

Reports on 9/11 Collapse of World Trade Centre Towers Don't Add Up

By [Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth](#)

Global Research, December 11, 2016

[PR Newswire](#) 28 November 2016

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#), [Terrorism](#)

The following is being issued by Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth: The six-year investigation by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) into the fall of the World Trade Center Towers and nearby Building 7 on Sept. 11, 2001, which found that fires were to blame in all three collapses, was guided by a “pre-determined conclusion,” said a former employee of the agency in a letter published last week in the European Physical Society’s bimonthly magazine, [Europhysics News](#).

Peter Michael Ketcham, who, from 1997 until 2011, worked in NIST’s High Performance Systems and Services Division and later in the Mathematical and Computational Sciences Division, described becoming furious as he read the agency’s reports for the first time earlier this year.

“The NIST I knew,” he wrote, “was intellectually open, non-defensive, and willing to consider competing explanations. The more I investigated, the more apparent it became that NIST had reached a predetermined conclusion by ignoring, dismissing, and denying the evidence.” Mr. Ketcham’s rebuke of his former employer’s study is the first time anyone with ties to the agency has challenged its conclusion that fires were the cause, although the agency’s two reports have been the subject of intense debate since their release in [2005](#) and [2008](#), respectively. A contingent of engineers and other experts, along with a significant segment of the public, have long argued that the buildings’ demise was caused by explosives and not by the airplane crashes.

Europhysics News featured Mr. Ketcham’s letter, as well as a statement from NIST, following the magazine’s August publication of a controversial feature article by two engineers, a physicist, and a senior staff member of the organization Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth (AE911Truth). The article, “[15 years later: On the physics of high-rise building collapses](#),” has been downloaded over 350,000 times, according to the website.

Mr. Ketcham cited the widely read article as an example of the growing awareness of “the disconnect between the NIST WTC reports and logical reasoning.” He ended his letter by calling on NIST to “blow the whistle on itself now while there is still time.”

The former NIST employee has told AE911Truth that he will continue voicing his perspective and advocating publicly for a new investigation. Mr. Ketcham’s letter is on page 43 of *Europhysics News*’ [current issue](#).

SOURCE Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth

The original source of this article is [PR Newswire](#)
Copyright © [Architects and Engineers for 911 Truth](#), [PR Newswire](#), 2016

[**Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**](#)

[**Become a Member of Global Research**](#)

Articles by: [Architects and
Engineers for 911 Truth](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.
For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca