

COVID Vaccine Shots: Analysis of “Breakthrough Deaths”. Dr. Jessica Rose

Spontaneous Abortions, Cardiovascular, Neurological, and Immunological Adverse Events

By [Brian Shilhavy](#)

Global Research, May 20, 2021

[Health Impact News](#) 19 May 2021

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

America’s Frontline Doctors has [published a video](#) of a presentation by Dr. Jessica Rose, PhD, MSc, BSc, who has analyzed the data in the VAERS database related to the COVID shots. The presentation was for “Vaccine Choice Canada.”

Dr. Jessica Rose has a BSc in Applied Mathematics and completed her MSc in Immunology at Memorial University of Newfoundland in Canada. She completed her PhD in Computational Biology at Bar Ilan University and then did her first Post Doctorate at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem in Molecular Biology.

She is now doing a second Post Doctorate at the Technion where she will explore the structure and function of transport proteins in bacteria from both experimental and computational points of view. ([Source](#).)

Dr. Rose challenges the “official” position of the political health authorities who keep telling the public that these thousands of reported deaths, and hundreds of thousands of adverse events reported to VAERS, have nothing to do with the COVID shots.

Her presentation of the statistics clearly shows that this cannot possibly be true, although she welcomes others to challenge her findings.

She concludes:

This work summarizes VAERS data to date and serves as information for the public and a reminder of the relevance of any adverse events, including deaths, that likely occurred as a direct result of vaccine administration.

Based on analysis of the VAERS numbers, it may appear that AEs are not currently imposing a significant burden on the fully vaccinated population; however, the weekly releases of VAERS data do not include all of their reports made to date — they are all the reports the CDC has processed to date — and the backlog is likely to be staggering.

Thus, due to both the problems of under-reporting and the lag in report processing, this analysis reveals a strong signal from the VAERS data that the risk of suffering an SAE following injection is significant and that the overall risk signal is high.

Analysis suggests that the vaccines are likely the cause of reported deaths, spontaneous abortions, and anaphylactic reactions in addition to cardiovascular, neurological and immunological AEs.

Based on the precautionary principle, since there is currently no precedent for predictability with regards to long-term effects from mRNA injections, extreme care should be taken when making a decision to participate in this experiment. mRNA platforms are new to humans with regard to mass injection programs in the context of viruses.

There is currently no way to predict potential detrimental outcomes with regards to SAE occurrences in the long-term.

Also, with regards to short-term analysis, this data is limited based on reporting that likely significantly underestimates actual events. ([Source](#).)

I learned a few new things from Dr. Rose's analysis.

She isolated the "breakthrough" cases of COVID recorded in VAERS. "Breakthrough" is the term they are using to describe people who come down with COVID-19 after being fully vaccinated.


According to Dr. Rose, 6% of all the breakthrough cases resulted in death.

This is significant, because one of the main reasons people are encouraged to get one of the experimental COVID shots is to *prevent* death. The drug companies have admitted that the injections are not proven to stop transmission of COVID, but supposedly the symptoms are supposed to be lessened among those getting the injections.

When 6% of those who get the shots end up dying with COVID, that challenges their claims. Dr. Rose did state that the statistics show that the majority of these deaths are among the elderly.

And there are statistically more breakthrough cases for the Pfizer shot, than the other two.

2776 COVID-19 CASES CONFIRMED AS AES (~1.9%)




Of particular concern, were the “spontaneous abortions” immediately following the injections.

FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE ADVERSE EVENTS ARE VERY CONCERNING

thebmj covid-19 Research Education News & Views Campaigns

Rapid Response:
CoVID-19 post-vaccine menorrhagia, metrorrhagia or postmenopausal bleeding and potential risk of vaccine-induced thrombocytopenia in women



Spontaneous Abortions

Dr. Jessica Rose, April 2021

This was published on [Dr. Rose's YouTube channel](#).

If we were to embed it into this article and drive a lot of traffic to it, most likely YouTube would remove it, so we also have it on our [Rumble](#) and [Bitchute](#) channels.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site,

internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from Health Impact News

The original source of this article is [Health Impact News](#)
Copyright © [Brian Shilhavy](#), [Health Impact News](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Brian Shilhavy](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca