

## Syria's Upcoming Constitutional Commission Will be About "Decentralization"

By Andrew Korybko

Global Research, June 05, 2018

Region: Middle East & North Africa

Theme: <u>History</u> In-depth Report: <u>SYRIA</u>

Syria recently <u>announced</u> that it has handed over to its Russian and Iranian Astana partners a list of experts to participate in the upcoming UN-supervised "constitutional commission".

This is an important milestone in the peace process because it proves that President Assad was sincere when he told his Russian counterpart in Sochi last month about his intention to participate in this still-unscheduled gathering as soon as possible. Damascus had hitherto been dragging its heels in this respect as part of what can only be presumed was a strategic gamble to lessen the amount of "compromises" that it would inevitably have to make, though that policy backfired after it inadvertently led to Israel perceiving it as a pro-Iranian ploy to indefinitely preserve Tehran's military presence in the Arab Republic. Consequently, Tel Aviv bombed Syria several times over the past month in ever-larger and more dramatic attacks that seem in hindsight to have been partially responsible for bringing Damascus to the negotiating table so suddenly.

The upcoming "constitutional commission" doesn't yet have a date attached to it, but the overall agenda is obvious and allows one to get an idea of some of the most contentious points of this gathering. Clearly, everyone is going to discuss the future role of President Assad, though it's highly unlikely that he'll be forced out of office after the Syrian Arab Army succeeded in liberating most of the country's population. What's more probable in this regard is that he will become a figurehead leader that remains in his position throughout the country's post-war transition as it devolves from a centralized to a "decentralized" state. It's precisely this transformation that will lead a lessening of his power as all sides try to figure out how it'll play out in practice.

"Decentralization" is never an easy process, let alone in a state as identity-diverse as Syria whose previously unified identity was irreparably damaged as a result of the foreign-instigated conflict. Ethno-regional and sectarian fault lines have been violently forced to the surface in some parts of the country, mostly those in the "de-escalation zones" where so-called "armed opposition" members are still in control but also in the American-occupied northeastern one-third of the country east of the Euphrates. In the latter agriculturally and energy-rich region, the US' Kurdish allies are already running their own de-facto independent "federation" and are unlikely to voluntarily give it up, nor is the national military capable of liberating it given that the Pentagon has already proven that it will respond with disproportionate force to even the mildest violation of the so-called "deconfliction line" across the Euphrates.

The "armed opposition" and the militant Kurds also need to come to terms with Damascus

about the future of their militias and whether they'll integrate into a reconstituted Syrian Army or remain as the main military force in their unofficial "spheres of influence". This is a very sensitive issue that could make or break the negotiations just as much as the discussions over President Assad's political future could too, so all sides will need to proceed very carefully when talking about this topic. Taking stock of these disagreements, they can be summarized as working out the nitty-gritty territorial, administrative, and military details of Syria's probable "decentralization" as well as the higher-level ones related to President Assad and the likely bicameral parliament that he'll preside over during a transitional period once this "constitutional reform" process is completed.

\*

This article was originally published on **Oriental Review**.

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Andrew Korybko, Global Research, 2018

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Andrew Korybko

## About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>