

Rumsfeld accuses bin Laden and Zarqawi of Manipulating the U.S. Media

By [Global Research](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Terrorism](#)

Global Research, April 20, 2006

editorandpublisher.com/ 18 April 2006

"The terrorists, Zarqawi and bin Laden and Zawahiri, those people have media committees. They are actively out there trying to manipulate the press in the United States."

This statement by Secretary Donald Rumsfeld accusing Al Qaeda of media disinformation was made within days of a leaked military document to the Washington Post confirming the existence of a Pentagon Zarqawi PSYOP program, which consists in sustaining the "Zarqawi Legend" by planting disinformation in the news chain.

An internal document produced by U.S. military headquarters in Iraq, states that "the Zarqawi PSYOP program is the most successful information campaign to date." And Rumsfeld now retorts, No! They are the ones who are involved in media disinformation.

" They are actively out there trying to manipulate the press in the United States. They are very good at it. They're much better at (laughing) managing those kinds of things than we are."

The substance of this statement seems to imply that the US media is unwittingly complicit in spreading disinformation on behalf of the terrorists?

Michel Chossudovsky, Global Research, 20 April 2006.

On the Pentagon Zarqawi disinfo program, see

[Who is behind "Al Qaeda in Iraq"? Pentagon acknowledges fabricating a "Zarqawi Legend"](#)

- by Michel Chossudovsky - 2006-04-18

Rumsfeld Suggests bin Laden, Zarqawi Manipulating U.S. Press

editorandpublisher.com

18 April 2006

When Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld appeared on Rush Limbaugh's talk radio show on Monday, his remarks defending himself from calls for his resignation drew wide attention. Generally overlooked were a couple of questions and answers on the subject of press

coverage in Iraq.

For one thing, Rumsfeld said it was important to “recognize that the terrorists, Zargawi and bin Laden and Zawahiri, those people have media committees. They are actively out there trying to manipulate the press in the United States. They are very good at it. They’re much better at (laughing) managing those kinds of things than we are.”

Asked why fewer reporters were embedding in Iraq, Rumsfeld said he’d talked to one journalist, and “there was a kind of impression left that ‘Well, if you got embedded then you were really part of the problem instead of part of the solution and you were almost going over to the other side,’ argument. I think that’s an inexcusable thought, and I don’t know if that’s the case.” He did not explain why he mentioned that, not knowing if it was true.”

Here is the transcript, from the Limbaugh Web site, of that portion of the interview.

* LIMBAUGH: Let me amend it. Let me ask you one final question. Somebody on my staff is curious to know what your opinion is of embedding reporters with the military. Has that worked? Has that worked as you had hoped?

SECRETARY RUMSFELD: Well, it has. It worked during the Iraq conflict, and a lot of people who are reporters and journalists were able to work with our troops and see precisely how terrific they are, the wonderful job they do, the kinds of people they are, how professional they are — and the rest of their lives they’re going to have an impression of the American military that will be good for journalism, in my view. Furthermore, they were able, because they were embedded, to see and then give the world and the people of the United States a slice of what was actually happening, real reality, and it was a good thing. More recently, very few people had been being embedded. We’re still offering that opportunity, but there have been far fewer journalists who have stepped up to become embedded.

LIMBAUGH: Why do you think that is?

SECRETARY RUMSFELD: Well, it’s a funny thing. I asked one reporter about that, and there was kind of the impression left that, “Well, if you got embedded then you were really part of the problem instead of part of the solution and you were almost going over to the other side,” argument. I think that’s an inexcusable thought, and I don’t know if that’s the case.

LIMBAUGH: That’s outrageous.

SECRETARY RUMSFELD: It is. (Laughing.)

RUSH: I can’t believe that.

SECRETARY RUMSFELD: (Laughing.)

The original source of this article is editorandpublisher.com/
Copyright © Global Research, editorandpublisher.com/, 2006

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: [Global Research](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca