

Serbia Stomps on Rio Tinto's Lithium Mining Project

By Dr. Binoy Kampmark

Global Research, January 27, 2022

Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Oceania</u> Theme: <u>Oil and Energy</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @globalresearch_crg.

On the face of it, there seems to be little in the way of connection between the treatment of Novak Djokovic by Australian authorities and the cooling of the Serbian government towards Rio Tinto. The Anglo-Australian mining giant was confident that it would, at least eventually, win out in gaining the permissions to commence work on its US\$2.4 billion lithium-borates mine in the Jadar Valley.

In 2021, Rio Tinto <u>stated</u> that the project would "scale up [the company's] exposure to battery materials, and demonstrate the company's commitment to investing capital in a disciplined manner to further strengthen its portfolio for the global energy transition."

The road had been a bit bumpy, including a growing environmental movement determined to scuttle the project. But the ruling coalition, led by the Serbian Progressive Party, had resisted going wobbly on the issue.

Then came the maligning of the world number one tennis player in Australia. Djokovic had been tormented by a brief spell of confinement in quarters normally reserved for refugees kept in indefinite detention, and eventually defeated in the Full Court of the Federal Court. During the course of events, he saw his visa cancelled twice, first by a member of the Australian Border Force, the next time by Immigration Minister Alex Hawke. Along the way, lynch mobs were thrilled that "Novaxx" Djokovic, that great threat to Australia's vaccinated innocence, was finally on a flight home.



The Serbian government attempted to intervene. President Aleksander Vučić made a plea to the Morrison government to resist cancelling Djokovic's visa; the Australian Open was the Serbian tennis player's favourite tournament, one he had won numerous times.

A diplomatic incident, more murmur than bark, was sparked. "In line with all standards of international public law, Serbia will fight for Novak Djokovic," <u>promised</u> the Serbian premier. But for an Australian government that has flouted international law and fetishized border control, the call mattered little.

In Serbia, Rio Tinto then faced a rude shock. The Vučić government, having praised the potential of the Jadar project for some years, abruptly abandoned it. "All decisions (connected to the lithium project) and all licenses have been annulled," Serbian Prime Minister Ana Brnabić stated flatly on January 20. "As far as project Jadar is concerned, this is an end."

Branabić insisted, somewhat disingenuously, that this decision merely acknowledged the will of voters. "We are listening to our people and it is our job to protect their interests even when we think differently."

This is a bit rich coming from a government hostile to industry accountability and investment transparency. The same government also <u>decided to begin</u> infrastructure works on the jadarite mine *before* the granting of an exploitation permit. Such behaviour has left advocates such as Savo Manojlović of the NGO Kreni-Promeni <u>wondering</u> why Rio Tinto was singled out over, for instance, Eurolithium, which was permitted to dig in the environs of Valjevo in western Serbia.

Zorana Mihajlović, Serbia's mining and energy minister, <u>preferred to blame</u> the environmental movement, though the alibi seemed a bit forced. "The government showed it wanted the dialogue ... (and) attempts to use ecology for political purposes demonstrate they (green groups) care nothing about the lives of the people, nor the industrial development."

Rio Tinto had been facing an impressive grass roots militia, mobilised to remind Serbians about the devastating implications of proposed lithium mining operations. The *Ne damo Jadar* (We won't let anyone take Jadar) group has unerringly focused attention on the secret agreements reached between the mining company and Belgrade. Zlatko Kokanović, vice president of the group, is convinced that the mine would "not only threaten one of Serbia's oldest and most important archaeological sites, it will also endanger several protected bird species, pond terrapins, and fire salamander, which would otherwise be protected by EU directives."

Taking issue with the unflattering environmental record of the Anglo-Australian company, numerous protests were organised and petitions launched, <u>including one</u> that has received 292,571 signatures. Last month, activists organised gatherings and marches across the country, including road blockades.

Djokovic has not been immune to the growing green movement, if only to lend a few words of support. In a December Instagram story post featuring a picture of anti-mining protests, he <u>declared</u> that, "Clean air, water and food are the keys to health. Without it, every word about health is redundant."

Rio Tinto's response to the critics was that of the seductive guest keen to impress: we have gifts for the governors, the rulers and the parliamentarians. Give us permission to dig, and we will make you the envy of Europe, green and environmentally sound ambassadors of the electric battery and car revolution.

The European Battery Alliance, a group of electric vehicle supply chain companies, <u>is adamant</u> that the Jadar project "constituted an important share of potential European domestic supply." The mine would have "contributed to support the growth of a nascent industrial battery-related ecosystem in Serbia, contributing to a substantial amount to Serbia's annual GDP." Assiduously selective, the group preferred to ignore the thorny environmental implications of the venture.

The options facing the mining giant vary, none of which would appeal to the board. In a statement, the company <u>claimed</u> that it was "reviewing the legal basis of this decision and the implications for our activities and our people in Serbia." It might bullyingly seek to sue Belgrade, a move that is unlikely to do improve an already worn reputation. "For a major mining company to sue a state is very unusual," <u>suggests</u> Peter Leon of law firm Herbert Smith Freehills. "A claim under the bilateral treaty is always a last resort, but not a first resort."

Another option for punters within the company will be a political gamble: hoping that April's parliamentary elections will usher in a bevy of pro-mining representatives. By then, public antagonism against matters Australian will have dimmed. The Serbian ecological movement, however, is unlikely to ease their campaign. The age of mining impunity in the face of popular protest has come to an end.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

Dr. Binoy Kampmark was a Commonwealth Scholar at Selwyn College, Cambridge. He lectures at RMIT University, Melbourne. He is a regular contributor to Global Research and Asia-Pacific Research. Email: bkampmark@gmail.com

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Dr. Binoy Kampmark</u>, Global Research, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Dr. Binoy

<u>Kampmark</u>

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca