

Senior Epidemiologist Apologizes to Daughter's Generation for "Morally Wrong" Lockdown Measures

Said he was told to stay silent on doomsday modeling.

By Paul Joseph Watson

Global Research, March 09, 2022

Summit News 8 March 2022

Region: Europe

Theme: Law and Justice, Science and

<u>Medicine</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @globalresearch_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization.

A senior epidemiologist has publicly apologized to his daughter's generation for failing to more strenuously oppose "morally wrong" COVID lockdown measures such as school closures that caused massive damage to children.

The stunning admission was made by Professor Mark Woolhouse, who revealed to <u>Sky News</u> that he was told to "correct" his views after he criticized doomsday COVID models.

The Edinburgh University academic said "plain common sense" was a "casualty" of lockdown and that his daughter's generation "has been so badly served by mine."

Decrying harmful lockdown measures such as closing schools as "morally wrong," Woolhouse said he was told to stay silent when he questioned "implausible" graphs presented by Chief Scientific Adviser (CSA) Sir Patrick Vallance that said COVID cases would exponentially double.

"If this projection had been extended for another week we would be talking about one hundred thousand cases per day. Another month would have given us close to half a million. Per day. An exponential projection will give you any number you like if you run it for long enough," said Woolhouse.

When Woolhouse, author of a new book called The Year the World Went Mad, officially lodged skepticism about the figures, he said his "objections did not go down well".

"After a flurry of emails I was invited to 'correct' my comments," he says.

Professor of Epidemiology Mark Woolhouse claims the UK "rushed" into

lockdown in March 2020 "because basically that's what they did with China".#KayBurley https://t.co/3xvxkPE8OF

☐ Sky 501, Virgin 602, Freeview 233 and YouTube pic.twitter.com/WPT8BwJagB

— Sky News (@SkyNews) February 21, 2022

Suggesting someone high in government was behind the demand, Woolhouse asserted, "it wasn't my views that needed correcting, it was the projections."

However, the situation played out for a second time when a new model in October 2020 predicted 4,000 deaths a day in the UK despite the "fact that the second wave was already beginning to slow."

"The model that generated the 4,000 deaths a day figure was an outlier - all the other model projections gave much lower numbers," writes Woolhouse, noting how the graph was broadcast to millions of TV viewers anyway.

Woolhouse also revealed how the government knew "people over 70 were 10,000 times more likely to die from COVID than those under 15," but deliberately pursued policies that didn't take this into account and harmed children.

Woolhouse's comments would have normally made for a banner headline news story.

However, they serve as another reminder that the war in Ukraine has completely obliterated the harm caused by lockdown from the news, and with it the culpability of countless top technocrats who imposed it on the rest of us.

Whether anyone will face any kind of responsibility for the devastation wrought on the population, particularly children, appears to a diminishing possibility.

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

Featured image is a screenshot from the Twitter video

The original source of this article is <u>Summit News</u> Copyright © Paul Joseph Watson, Summit News, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Watson

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: $\underline{publications@globalresearch.ca}$