

"Safe-Zone Judo" as Syrian Forces with Support of Russia and Iran Cross the Euphrates

By Tony Cartalucci

Global Research, October 02, 2017

Region: Middle East & North Africa

Theme: Terrorism, US NATO War Agenda

In-depth Report: **SYRIA**

Syrian forces with the support of their Russian and Iranian allies, crossed the Euphrates River near the city of Deir ez-Zor in eastern Syria.

The move is not only a significant step forward in restoring security nationwide and ensuring the nation's territorial integrity, it is also a significant step toward turning the tables on the very interests who provoked and have perpetuated this conflict since 2011.

US policymakers as early as 2012 openly declared their intent to partition Syria through the use of "safe zones" or "buffer zones." From these zones – established with and protected by direct US military intervention – militant proxies would attempt to expand deeper into Syrian territory until the nation could either be toppled entirely, or sufficiently partitioned, effectively eliminating the Syrian Arab Republic as it was known before the conflict began.

Understanding "Safe Zones"



Saving Syria: Assessing Options for Regime Change

Daniel Byman, Michael Dones, Kenneth Pollack, and Salman Shaikh

Synta IS TRAPPED ON A CHEMBLING PRECIPICE, and however it might fall will entail significant risks for the United States and for the Syrian people.

The bental regime of Bothar al-Aud is employing in loyal military force and accusion hough to creab the opposition and masters in systems. Even if Bothar fish, Sprin may not be out of the woods an increasingly Mady abstractive to the current regime is a Shooly civil was similar to what we saw in Lahanan, Bosvia, Googo, and most mountly in Inap. The hossons of such a war might even exceed the braula reassertion of Assah control, and would cause quilivers into Syntin neighbors—Turkey, Inap. Joulan, Lebanon, and Inael—data could be dissertions for them and for American interests in the Middle Ease."

But the antest in Syria, which is now entering its seconal year, also offers some important appearation, one that would once from the full of the oppine of Buhar al-Anad, whose family has ruled the country with an iron galp for one fivery years. Syria is lutals oldest and more important alp in the Arab world, and the Institut regime has doubled down on Anad, providing him with transical sid and military supports often up him eggins. Analis departure would deal significant blow or Tibrare, further including it at a time when it has few friends in the regime or the world. In addition, Dismonest in sending in its horseling several leads, and Anal's regime is also a long-time supporter of cereating group like Hisbilah and Hamas, and has at times also all Qu'iles terrestens and foremer regime elements in Iraq. The regime's collapse, therefore, could have significant benefits for the United States and its allies in the region,

Actually counting Acad, however, will not be easy. Although the Obama administration has for months colled for Acad to go, every policy option to seniore him is flaved, and serie could even make the situation worse—corningly a reciple for linaction. During mething, however, means standing by while Acad marders his own people, and Syria plumps into civil war and rish becoming a finder state. Already the violence is suggesting as of March 2012, at least 8,000 Syrian have died and thousands ment have been asrecord and measured in syring to ropple the regime. As the same time, Syria is finguenting. The Syrian opposition mentals obiated, and the Fore Syrian formy in most aband data is oreastingful, astified from. Al-Quida is arging fighters on pain the free in Syria, and securitas hillings and strooties are growing. Should the violence continue on internally, Sprias neighbors have been reading, and introdity; could spread, further weakersing already-freight neighbors like how and it debases.

So oo poxxxx U.S. Interests, Asad carrier triamph. Bue is filled Systa, one wanked by citel war, would be jour as bud. Thus, U.S. policy mant walk this tightrope, trying no tensive Asad, but daing no in a way that knops Systa as interes mare capable of policing in leading to the state of the state of the state of the day, however, remarking Asad way set be disable to day, however, remarking Asad way set be disable. A March 2012 Brookings Institution paper titled, "Middle East Memo #21: Saving Syria: Assessing Options for Regime Change" (PDF), proposed the concept of "safe zones" or "safe-havens" not to fight the yet-to-be invented so-called Islamic State (ISIS), but specifically to assist US-backed regime change. It claims (emphasis added):

An alternative is for diplomatic efforts to focus first on how to end the violence and how to gain humanitarian access, as is being done under Annan's leadership. This may lead to the creation of safe-havens and humanitarian corridors, which would have to be backed by limited military power. This would, of course, fall short of U.S. goals for Syria and could preserve Asad in power. From that starting point, however, it is possible that a broad coalition with the appropriate international mandate could add further coercive action to its efforts.

A 2015 Brookings paper titled, "<u>Deconstructing Syria</u>: <u>Towards a regionalized strategy for a confederal country</u>" would elaborate on the nature of these zones, not as bases for fighting terrorism – but as a means of incrementally dividing and literally "deconstructing" Syria as a unified nation-state (emphasis added):

The end-game for these zones would not have to be determined in advance. The interim goal might be a confederal Syria, with several highly autonomous zones and a modest (eventual) national government. The confederation would likely require support from an international peacekeeping force, if this arrangement could ever be formalized by accord. But in the short term, the ambitions would be lower—to make these zones defensible and governable, to help provide relief for populations within them, and to train and equip more recruits so that the zones could be stabilized and then gradually expanded.

It would also elaborate regarding the role ISIS specifically plays in all of this – not as an enemy to be defeated – but as a pawn to be used against the Syrian government:

The idea would be to help moderate elements establish reliable safe zones within Syria once they were able. American, as well as Saudi and Turkish and British and Jordanian and other Arab forces would actin support, not only from the air but eventually on the ground via the presence of special forces as well. The approach would benefit from Syria's open desert terrain which could allow creation of buffer zones that could be monitored for possible signs of enemy attack through a combination of technologies, patrols, and other methods that outside special forces could help Syrian local fighters set up.

Were Assad foolish enough to challenge these zones, even if he somehow forced the withdrawal of the outside special forces, he would be likely to lose his air power in ensuing retaliatory strikes by outside forces, depriving his military of one of its few advantages over ISIL. Thus, he would be unlikely to do this.

It was clear in 2012 and being demonstrated on the ground by 2015 that US commitment to this policy of creating "safe zones" was complete.

The nearly full manifestation of this policy can be seen in northeast Syria, where the United States has military forces literally occupying Syrian territory while US forces accompany Kurdish and Arab militants as they push southwest deeper toward Syria's heartland, supposedly fighting ISIS. However, even within the deepest Kurdish-held regions of Syria, the Syrian government maintains a presence.

And now, with Syrian forces on the east bank of the Euphrates, the Syrian government maintains an even greater presence within and along the edges of this tenuous "safe zone."



Today – just as US policymakers had planned the US and its proxies would do in 2012 – Syrian forces can at any time during this current conflict or after it – expand incrementally into America's "safe-zone." The crossing of the Euphrates and the increasingly exhausted political legs the United States stands on regarding its military aggression in Syria, combined with Russia's direct military intervention upon Damascus' request – have severely complicated this "safe zone" policy.

It is no longer a matter of "Assad" being "foolish enough to challenge these zones," they are being challenged, regularly, and by Syrian forces backed by Russian airpower, which is in turn backed by a nuclear deterrence preventing the sort of escalation against Damascus US policymakers envisioned before the Russian intervention.

In essence, the crossing of the Euphrates represents geopolitical judo – an example of US policy describing an act of military aggression, invasion, occupation, and conquest being turned into a tactic of defense and the incremental uprooting of a foreign invader and the neutralization of its militant proxies.

Syria's Kurds

Attempts have been made – and have mostly failed – to foster greater conflict between Syria's Kurdish minority and the government in Damascus. While seizing back every inch of Syrian territory may not be realistic in the near future, it is very possible in the intermediate future as America's "guarantees" to the Kurds become increasingly irrelevant and as Damascus works on a deal to bring various groups, including the Kurds, back under the protection and prosperity of a unified Syrian state.

Syria's Kurdish minority can only realistically hold small swaths of Syrian territory, confined mainly in the northeast. The Kurdish forces may have pushed toward Raqqa and even

further south toward Deir ez-Zor with the help of significant US military support, but they now find themselves trying to occupy territory with no demographically significant Kurdish population present. A mainly Kurdish administration, or an Arab administration dependent on Kurdish military protection, is unsustainable.

With such an unsustainable grasp on the territory US proxies are attempting to hold, cracks both between these proxies themselves and as the Syrian government begins reasserting control over its own territory further east, this grasp will weaken further.

Time and momentum are on Damascus' side. Syria's Kurds face an unsustainable future as America's proxies inside what is essentially an American "safe zone." Syria's Kurds have a much more sustainable future should they strike a deal with Damascus for greater autonomy. It is a crossroad quickly approaching, and one that will decide whether Syria faces years more of foreign-driven conflict, or the prospect of internal peace and prosperity.

Tony Cartalucci is a Bangkok-based geopolitical researcher and writer, especially for the online magazine "New Eastern Outlook".

This article was originally published by New Eastern Outlook.

All images in this article are from the author.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Tony Cartalucci, Global Research, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Tony Cartalucci

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca