GlobalResearch
Center for Research on Globalization

Russiagate: Republicans Sitting on Evidence that Clears Trump

The Stupid Party Remains Stupid

By Dr. Paul Craig Roberts
Global Research, January 25, 2018

Region: USA
Theme: Intelligence, Media Disinformation

In the 1970s neoconservative Irving Kristol aptly described the Republicans as "the stupid party." We are seeing this today in the hesitation of the House Intelligence Committee to release to the American public the results of its investigation of Russiagate.

The committee has released a description of its findings to members of the House, and most Republicans who have read it are demanding that it be released to the public as it clearly proves that Russiagate was an orchestrated conspiracy between the Democratic National Committee, the FBI, and the Obama Department of Justice against Donald Trump.

Apparently, Republicans are not smart enough to understand that to announce that you have proof that turns Russiagate away from President Trump and toward its DNC, FBI, and DOJ originators and then to sit on the information gives the Democrats and the presstitutes time to discredit the information in advance of its release.

And that is exactly what we are witnessing. For example, Greg Sargent writing in the Washington Post, a long-time CIA asset, mischaracterizes the finding of the House Intelligence Committee as "the latest effort to delegitimize the Russia probe by painting it as born of partisan dirty tricks and an illegitimate abuse of power."

Sargent goes on to allege that

> "the campaign to discredit the Russia investigation continues unabated, and the Nunes memo [the House Intelligence Committee report] is at the center of it."

Sargent dismisses the report, which he has not seen, as merely "a selective release of cherry-picked info that will give Republicans ammunition to shield Trump from accountability, secure in the knowledge that the full set of facts allowing us to gauge the memo's accuracy will not be released."

Note Sargent and the Washington Post's assumption that Trump is guilty of some Russiagate accusation despite the absence of any evidence.

What Sargent, his editor, and the highly partisan Rep. Adam Schiff do not acknowledge is that proof that Russiagate is "born of partisan dirty tricks and an illegitimate abuse of power" already exists in public in the form of an official report by the FISA court. I posted it here on January 22.

Former US Attorney Joe diGenova explains it in detail here.

The FISA court lists the admitted violations of surveillance laws and their misuse against Trump by the FBI and DOJ and lists the corrections promised by the FBI and DOJ to prevent such illegality in the future.

If the House Republicans were intelligent, they would immediately have released the information, not announce that they might release it and then sit on it while the Democrats and presstitutes discredit it in advance. By the time the Republicans can bring themselves to release the damning information, assuming they ever do considering their idiotic "national security" concerns, it will have been discredited.

Meanwhile the Russiagate campaign against Trump continues. CNN Stephen Collinson tells us that

> "a foreboding moment looms for Trump's presidency and for the nation" as "inexorably, special counsel Robert Mueller's investigation has clawed all the way up to Donald Trump himself."

If this is not sufficient to suggest Trump's certain guilt and upcoming indictment, what about this:

> "A stunning barrage of revelations on Tuesday suggested that at least one strand of Mueller's Russia probe is racing toward its end game, emphasizing the gravity of the situation facing the White House and the potential vulnerability of the President."

What is the "stunning barrage of revelations"? Mueller has interviewed Comey and Sessions, Trump asked an inappropriate question of McCabe, one of the FBI plotters against him, and Trump's mistake as FBI director Chris Wray threatened to quit. Only for an axe-grinding presstitute would these constitute a "stunning barrage of revelations."

Collinson writes that

> Mueller "has a clear picture of where he is headed in what could turn into an obstruction of justice case, legal experts said."

It is simply amazing that Collinson and "legal experts" are so incompetent that they do not understand that there cannot be an obstruction of justice case unless there is a crime. What crime was Mueller obstructed from finding?

The problem with Mueller's investigation is that it has never been an investigation of a crime but an investigation seeking to find a crime. No crime that falls under the purpose of the investigation has been found. As William Binney, the former high level NSA official who designed the spy program, has said, if a crime existed, the NSA would have the evidence. No investigation would be necessary.

The only purpose of the Mueller "investigation" is to plant in the public's mind that Trump and Putin conspired to steal the presidential election from Hillary.

Considering the extraordinary stupidity of Republicans, it is possible that having all the facts will do them no good as the presstitutes will have already established the explanation of the facts before they are released.

*

This article was originally published by Paul Craig Roberts Institute for Political Economy.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © Dr. Paul Craig Roberts, Global Research, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Dr. Paul Craig Roberts

About the author:

Paul Craig Roberts, former Assistant Secretary of the US Treasury and Associate Editor of the Wall Street Journal, has held numerous university appointments. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research. Dr. Roberts can be reached at http://paulcraigroberts.org

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca