

Statistics Canada Should Not Promote Problematic Lobby Group Study of Antisemitism

By **CJPME**

Global Research, April 06, 2021

CIPME

Region: <u>Canada</u> Theme: <u>Police State & Civil Rights</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Canadians for Justice and Peace in the Middle East (CJPME) is highly concerned by a Statistics Canada publication on hate crimes in Canada which referenced claims from a non-rigorous "audit" on antisemitism by the lobby group B'nai Brith Canada. CJPME is concerned that the inclusion of B'nai Brith's claims in a Statistics Canada publication not only gives undeserved credibility to a flawed methodology, but also inadvertently perpetuates the false notion that protesting Israel's human rights abuses is equivalent to hate crimes and antisemitic violence.

In its publication "Police-reported hate crime, 2019," Statistics Canada showed that according to police-reported metrics, hate crimes targeting the Jewish population decreased by 20% in 2019, albeit remaining at an unacceptably high level. However, Statistic Canada contradicted its own conclusion by comparing it with B'nai Brith Canada's "Annual Audit of Antisemitic Incidents" for 2019, noting their finding of "a record number of anti-Semitic incidents for the fourth consecutive year."

CJPME notes that it is highly unusual for Statistics Canada to highlight studies or claims from non-statistical, non-governmental organizations. In fact, B'nai Brith's "audit" notes up front that it "focuses on antisemitic incidents that both meet, and fall short of, the Criminal Code definition of a hate crime," thus making its conclusions incomparable with Statistics Canada data. Moreover, although Statistics Canada made it clear that B'nai Brith's conclusions differed from its own, it did not explain the discrepancy but instead presented B'nai Brith's conclusions as a potentially credible alternative.

The apparent endorsement by Statistics Canada of B'nai Brith's "audit" is troubling due to its methodology, which purposefully conflates horrific incidents of antisemitism and white supremacist violence alongside legitimate political expression about Israel and its violations of human rights. Some of the "incidents" in B'nai Brith's 2019 audit include a "Boycott Israeli Apartheid" sticker positioned next to Israeli products in grocery stores; a politician expressing concern about a Palestinian lawmaker held indefinitely under Israeli administrative detention; federal funding for a "Muslim Voting Guide" which presented a boycott of Israel in a positive light; and a pro-Palestinian protest against a York University event promoting the Israeli Defense Forces. B'nai Brith's failure to distinguish between cases like these and incidents involving swastikas or racist slurs, for example, makes its

conclusions about overall numbers and trends highly suspect.

CJPME notes that prominent Canadian researchers on antisemitism have pointed out the flaws with B'nai Brith's methodology. In a <u>recent study</u>, Robert Brym (University of Toronto) and Rhonda Lenton (York University) outline a number of reasons to "temper the alarm generated by the B'nai Brith Canada data," including that they "lump together under the rubric of antisemitism actions that are clearly antisemitic with various types of action that are critical of Israel." The study further points to survey-based research from eleven Western countries showing a "comparatively low" degree of correlation between those who hold antisemitic views and those who hold anti-Israel attitudes, providing further evidence why these dimensions should not be conflated. For reasons such as these, CJPME believes that B'nai Brith's audit should not be listed next to rigorous government-produced data on the Statistics Canada Website, and has reached out asking for a correction. CJPME also argues that B'nai Brith's audit should be treated with significant skepticism, and not given undue credence by reputable government agencies like Statistics Canada.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is CJPME Copyright © CJPME, CJPME, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: CIPME

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca