

Ten Contradictions that Plague Biden's Democracy Summit

By <u>Medea Benjamin</u> and <u>Nicolas J. S. Davies</u> Global Research, December 10, 2021 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>History</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @<u>crg_globalresearch</u>.

President Biden's virtual <u>Summit for Democracy</u> on December 9-10 is part of a campaign to restore the United States' standing in the world, which took such a beating under President Trump's erratic foreign policies. Biden hopes to secure his place at the head of the "Free World" table by coming out as a champion for human rights and democratic practices worldwide.

The greater possible value of this gathering of <u>111 countries</u> is that it could instead serve as an "intervention," or an opportunity for people and governments around the world to express their concerns about the flaws in U.S. democracy and the undemocratic way the United States deals with the rest of the world. Here are just a few issues that should be considered:

1.The U.S. claims to be a leader in global democracy at a time when its own already <u>deeply</u> flawed democracy is crumbling, as evidenced by the shocking January 6 assault on the nation's Capitol. On top of the systemic problem of a duopoly that keeps other political parties locked out and the obscene influence of money in politics, the U.S. electoral system is being further eroded by the increasing tendency to contest credible election results and widespread efforts to suppress voter participation (19 states have enacted 33 laws that make it more difficult for citizens to vote).

A broad global <u>ranking</u> of countries by various measures of democracy puts the U.S. at #33, while the U.S. government-funded Freedom House ranks the <u>United States</u> a #61 in the world for political freedom and civil liberties, on a par with Mongolia, Panama and Romania.

2. The unspoken U.S. agenda at this "summit" is to demonize and isolate China and Russia. But if we agree that democracies should be judged by how they treat their people, then why is the U.S. Congress failing to pass a bill to provide basic services like health care, child care, housing and education, which are <u>guaranteed</u> to most Chinese citizens for free or at minimal cost?

And consider China's extraordinary success in relieving poverty. As UN Secretary General

Antonio Guterres said,

"Every time I visit China, I am stunned by the speed of change and progress. You have created one of the most dynamic economies in the world, while helping more than 800 million people to lift themselves out of poverty – the greatest anti-poverty achievement in history."

China has also far surpassed the U.S. in dealing with the pandemic. Little wonder a Harvard University <u>report</u> found that over 90% of the Chinese people like their government. One would think that China's extraordinary domestic achievements would make the Biden administration a bit more humble about its "one-size-fits-all" concept of democracy.

3. The climate crisis and the pandemic are a wake-up call for global cooperation, but this Summit is transparently designed to exacerbate divisions. The Chinese and Russian ambassadors to Washington have publicly <u>accused</u> the United States of staging the summit to stoke ideological confrontation and divide the world into hostile camps, while China held a competing <u>International Democracy Forum</u> with 120 countries the weekend before the U.S. summit.

Inviting the government of Taiwan to the U.S. summit further erodes the 1972 Shanghai Communiqué, in which the United States acknowledged the <u>One-China policy</u> and agreed to cut back military installations on <u>Taiwan</u>.

Also invited is the <u>corrupt</u> anti-Russian government installed by the 2014 U.S.-backed coup in Ukraine, which reportedly has <u>half its military forces</u> poised to invade the self-declared People's Republics of Donetsk and Luhansk in Eastern Ukraine, who declared independence in response to the 2014 coup. The U.S. and NATO have so far <u>supported</u> this major escalation of a <u>civil war</u> that already killed 14,000 people.

4. The U.S. and its Western allies—the self-anointed leaders of human rights—just happen to be the major suppliers of weapons and training to some of the world's most vicious <u>dictators</u>. Despite its verbal commitment to human rights, the Biden administration and Congress recently <u>approved a \$650 million weapon</u>s deal for Saudi Arabia at a time when this repressive kingdom is bombing and starving the people of Yemen.

Heck, the administration even uses U.S. tax dollars to "donate" weapons to dictators, like General Sisi in Egypt, who oversees a regime with <u>thousands</u> of political prisoners, many of whom have been <u>tortured</u>. Of course, these U.S. allies were not invited to the Democracy Summit—that would be too embarrassing.

5. Perhaps someone should inform Biden that the right to survive is a basic human right. The right to food is <u>recognized</u> in the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights as part of the right to an adequate standard of living, and is <u>enshrined</u> in the 1966 International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.

So why is the U.S. imposing <u>brutal sanctions</u> on countries from Venezuela to North Korea that are causing inflation, scarcity, and malnutrition among children? Former UN special rapporteur Alfred de Zayas has <u>blasted</u> the United States for engaging in "economic warfare" and compared its illegal unilateral sanctions to medieval sieges. No country that purposely denies children the right to food and starves them to death can call itself a champion of democracy.

6. Since the United States <u>was defeated</u> by the Taliban and withdrew its occupation forces from Afghanistan, it is acting as a very sore loser and reneging on basic international and humanitarian commitments. Certainly Taliban rule in Afghanistan is a setback for human rights, especially for women, but pulling the plug on Afghanistan's economy is catastrophic for the entire nation.

The United States is <u>denying</u> the new government access to billions of dollars in Afghanistan's foreign currency reserves held in U.S. banks, causing a collapse in the banking system. Hundreds of thousands of public servants have not been <u>paid</u>. The UN is <u>warning</u> that millions of Afghans are at risk of starving to death this winter as the result of these coercive measures by the United States and its allies.

7. It's telling that the Biden administration had such a difficult time finding Middle Eastern countries to invite to the summit. The United States just spent 20 years and <u>\$8 trillion</u> trying to impose its brand of democracy on the Middle East and Afghanistan, so you'd think it would have a few proteges to showcase.

But no. In the end, they could only agree to invite the state of Israel, an <u>apartheid regime</u> that enforces Jewish supremacy over all the land it occupies, legally or otherwise. Embarrassed to have no Arab states attending, the Biden administration added Iraq, whose unstable government has been racked by corruption and sectarian divisions ever since the U.S. invasion in 2003. Its brutal security forces have <u>killed</u> over 600 demonstrators since huge anti-government protests began in 2019.

8. What, pray tell, is democratic about the U.S. gulag at <u>Guantánamo Bay</u>? The U.S. Government opened the Guantanamo detention center in January 2002 as a way to circumvent the rule of law as it kidnapped and jailed people without trial after the crimes of September 11, 2001. Since then, <u>780 men</u> have been detained there. Very few were charged with any crime or confirmed as combatants, but still they were tortured, held for years without charges, and never tried.

This gross violation of human rights continues, with most of the <u>39 remaining detainees</u> never even charged with a crime. Yet this country that has locked up hundreds of innocent men with no due process for up to 20 years still claims the authority to pass judgment on the legal processes of other countries, in particular on China's efforts to cope with Islamist radicalism and terrorism among its Uighur minority.

9. With the recent investigations into the March 2019 <u>U.S. bombing in Syria</u> that left 70 civilians dead and the <u>drone strike</u> that killed an Afghan family of ten in August 2021, the truth of massive civilian casualties in U.S. drone strikes and airstrikes is gradually emerging, as well as how these war crimes have perpetuated and fueled the "war on terror," instead of winning or ending it.

If this was a real democracy summit, whistleblowers like <u>Daniel Hale</u>, <u>Chelsea Manning</u> and <u>Julian Assange</u>, who have risked so much to expose the reality of U.S. war crimes to the world, would be honored guests at the summit instead of political prisoners in the American gulag.

10. The United States picks and chooses countries as "democracies" on an entirely selfserving basis. But in the case of Venezuela, it has gone even farther and invited an imaginary U.S.-appointed "president" instead of the country's actual government. The Trump administration anointed Juan Guaidó as "president" of Venezuela, and Biden invited him to the summit, but Guaidó is neither a president nor a democrat, and he boycotted <u>parliamentary elections</u> in 2020 and <u>regional elections</u> in 2021. But Guaido did come tops in one recent <u>opinion poll</u>, with the highest public disapproval of any opposition figure in Venezuela at 83%, and the lowest approval rating at 13%.

Guaidó named himself "interim president" (without any legal mandate) in 2019, and launched a <u>failed coup</u> against the elected government of Venezuela. When all his U.S.backed efforts to overthrow the government failed, Guaidó signed off on a <u>mercenary</u> <u>invasion</u> which failed even more spectacularly. The European Union <u>no longer</u> recognizes Guaido's claim to the presidency, and his "interim foreign minister" <u>recently resigned</u>, accusing Guaidó of <u>corruption</u>.

Conclusion

Just as the people of Venezuela have not elected or appointed Juan Guaidó as their president, the people of the world have not elected or appointed the United States as the president or leader of all Earthlings.

When the United States emerged from the Second World War as the strongest economic and military power in the world, its leaders had the wisdom not to claim such a role. Instead they brought the whole world together to form the United Nations, on the principles of sovereign equality, non-interference in each other's internal affairs, a universal commitment to the peaceful resolution of disputes and a prohibition on the threat or use of force against each other.

The United States enjoyed great wealth and international power under the UN system it devised. But in the post-Cold War era, power-hungry U.S. leaders came to see the UN Charter and the rule of international law as obstacles to their insatiable ambitions. They belatedly staked a claim to universal global leadership and dominance, relying on the threat and use of force that the UN Charter prohibits. The results have been catastrophic for millions of people in many countries, including Americans.

Since the United States has invited its friends from around the world to this "democracy summit," maybe they can use the occasion to try to persuade their <u>bomb-toting</u> friend to recognize that its bid for unilateral global power has failed, and that it should instead make a real commitment to peace, cooperation and international democracy under the rulesbased order of the UN Charter.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Medea Benjamin is cofounder of <u>CODEPINK for Peace</u>, and author of several books, including <u>Inside Iran: The Real History and Politics of the Islamic Republic of Iran</u>.

Nicolas J. S. Davies is an independent journalist, a researcher with CODEPINK and the author of <u>Blood on Our Hands: The American Invasion and Destruction of Iraq</u>.

They are frequent contributors to Global Research.

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Medea Benjamin and Nicolas J. S. Davies

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca