

Shifting Alliances? India's Purchase of Russia's S400 Air Defense System. America's Response

By Andrew Korybko

Global Research, August 22, 2018

Region: Asia, Russia and FSU, USA
Theme: Militarization and WMD

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above

Unlike how it's being popularly reported, India didn't exactly "defy" the US by going forward with the planned signing of an S-400 deal with Russia later this year, but is partially obeying it because it'll need to reduce its share of arms purchases from Moscow and continue cooperating with Washington on "security matters that are critical to United States strategic interests" in order to earn a sanctions waiver for this acquisition.

A Russian defense official's announcement that India will indeed go forward with its planned purchase of the S-400s by the end of the year was reported on by RT and other media outlets as the South Asian nation "defying" the US' CAATSA sanctions threats over this acquisition, though the reality is much more nuanced because New Delhi is also partially giving in to American pressure. Prima facie, it looks like the country is thumbing its nose at the US by going forward with this deal and risking the wrath of Trump's infamous sanctions, but it can actually evade that punishment if it abides by at least one of two clauses in the recently passed National Defense Authorization Act of 2019.

Section 1294 (1)(C)(i) allows for a CAATSA sanctions waiver if a country:

"is taking or will take steps to reduce its inventory of major defense equipment and advanced conventional weapons produced by the defense sector of the Russian Federation as a share of its total inventory of major defense equipment and advanced conventional weapons over a specified period"

While Section 1294 (1)(C)(ii) says that it could be granted if a country:

"is cooperating with the United States Government on other security matters that are critical to United States strategic interests."

India's share of Russian armaments has been on a downward trajectory over the past couple of years amid heightened competition from the US and "Israel" in this market, which is occurring in spite of increased weapons purchases simply due to the fact that the country is the world's largest buyer of military equipment, so it already satisfies the first criterion. The second, meanwhile, is achieved by India's designation as the US' first-ever and thus far only "Major Defense Partner" and the <u>publicly acknowledged100-year-long military-strategy partnership</u> that the two sides are engaged in <u>all across</u> the Afro-Bengal Ocean and beyond. Altogether, it's clear that India is more than eligible for a CAATSA sanctions waiver for its purchase of Russia's S-400s.

The US would of course prefer that India didn't go through with this deal, but its global power isn't absolute like it briefly was immediately after the end of the Old Cold War, and it recognizes the tactical brilliance of sometimes "compromising" with its "Lead From Behind" partners such as India in order to keep them within its unipolar orbit. Applying too much pressure on New Delhi at this <u>crucial juncture</u> by sanctioning it for buying a single weapons system would have recklessly imperiled the very successful years-long effort that the US has made in swaying India over to its strategic side in the <u>New Cold War</u> and drive it closer into Russia and China's multipolar embrace, hence why it sought to cut a deal with it instead.

In fact, it actually serves the US' grand strategic interests much more to grant India a sanctions waiver for its S-400 purchase than to impose economic restrictions against it for this because Washington will probably succeed in getting New Delhi to continue reducing its share of Russian armaments and therefore remain committed to its century-long partnership with America. Reacting too forcefully against it for the simple sake of "principle" could have been counterproductive because India indicated that it wouldn't reconsider this deal due to what it hinted as being its policy of so-called "multi-alignment" and need to "balance" between Russia, the US, and also China (the latter of which is one of the reasons why it wants the S-400s in the first place).

For these reasons, the US "allowed" India to make a public spectacle of reframing its purchase of the S-400s as "defying" America so long as it quietly continues working with Washington and reduces its share of military equipment from Moscow in order to receive a CAATSA sanctions waiver for this acquisition, both of which are veritably in the grand strategic interests of the US and therefore make it so that India is partially obeying the unipolar hegemon much more than going against it.

*

This article was originally published on **Eurasia Future**.

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from Sputnik/ Sergey Malgavko.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Andrew Korybko, Global Research, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Andrew Korybko

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based

political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca