

# Russia's "Liberal" Media's Foreign Sponsors

By [J. Hawk](#), [Daniel Deiss](#), and [Edwin Watson](#)

Global Research, February 25, 2017

[South Front](#) 24 February 2017

Region: [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

*The hysteria concerning the alleged Russian "interference" in the US presidential election appears to be a mirror projection of techniques that have been used against Russia, with little or no success, with the aim of interfering in its political processes.*

While the propaganda campaign aimed at Russia has sought to foster the impression that the country's media is strictly controlled, in actuality the "liberal opposition" newspapers and radio stations have in the past run articles and stories that, due to their nature, would be unthinkable in the "free" West. Controversial stories over the last few years have included:

- Allegations Russian Ground Forces units are operating on the Donbass and suffering hundreds of fatalities which were being covered up by the Russian government. By comparison, it is unheard of for any Western media outlet to run stories asserting the US or NATO military is in combat in contradiction of official government statements.
- Endorsing the Maidan coup in Ukraine that not only brought down a democratically elected president but also de-facto destroyed the country's political system and economy. By contrast, US media are unanimous in their criticism of any government in Latin America which is not subservient to Washington, even when its policies serve the people of that country, as in the case of Cuba.
- Repeatedly and consistently referring to the civil war in Ukraine as a "Russian invasion" or "Russian aggression". Again, no NATO military operation, no matter how unlawful, will ever be described in a "free" Western press as an act of aggression.
- Articles and opinion pieces asserting the Russian government is directly responsible for the shoot-down of Flight MH17 over Novorossia, and that such action is a crime against humanity which warrants prosecution at the Hague. It is as if Western media were consistently running stories arguing Flight MH370, which went missing over the Indian Ocean, was shot down by the US Navy which then covered up the incident.
- Claims that Russian operations in support and at the behest of the legitimate government in Syria likewise represent crimes against humanity, while supporting the jihadists.
- An "investigation" of the 1999 terrorist attacks that cost the lives of several hundred Russian citizens in three cities that concluded the apartment houses were blown up by the FSB. It is as if New York Times' investigation of 9/11 terrorist attacks concluded it was a CIA plot.

These media outlets' main audience is not the Russian public but rather Western funders and supporters. Novaya Gazeta funding sources include the Netherlands and the Soros Foundation. The Dozhd TV Channel financing is opaque-its owners claim they are financing the project using own funds, which must be bottomless considering the channel has not turned a profit since it began operating. Ekho Moskvyy is receiving financial support from the Voice of America Broadcasting Board of Governors, which is also supporting other "liberal" news outlets. These and other Russian media outlets figure prominently in the Fiscal Year 2017 proposed federal budget appropriation for the US State Department. Moreover, journalists working for these outlets have received a broad array of awards for journalism issued by a number of Western governments and West-controlled so-called non-governmental organizations.

Another example of a Internet media outlet created in order to push the "pro-liberal" agenda is Meduza. It was financed by opposition oligarch Mikhail Khodorkovskiy and so-called "anonymous investors." In spite of Meduza being registered and based in Latvia, it focuses on the Russian audience and is promoting globalist agenda in Russia.

In addition to resources which are openly promoting anti-Russian propaganda, there is an array of major media outlets whose informational policy demonstrates they are pursuing political goals quite divorced from Russia's interests as a sovereign state.

In the meantime, genuinely accomplished investigative journalists such as Julian Assange are facing politically motivated prosecutions, and there are efforts to exclude English-language Russia-based media such as RT and Sputnik from Western markets for allegedly "spreading propaganda."

This state of affairs also raises the question why is the Russian government tolerant of media beholden to foreign sponsors. Part of the answer lies with the guarantees of the freedom of speech and press contained in the Russian Constitution, though the support of these outlets by important factions of the economic and political elite also plays a role-the Ekho Moskvyy radio station is part of the Gazprom Media Holding, for example. Ultimately, however, the relatively unfettered existence of these media is a reflection of the Russian government's confidence in its policies and its popular support, in sharp contrast to the panicked "fake news" reaction to the loss of Hillary Clinton that resulted in widespread calls to limit the freedom of speech in Western countries, lest the "wrong" candidates win elections.

Still, this is an intolerable state of affairs, a leftover from the 1990s era of Russia's political and economic weakness, when it seemed it might become nothing more than a politically impotent supplier of raw materials to the West. Any genuine "reset" of Russia-West relations will require the West to respect the inviolability of Russia's political institutions and processes in the same way that the West demands respect for theirs.

*If you're able, and if you like our content and approach, please support the project. Our work wouldn't be possible without your help: PayPal: [southfront@list.ru](mailto:southfront@list.ru) or via: <http://southfront.org/donate/> or via: <https://www.patreon.com/southfront>*

The original source of this article is [South Front](#)

Copyright © [J. Hawk](#), [Daniel Deiss](#), and [Edwin Watson](#), [South Front](#), 2017

---

[\*\*Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page\*\*](#)

[\*\*Become a Member of Global Research\*\*](#)

Articles by: [J. Hawk](#), [Daniel Deiss](#), and [Edwin Watson](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)