

Saudis Show Off Charred Junk, Insist Iran Responsible for Aramco Attack. Will Trump Unleash the Dogs of War?

By <u>Kurt Nimmo</u> Global Research, September 19, 2019 Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>Media Disinformation</u>, <u>US NATO</u> <u>War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?</u>

First up, we have the neocon windup clown, Senator Marco Rubio.

Situation with <u>**#Iran**</u> is a very serious matter.

This is one of those <u>#3amcalls</u> that requires steady & serious American leadership.

We must bring forth the irrefutable proof that Iran attacked not just <u>#SaudiArabia</u> but the global economy.

https://t.co/iB4X9aCc1B

- Marco Rubio (@marcorubio) September 18, 2019

Not to worry, Marco-the Saudis are on it.

No, wait. Early today the Saudis produced a pile of junk and said it came from Iranian drones and missiles.

Saudi Arabia displays drone and missile debris, which it says show oil attacks "unquestionably sponsored by Iran"

Saudi Arabia presents 'oil attacks evidence' https://t.co/SsLPrybaeM

— Camdesign (@camdesign24) September 18, 2019

The Saudi defense ministry briefing said the wreckage showed the attacks were "unquestionably sponsored by Iran".

Spokesman Turki al-Malki showed off what was said to be a delta wing of an Iranian UAV (unmanned aerial vehicle) along with other weapons debris.

<u>#BREAKING#SaudiArabia</u>: The missiles launched from <u>#Iran</u> not <u>#Yemen#Saudi</u> displayed drone and <u>#missile</u> debris it said was undeniable evidence of <u>#Iranian</u> aggression. A total of 25 drones and missiles were used in the attacks <u>https://t.co/txoH3naq13#IRGCTerrorists</u> pic.twitter.com/mnOFR7rri5

- mostafa.m (@MostafaMe4) September 18, 2019

He said that 18 UAVs had been fired at the Abqaiq oil facility and seven cruise missile had been fired at the Khurais oilfield, three of which had fallen short of the target.

This assemblage of junk put on display for the media proves absolutely nothing.

Regardless, it will be used by the medieval kingdom and US neocons to blame Iran.

In response to the charred collection of metal, Donald the Clueless decided to impose yet another round of sanctions designed to punish the people of Iran.

U.S. President Donald Trump on Wednesday said he ordered a major increase in sanctions on <u>#Iran</u> as <u>#SaudiArabia</u> displayed remnants of drones and missiles it said <u>#Tehran</u> used in a crippling weekend attack on its oil facilities. <u>https://t.co/fKeXKdKa30</u>

— Israel Conflict News (@IsraelGazalCN) September 18, 2019

Col. Al-Maliki thinks you're an idiot—and you are if you believe Iran is the top sponsor of terror in the world. That designation is reserved for Saudi Arabia, the United States, and Israel.

<u>#LIVE</u>: Col. Al-Maliki – Iran's malign activity is a threat to all, not just <u>#SaudiArabia</u> as they support terrorist groups all over the world<u>https://t.co/T4LQWJNs18</u> pic.twitter.com/l8xEnm723Q

- Arab News (@arabnews) September 18, 2019

We're told Iran supports the "terrorism" of Hezbollah, Hamas, and other Palestinian groups, including Islamic Jihad, and the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine-General Command.

Let's not forget that <u>Hamas was shepherded by Israel</u>. Back in the day, the Zionists figured they could defeat the PLO by nurturing Palestinian Islamists. After the so-called Six Day War, Israel released Sheikh Ahmed Yassin from prison. Yassin went about building Hamas in the 1980s. The Israelis subsequently assassinated the wheelchair-bound imam with a Hellfire missile fired from a US-manufactured Apache helicopter.

History Commons:

David Shipler, a former New York Times reporter, later recounts that he was told by the military governor of the Gaza Strip, Brigadier General Yitzhak Segev, that the Israeli government had financed the Islamic movement to counteract the PLO and the communists. According to Martha Kessler, a senior analyst for the CIA, "we saw Israel cultivate Islam as a counterweight to Palestinian nationalism"... Yassin also receives funding from business leaders in Saudi Arabia who are also hostile to the secular PLO for religious reasons. The Saudi government, however, steps in and attempts to halt the private funds going to Yassin, because they view him as a tool of Israel.

As for Hezbollah, we never get a straight answer from the corporate propaganda media. If we follow the "news," it appears Hezbollah came out of nowhere with a psychopathic desire to kill Americans and Israelis.

Brookings and others claim Hezbollah is an Iranian "export."

Hezbollah's methods have evolved as its military and political powers and sophistication have expanded since the mid-1980s, with Lebanon itself rather than American citizens now held hostage. But then as now, Hezbollah serves as the most successful, and the most deadly, export of the 1979 Iranian revolution.

This completely ignores the fact Hezbollah came together in southern Lebanon after Israel invaded the country. Consider the following from the neocon-tinged and humanitarian interventionist "non-partisan" globalist think tank:

Enmeshed in poverty and high rates of illiteracy, Shiite peasants concentrated in the south also suffered disproportionately from the Palestinian-Israeli conflict, as the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO) attacked Israel from southern Lebanon, and the Israelis retaliated in kind. Given years of PLO abuses, Shiite residents of the south briefly welcomed the 1982 Israeli invasion, although Israeli tactics quickly alienated them, driving thousands from ancestral villages to the slums of Beirut's impoverished southern suburbs.

<u>Samia A. Halaby</u> provides perspective, not that we should expect the "Newspaper of Record," The New York Times, to tell us the truth about the Hezbollah self-defense organization.

I think residents of the southern Lebanon, of Palestine, and Iraq, especially the poorer ones and the working class are tired—way, way beyond feeling that this is 'unfair'. We have suffered US/Israel behavior since way before 1948. Hezbollah is resistance to this historic attack.

The formation of Hezbollah is a direct result of Israel's 1978 invasion of Lebanon (Operation Litani) and the 1982 civil war in Lebanon.

The <u>Academic website</u> cites Ahmad Nizar Hamzeh (The Path Of Hizbullah):

The Israelis killed more than one thousand civilian Shiites, leading to a mass exodus of yet more Shiites refugees to the Beirut slums. Israel's 1982 invasion and occupation of Lebanon bolstered the fortunes of Hizbullah by "providing a politic-military environment that legitimated the group and gave a rationale for its guerrilla warfare. Similarly, the presence of the Western foreign troops in Lebanon, particularly of the U.S. Marines, also boosted the fortunes of Hezbollah, which considered fighting such forces to be as legitimate as fighting the Israeli occupation."

Is it possible self-defense forces would be organized in Washington State if Chinese troops landed at the Port of Grays Harbor? If US citizens were shelled, massacred, and taken prisoner as thousands of Shia Lebanese were, do you think there might be an uprising and "terrorist attacks" on the invaders?

If Americans suffered like the Shia of southern Lebanon did—particularly those tortured (including children) at the <u>Khiam detention center</u>—wouldn't resistance and retribution be justified?

" During the torture sessions they would operate a noisy engine in order to cover our cries of pain..." Former detainees from the <u>#Khiam</u> prison tell L'OLJ about the torture they suffered at the hands of <u>#AmerFakhoury</u> [] <u>#ALS</u> <u>https://t.co/piKGwPnTQV</u>

- L'Orient-Le Jour (@LOrientLeJour) September 17, 2019

The torture was carried out by the South Lebanon Army (SLA), an Israeli proxy.

According to the World Heritage Encyclopedia:

The SLA was closely allied with Israel. It supported the Israelis by fighting the PLO in southern Lebanon until the 1982 invasion. After that, SLA support for the Israelis consisted mainly of fighting other Lebanese guerrilla forces led by Hezbollah until 2000 in the "security zone" (the area under occupation after a partial Israeli withdrawal in 1985). In return Israel supplied the organization with arms, uniforms, and logistical equipment.

Following the alleged attack on the Saudi facilities, Trump's secretary of state, Mike Pompeo, ventured to Saudi Arabia.

<u>**#BREAKING</u>**: US Secretary of State Pompeo while in <u>**#SaudiArabia**</u>: <u>**#Aramco**</u> attacks an 'act of war' by <u>**#Iran**</u> <u>pic.twitter.com/iBKMIgNimy</u></u>

- Anna Ahronheim (@AAhronheim) September 18, 2019

Meanwhile, back home in the indispensable nation, the neocon warmonger from South Carolina said the attack represents an "act of war."

definition, is an act of war. It is attack on the oil refinery, by any reasonable oil markets throughout the world."

— Trump Luxembourg[][][][][] (@BrebsomClaude) September 18, 2019

At this point, it is unlikely Trump will unleash the dogs of war on Iran—that is if he wants to be re-elected next year. He has vacillated repeatedly on Iran and this drives the neocons to distraction. More false flags are likely in the works.

However, this time may be different. It is reported Trump is drawing up plans to hit Iran. "Donald Trump is drawing up a hit-list as he hatches plans to clobber Iran following the attacks on the world's largest oil plant in Saudi Arabia," reports the ever-so factual and unbiased British newspaper <u>The Sun</u>.

Saudi Arabia attack - Donald Trump draws up plans for military strike on Iran as Tehran vows to shoot down US planes as war fears grow https://t.co/fACaPOp4cY

- Joe (@joepalojoe) September 18, 2019

It's now wait and see.

Trump would be a fool to attack Iran. It has the capability to bring oil shipments to a halt and launch missiles at US troops and hardware in the region. This would undoubtedly take down the global economy and precipitate a world war.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Kurt Nimmo writes on his blog, Another Day in the Empire, where <u>this article</u> was originally published. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from the author

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Kurt Nimmo</u>, Global Research, 2019

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Kurt Nimmo

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca