

# Thailand: US Passionately Pleas for "Compromise" with Ousted Shinawatra Regime or "Face Further Instability"

By Tony Cartalucci

Global Research, February 18, 2015

New Eastern Outlook

Corporate-funded think tank, the Council on Foreign Relations, in their magazine Foreign Affairs, recently published an article titled, "Silencing the Shinawatras." In it, author Matthew Wheeler encapsulates current US policy toward Thailand regarding the recent ousting by military coup of its proxy regime headed by Thaksin Shinawatra and his sister, Yingluck Shinawatra.

### Wheeler claims:

At a time when the nation needs compromise, stability, and engagement across the political spectrum, Yingluck's impeachment appears to many as a settling of scores, and its partisan implications make the prospect of progress look ever further off.

## Wheeler also warns:

The sitting military regime, calling itself the National Council for Peace and Order (NCPO), promised to "return happiness" to the Thai people, overcoming social divisions and political rifts. Yingluck's impeachment risks signaling that the military has surrendered all pretensions of impartiality, increasing the possibility of future turmoil.

Wheeler argues throughout his piece that Thailand must compromise with the ousted Shinawatra regime or face further instability. He briefly touches on the unprecedented violence that resulted after the removal from power of Thaksin Shinawatra in 2006. He fails to mention the specifics of that violence, or the immense mass murder that took place while Shinawata was in power.

Indeed, while in power Shinawatra had <u>some 3,000 innocent people extrajudicially executed</u> in the streets over a 90 day period in 2003. The following year, he violently put down a demonstration in Thailand's troubled southern provinces, killing 85 people in a single day. He also implemented a campaign of terror and assassinations that saw <u>at least 18 human rights advocates killed</u> or disappeared during his first term in office. At least two of his political opponents have been outright assassinated, and <u>a third narrowly escaped a broad daylight attack</u> that saw over 100 bullets riddle his car in the center of the city.

Region: Asia

His "red shirt" street mobs have regularly gunned down, hacked to death, or otherwise brutalized Shinawatra's critics, and much of the success his political movement has enjoyed has been on the back of the fear and intimidation these "red shirts" have until recently inspired.

In 2009 and again in 2010, Shinawatra backed violent "red shirt" riots in Bangkok. The 2010 riots also included some 300 heavily armed terrorists who triggered bloodshed that would see nearly 100 killed. And just recently, as the largest street demonstrations in recent Thai history sought to unseat Thaksin Shinawatra's sister, Yingluck Shinawatra, from power, he once again deployed heavily armed terrorists to kill and maim nearly 30 unarmed men, women, and children, and left maimed over 800 more.

Wheeler then is basically saying that because Shinawatra is willing to use such violence, and if Thailand would like to avoid further bloodshed, it should capitulate to his demands and compromise, accommodating his desire to once again dominate Thailand's political landscape. Or in other words, capitulate to threats of terrorism and violence. But what Wheeler actually illustrates, is precisely why a military coup was required – twice – to remove this corrosive, violent influence upon Thai society in the first place – and why it is absolutely impossible to afford this threat any further compromise or accommodation.

The United States is famous for its stated policy of never negotiating with terrorists. It appears that Thailand has learned after nearly 20 years, the merits of this policy. Appeasing and accommodating those who set no limit on what they are willing to do to advance their own agenda is to invite bloodshed and self-destructive instability that will compromise the country economically, politically, and socially for generations to come. The future of Thailand depends on uprooting Shinawatra and those like him from power permanently, and laying a framework that prevents the weeds of violent despotism and nationwide corruption to take root again.

While Wheeler insists that Thailand must compromise with Shinawatra, in reality Thailand cannot afford anything less than the complete and permanently uprooting of his regime and its political networks from Thailand's political landscape.

It should be noted that Wheeler hails from the International Crisis Group, another corporate-funded think tank amongst whose membership also sits Kenneth Adleman, a lobbyist for Thaksin Shinawatra and a chairman for the US State Department's "Freedom House" organization which along with the Naitonal Endowment for Democracy (NED) funds pro-Shinawatra propaganda networks inside of Thailand like the notorious online publication "Prachatai." The International Crisis Group also includes as a member, George Soros, a convicted financial criminal and whose Open Society organization also funds Prachatai and other pro-Shinawatra fronts.

It is no surprise then, that Wheeler argues Shinawatra should be accommodated – but despite his claims otherwise, such an accommodation is certainly not in Thailand's best interests, but rather in the best interests of those that fund Wheeler's think tank and his colleagues who have, over the years, painstakingly groomed and propped Shinawatra up politically at great cost to the Thai people.

Tony Cartalucci, Bangkok-based geopolitical researcher and writer, especially for the online magazine <u>"New Eastern Outlook"</u>.

# **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Tony Cartalucci

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>