US government to manipulate and leverage not only Thailand's youth, but young people across all of Southeast Asia to create a pro-Western political bloc to wield against a rising China

and its allies in the region.

US “Pivot to Asia’s” Failure Led to Using, Abusing, Hiding Behind Region’s Youth

It was part of the US “Pivot to Asia” which began in 2011 with then US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton’s [article](#) in Foreign Policy Magazine titled, “America’s Pacific Century” – a manifesto declaring America’s desire to establish hegemony over Asia.

Clinton would state:

The future of politics will be decided in Asia, not Afghanistan or Iraq, and the United States will be right at the center of the action.

Of course, the United States isn’t located in Asia.

An entire ocean stands between the continents of North America and Asia. Asia’s affairs are no more America’s business than America’s business is Asia’s. For the US to be “right at the center of the action” is a declaration of intent to impose American interests onto the region at the expense of Asia’s collective sovereignty.

Clinton herself references Afghanistan and Iraq – located in another region the US was “at the center of the action” in – and a region America’s interference including multiple wars of aggression has left utterly devastated by war, infighting, terrorism, economic collapse, and several of the worst humanitarian crises of the 21st century.

While Clinton pretended to advocate working with China in her article, she also made sure to promote Washington’s growing investments in confrontations with Beijing. This includes in the South China Sea where the US poses as self-appointed arbiter in territorial claims. It also includes the US State Department’s “Lower Mekong Initiative” in which the US seeks to create tensions between China and Southeast Asia over the use of the Mekong River which flows through the region.

Clinton also admitted to America’s growing military presence in the region while attempting to deny its purpose was to “constrain China’s growth.” But of course it was for decades and still is Washington’s primary foreign policy objective in Asia [to encircle and contain China’s growth](#) – a fact now all but entirely admitted by the US government.

Enter Asia’s Youth

America’s “Pivot to Asia” began with attempts to bend regional governments into partnerships with Washington against China.

This categorically failed with even the Philippines at one point [disregarding an “international” court case the US funded and fought for](#) on its behalf against China – deciding instead to resolve its dispute with Beijing bilaterally itself.

Other nations that had once maintained relatively close ties to the US – including Thailand – had already begun a pivot of their own – toward Beijing.

With the possibility of persuading existing governments to do Washington’s bidding all but extinguished – efforts began to leverage US “soft power” as a means of coercing or even

replacing uncooperative governments. This included ongoing efforts to build up opposition parties but also to augment them with the region's "youth."

Washington's YSEALI and Generation Democracy

This manifested itself in several ways through a series of programs, events, and through huge amounts of funding via the US State Department itself as well as through the US' notorious regime change arm, [the National Endowment for Democracy](#).

One program [created in 2013](#) called "[Young Southeast Asian Leaders Initiative](#)" ([YSEALI](#)) sought to indoctrinate a cadre of local youths from across ASEAN – including through trips to the United States itself and "seeding" money provided to start activist groups upon returning home.

*Through a variety of programs and engagements, including U.S. educational and cultural exchanges, regional exchanges, and seed funding, **YSEALI seeks to build the leadership capabilities of youth in the region**, strengthen ties between the United States and Southeast Asia, and nurture an ASEAN community.*

YSEALI's own mission statement admits (emphasis added):

In case one cannot spot what's wrong with YSEALI's mission statement, simply imagine a Russian-funded program operating in the US, Canada, and Mexico "building the leadership capabilities of youth" in North America.

YSEALI Thai alumni are – almost without exception – both anti-China and now fully involved in Thailand's current ongoing anti-government protests.

Another program is called "Generation Democracy" funded by the US NED and its subsidiary, the International Republican Institute (IRI).



Launched in Bangkok in 2017 by US Ambassador to Thailand Glyn Davies – [a US National War College graduate](#) who specialized in “non-military instruments in persuasive, inducement, and coercive strategies” – it sought to – [according to its own website](#) (emphasis added):

“Young people in Asia are looking to build a vibrant future that is citizen-centered and hopeful,” said IRI President Ambassador Mark Green. **“Through Generation Democracy, we look forward to working with them to make this vision a reality.”**

Again, imagine a Russian-funded program aimed at helping selected youth build America’s future. By doing so, one can easily see how the activities of YSEALI and Generation Democracy – when looking past its superficial platitudes – constitutes blatant political interference in violation of international law and norms – law and norms the US itself would never allow to be infringed upon in protecting its own internal political affairs.

An example of how these programs are involved in shaping the decisions of young voters in Southeast Asia and in Thailand specifically – was Generation Democracy’s 2019 workshop held at Thammasat University called, “Get out the Vote (GOTV) Ideathon.”

This weekend, Thais will go to the polls for their first general election in eight years. Since 2014, Thailand has been under a military led government, creating an environment where civic and political participation is severely limited. The US IRI’s own website in a [post titled](#), “Thailand: Young People Refuse To Be Silenced In This Historic Election Year,” directly reflects Thai opposition talking points depicting the current pro-Beijing government in Thailand as “authoritarian” and the “youth’s” desire to replace it with “democracy”

according to Washington D.C.

The post claims:

Nothing is mentioned about what happened in 2014 or the fact that the military-led government had ousted the previous government – headed by billionaire fugitive Thaksin Shinawatra and his sister Yingluck Shinawatra – which was in the process of robbing nearly a million rice farmers and killing protesters in the streets.

By the time the military intervened, over 20 people including women and children had died in nearly daily attacks on protests sites. It is no coincidence that both the US government and the Thai opposition it sponsors omit this crucial context.

IRI's tone is identical to the current Thai opposition's because the US government directly backs and has deliberately shaped the opposition's agenda in Thailand.

In addition to laying the groundwork over the last several years to recruit and leverage Thailand's youth – the US government through the NED is funding virtually every aspect of Thailand's current so-called “student protests” – everything [from forming the protest's leadership](#), to organizing petitions [to rewrite Thailand's constitution](#), to promoting the protests across [the media](#), to even [filling up the protests with people](#).

The ultimate and stated goal of the protesters themselves is regime change and the rewriting of Thailand's constitution.

It is a process that has repeated itself all over the world and over many years.

The New York Times article, “[U.S. Groups Helped Nurture Arab Uprisings](#),” revealed how these same groups now working to shape Thailand's opposition and the nation's youth today were behind the so-called “Arab Spring” in 2011 – previously claimed to have been “self-organized” by regional youths.

One of the primary forums used by the US government to recruit, train, and equip Arab World youth was literally called the Youth Movement Summit – according to the US government's [America.gov website](#).

The 2008 statement titled, “[Announcement on Alliance of Youth Movements Summit](#),” would claim:

Facebook, Google, YouTube, MTV, Howcast, Columbia Law School, the U.S. Department of State and Access 360 Media are bringing leaders of 17 pioneering organizations from 15 countries together with technology experts next month in New York for the first-ever conclave to empower youth against violence and oppression through the use of the latest online tools.

These young leaders will form a new group, the Alliance of Youth Movements, which will produce a field manual for youth empowerment.

Comparing the lies told then about US political interference under the guise of fostering democracy in the Arab World and the US-led regime change wars these lies served as a smokescreen for from 2011 onward provides a stark warning for similar US efforts to build up “youth” movements in Asia.

The groups involved and their initial protests merely served as cover for what eventually turned into an open and violent campaign of US-led regime change wars destroying Libya entirely and nearly destroying Syria.

The US-engineered “Arab Spring” also left Yemen mired in an unending war the UN itself has called “[the world’s worst humanitarian crisis](#).”

Clearly – of all the things that materialized in the wake of the US-engineered “Arab Spring,” “democracy” wasn’t one of them. And “democracy” will not materialize out of the US-backed opposition in Thailand today either.

North Africa and the Middle East’s fate – and more recently the violence and destruction in Hong Kong, China – helps reveal what the US is really promoting and driving toward in Thailand.

If the US cannot oust the current Beijing-friendly Thai government and replace it with a client regime that will roll back Thai-Chinese relations – it will settle for simply plunging Thailand into social, political, and economic chaos – thus denying China and the rest of Asia Thailand as a potential economic and military ally.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on [Land Destroyer Report](#).

Tony Cartalucci is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

All images in this article are from LDR

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Tony Cartalucci](#), Global Research, 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Tony Cartalucci](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those

who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca