

Covid Vaccine: The Same Pattern Everywhere?

Mass Vaccination triggers sharp spike in Cases and Deaths

By Mike Whitney

Global Research, May 16, 2021

Region: <u>Asia</u>, <u>USA</u>

Theme: Science and Medicine

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @crg globalresearch.

Covid cases have risen sharply in nearly every country that has launched a mass vaccination campaign.

Please watch this short video before You Tube removes it.

Why is this happening?

Mass vaccination was supposed to reduce the threat of Covid but- in the short-term- it appears to make it much worse. Why? And why is Covid now "surging in 4 of 5 the most vaccinated countries"? According to Forbes magazine:

"Countries with the world's highest vaccination rates—including four of the top five most vaccinated—are fighting to contain coronavirus outbreaks that are, on a per-capita basis, higher than the surge devastating India, a trend that has experts questioning the efficacy of some vaccines ... and the wisdom of easing restrictions even with most of the population vaccinated." (Covid Surges...Here's why the US should Worry", Forbes)

Worse than India? How can that be? And why have **8 "fully vaccinated" members of the New York Yankees tested positive for Covid?** Here's the story from the Associated Press:

"New York Yankees shortstop Gleyber Torres tested positive for Covid-19 despite being fully vaccinated and having previously contracted the coronavirus during the offseason. Torres is among eight so-called breakthrough positives among the Yankees — people who tested positive despite being fully vaccinated." (NBC News)

And if that's not confusing enough, check out what's going on in Cambodia. Cambodia began its vaccination campaign in early February after having compiled zero fatalities. That's right, the country had no Covid deaths until March, a few weeks after it

started its vaccination program. And that's when the deaths started piling up as you can see in the eye-popping chart below.




chart from Joel Smalley Twitter

So, let's see if we can figure this out. There were zero fatalities before the launching of the vaccination campaign, but soon after the injections began, the fatalities started to mount. Do you think there might be a connection here? Do you think that, perhaps, the deaths are linked to the vaccines?

Of course, they are. And, that's why the media is trying to sweep this story under the rug. It doesn't fit with the "official narrative" about the vaccines, so they've decided to "vanish" the story altogether. "Poof" and it's gone! And, actually, it's worse than a cover-up because-shortly after Biden took office- the CDC changed its testing methodology making it harder to test positive. In other words, **they rigged the system so it would look like fewer "fully vaccinated" people had contracted Covid after inoculation.** Dr. Joseph Mercola explains what's going on behind the scenes:

"Now, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has lowered the CT even further, in what appears to be a clear effort to hide COVID-19 breakthrough cases, meaning cases in which fully vaccinated individuals are being diagnosed with COVID-19." ("CDC embarks on a new Covid Coverup", Mercola. com)

It's all a big shell game. They're gaming the system to make it look like the vaccines are stopping infection when the evidence proves the opposite. And notice the deliberately-misleading moniker the media invented for the people who get Covid after being vaccinated. They call them "Breakthrough cases".

"Breakthrough"? Really?

If cases surge in nearly every country that launches a mass vaccination campaign, then

there's nothing "breakthrough" about it. It's the predictable result of a failed experiment. Here's more from an article titled: "Covid rates post-vaccination around the world:

"... the government assumed that if 'you vaccinate lots of people and the problem goes away', but the questioners among us did not assume that. Especially having read the FDA Briefing Document for the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine for example, many of us had questions after reading it; on Page 42, it states:

"Suspected COVID-19 cases that occurred within 7 days after any vaccination were 409 in the vaccination group vs 287 in the placebo group. It is possible that the imbalance in suspected COVID-19 cases occurring in the 7 days post-vaccination represents vaccine reactogenicity with symptoms that overlap with those of COVID-19. Overall though, these data do not raise a concern that protocol-specified reporting of suspected, but unconfirmed COVID-19 cases could have masked clinically significant adverse events that would not have otherwise been detected." ("Covid rates post-vaccination around the world", Inform Scotland)

WTF!?!

So, the FDA KNEW that vaccinated people were more likely to contract Covid than those in the placebo group, but they approved the vaccines anyway?!? Is that criminal negligence or just plain old stupidity?

Please. read the above paragraph again and decide whether you would have given these sketchy injections the "green light" or not? Here's more from the same article:

"The following show data from around the world from some selected locations. It is, of course, vital to stress that correlation is not causation. And that there are countries where vaccine rollout does not precede or coincide with increased infections. However, I have been unable to find any nation where covid rates have begun to drop after vaccination started, or where a drop coincided with vaccination starting. In Indonesia, for example, the covid rate was falling when vaccination started and seems to have been unaffected in its trajectory by the vaccine being rolled out. The reader can look up these charts for him/herself on the website. Have a look at these and see what you make of them." ("Covid rates post-vaccination around the world", Inform Scotland)

Okay, so the author is trying to put the most charitable spin on vaccine performance as possible. He says, "correlation is not causation", which means, 'Don't trust your eyes when you look at the charts' because– if you do– you'll draw the obvious conclusion that the vaccines greatly increase your chances of getting Covid in the few weeks afterwards.' The charts will also convince you that Fauci, Biden and the media have been lying through their teeth about the effectiveness of the vaccines. (Please, check out the charts in the article and judge for yourself.) Here's more:

"What is very clear looking at data worldwide, is that vaccinations are certainly not associated with a reliable fall in covid cases in any predictable timeframe. This, alongside the observations in the trial, surely must be addressed. What is happening here? Is it just that vaccinations are coincidentally being rolled out at the same time as outbreaks are due? In very many places? Or is the vaccine not working immediately? If not, why not? ... Or is the vaccine making people more susceptible

to infection? If this is the case ... is this a temporary effect? What causes it? ... How long does it take for any increased susceptibility to diminish?"...We are told that everyone must be vaccinated (but) How can free informed consent be given under these conditions?" ("Covid-rates Post Vaccination around the World", Inform Scotland)

These are all good questions, unfortunately, Dr. Fauci and Co. don't plan to answer any of them. Instead, their allies in the media are doing everything they can to disappear the story and deflect attention to the elusive 'variants', which is the diversion du jour. Am I being too harsh?

Maybe, but maybe not harsh enough. Take a look at this clip from a piece at Conservative Woman titled **"Every reason to doubt the vaccine makers' reassurances"**:

"I have reported previously on an astonishing spike in deaths that occurred alongside an intensive vaccination campaign in Gibraltar, where the small community consequently developed the highest Covid death rate in the world. We also know that thousands of deaths have been seen in the US, EU and UK in the wake of Covid vaccinations, often immediately after the jab has been administered.

The manufacturers, leading medical journals and most governments insist these deaths are unrelated to the vaccine. In many instances, the deaths and serious illness have been attributed to coincidental infection with the virus. But **evidence** is **mounting** that for some, especially the weak and elderly, the vaccine itself is creating or worsening the very illness against which it is supposed to be protective....

"...a worrying phenomenon which appears consistently in Covid vaccine studies is a spike in purported 'infections' which occurs precisely during that three-week period, and usually immediately following the jab...The researchers raise the possibility that the jab may trigger 'symptoms likened to Covid-19 symptoms including fever' in those recently exposed to the virus... He suggests the mechanism may be a depression in immunity caused by a loss of white blood cells post-jab, observed in both the Pfizer and AstraZeneca trials, making the vaccinees more vulnerable to the virus in the short term." ("Every reason to doubt the vaccine makers' reassurances", Conservative Woman)

Okay, so the author arrives at the same conclusion as the previous author; maybe the vaccine makes people more susceptible to the virus by lowering their defenses and, thus, inviting infection. That's certainly one possibility, but there are other possibilities that could be infinitely more serious. Take a look:

"It has not been generally acknowledged that the jab is designed to protect us by provoking our cells into producing the very toxin that makes the virus more dangerous than its predecessors in the coronavirus family. This toxin, known as the spike protein, can damage not just the lungs but may also affect organs such the brain, heart and kidneys.

The reasoning behind administering the jab is that temporary exposure to the toxin may provide long-term protection against becoming ill from the virus. Early indications are that this strategy is working, although it is not at all certain yet to what extent the fall-off in infection rates seen in intensely vaccinated populations is seasonal and related to the waves of infection, or if it is a lasting benefit.

But there is also a very real possibility, supported by animal experiments as well as by the studies cited above, that the vaccine itself may produce symptoms in vulnerable people which are then attributed to Covid-19. The damage to health may be especially severe in an individual who has been recently or is concurrently infected with the actual virus.

There is therefore every reason to doubt the manufacturers' assurances that the deaths and injuries seen to be accompanying vaccination, and that in some instances look like and are being attributed to Covid-19, are unrelated to the jabs. The situation is serious enough for some doctors and scientists to be calling for a moratorium on further Covid vaccinations until it has been properly investigated." ("Every reason to doubt the vaccine makers' reassurances", Conservative Woman)

So, it could be, that something in the vaccine itself is killing people. That is one distinct possibility. Sure, the drug companies and public health officials dismiss the idea with a wave of the hand, but medical professionals and scientists think the danger is significant enough to demand that the mass-vaccination program be temporarily terminated.

Some readers will recall that the Salk Institute recently released a study which showed that SARS-CoV-2's "distinctive 'spike' protein".. "damages cells, confirming COVID-19 as a primarily vascular disease." Here's an excerpt from the article dated April 30, 2021:

"In the new study, the researchers created a "pseudovirus" that was surrounded by SARS-CoV-2 classic crown of spike proteins, but did not contain any actual virus. Exposure to this pseudovirus resulted in damage to the lungs and arteries of an animal model—proving that the spike protein alone was enough to cause disease. Tissue samples showed inflammation in endothelial cells lining the pulmonary artery walls. (Note- "Vascular endothelial cells line the entire circulatory system, from the heart to the smallest capillaries.")

The team then replicated this process in the lab, exposing healthy endothelial cells (which line arteries) to the spike protein. **They showed that the spike protein damaged the cells by binding ACE2.** This binding disrupted ACE2's molecular signaling to mitochondria (organelles that generate energy for cells), causing the mitochondria to become damaged and fragmented.

Previous studies have shown a similar effect when cells were exposed to the SARS-CoV-2 virus, but **this is the first study to show that the damage occurs when cells are exposed to the spike protein on its own.**" (<u>"The novel coronavirus" spike protein plays additional key role in illness</u>", Salk.edu)


The significance of this report cannot be overstated. The Salk researchers are confirming that the main damage from Covid is caused by the spike protein not the virus. And, if that's the case, then why are we injecting people with vaccines that teach their cells to make spike proteins?

It makes no sense at all.

And how does this effect our understanding of the phenomenon that we've seen in countries around the world, that is, the sharp rise in cases following mass vaccination?

Allow me to offer a plausible, but as-yet unproven explanation:

The sharp rise in cases and deaths following mass vaccination is NOT related to Covid "the respiratory illness", but Covid "the vascular disease". The vascular component is mainly the result of spike proteins produced by cells in the lining of the blood vessels (Endothilium) that are activating platelets that cause blood clots and bleeding. The other main factor is autoimmune reaction in which the killer lymphocytes attack one's own body triggering widespread inflammation (and potential organ failure.). In short, **the post-injection fatalities are caused by the spike proteins produced by the vaccines and not by Covid.** Once again, look at the chart of Cambodia. There were no deaths prior to vaccination. All the deaths came afterwards. That suggests that the fatalities are attributable to the vaccines.



One final thought: 118 million Americans have now been injected with a clot-generating spike protein. At present, no one seems to know of how long these potentially-lethal proteins remain trapped in the lining of the blood vessels or what damage they might eventually do. Keeping that in mind, wouldn't this be a good time to exercise a bit of caution? Now that cases have dropped sharply across the country, why not ease up on the vaccinations until we have a better grasp of the long-term risks? That would be the sensible approach, right? Just postpone further injections until product safety can be assured.

If there was ever a time for caution, this is it.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on The Unz Review.

Mike Whitney is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Mike Whitney, Global Research, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Mike Whitney

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca