

44 Percent of Pregnant Women in Pfizer Trial Lost Their Babies; FDA and CDC Recommended Jabs for Expectant Mothers Anyway. Report

By [Debra Heine](#)

Global Research, August 19, 2022

[American Greatness](#) 16 August 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

More than 40 percent of pregnant women who participated in Pfizer’s mRNA COVID vaccine trial suffered miscarriages, according [internal Pfizer documents](#), recently released under court order. Despite this, Pfizer, and the Biden administration insisted that the vaccines were safe for pregnant women.

16.2.7.1 Adverse Events Legend Page		
Category	Abbreviation	Text
Action - Study Vaccine Dose	NA	Not applicable
	P	Investigational product withdrawn
Action - Subject	TC	Concomitant drug treatment given
	TCN	Concomitant non-drug treatment given
	W	Withdrawn from study
Cause of AE	CD	Concomitant drug treatment
	CND	Concomitant non-drug treatment
	O	Other
Outcome	F	Fatal
	N	Not recovered/not resolved
	R	Recovered/resolved
	RS	Recovered/resolved with sequelae
	RG	Recovering/resolving
	UNK	Unknown
Toxicity Grade	1	Mild
	2	Moderate
	3	Severe
	4	Life-threatening
System Organ Class	BLOOD	Blood and lymphatic system disorders
	CARD	Cardiac disorders
	CONG	Congenital, familial and genetic disorders
	EAR	Ear and labyrinth disorders
	ENDO	Endocrine disorders
	EYE	Eye disorders
	GASTR	Gastrointestinal disorders
	GENRL	General disorders and administration site conditions
	HEPAT	Hepatobiliary disorders

Out of 50 pregnant women, 22 of them lost their babies, according to an analysis of the documents.

In a January court ruling, U.S. District Judge Mark Pittman of the Northern District of Texas, ordered the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to release around 12,000 documents immediately, and then 55,000 pages a month until all documents were released, totaling more than 300,000 pages.

The nonprofit group, Public Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency, sued the FDA last September, after the agency denied its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to expedite the release of mRNA vaccine review documents. In a November 2021 joint status report, the FDA proposed releasing only 500 pages of the documents a month, which would have taken up to 75 years.

Trial [documents](#) released in April revealed that Pfizer had to [hire 1,800 additional full-time employees](#) in the first half of 2021 to deal with “the large increase” of adverse reactions to its COVID vaccine.

The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine was made available under the Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) on Dec. 11, 2020. By February of 2021, the company was seeing so many safety signals, [including in pregnant and breastfeeding mothers](#), it had to immediately hire 600 employees to process the data.

A batch of documents released in late July showed that 44 percent of women who were pregnant during the trial suffered miscarriages, feminist author and journalist Dr. Naomi Wolf revealed on Steve Bannon's War Room podcast. Wolf has been spearheading research and analysis of the Pfizer documents through her website [Daily Clout](#).

In the past, [only 10 to 15 percent](#) of known pregnancies ended in miscarriage.

"Pfizer took those deaths of babies—those spontaneous abortions and miscarriages—and recategorized them as recovered/resolved adverse effects," Wolf told Bannon. "In other words, if you lost your baby, it was categorized by Pfizer as a resolved adverse event, like a headache that got better," she added.

Wolf said adverse event cutoff report showing the miscarriages was March 13, 2021, and the FDA received the report on April 1, 2021.

Therefore, the FDA had this data nearly a year and a half ago, and instead raising an alarm, they, along with the CDC, went ahead and recommended the experimental injections for expectant mothers anyway.

"Over a year ago, the FDA received this report that out of 50 pregnant women, 22 of them lost their babies, and they did not say anything," Wolf said, choking back tears. "Thus the FDA was aware of the horrifying rate of fetal death by the start of April 2021 and were silent."

The CDC, as recently as last month, still recommended the experimental mRNA vaccines for pregnant and breastfeeding "people."

"COVID-19 vaccination is recommended for all people 6 months and older. This includes people who are pregnant, breastfeeding, trying to get pregnant now, or might become pregnant in the future," the CDC claims in a July 2022 post on its [website](#). "CDC also recommends COVID-19 vaccines for infants 6 months and older who's mother was vaccinated or had a COVID infection before or while pregnant."

The FDA and CDC could conceivably claim they were unaware of high rate of miscarriages in the trial because Pfizer attempted to obscure the data.

"Pfizer notes the miscarriages as serious adverse events with moderate or severe toxicity ratings," Wolf explained. "However, all of them were recategorized, by Pfizer, in the internal documents under the category of adverse events that were 'recovered' or 'resolved.'"

Wolf noted that the Pfizer trial data correlates with the massive increase in miscarriages seen worldwide since the vaccine rollouts.

"If you extrapolate, globally, to all the pregnant women who are injected, it could explain what we're seeing now of a baby die-off. 200 percent rise in neonatal deaths or spontaneous abortions and miscarriages in Scotland," she said, adding, "86 babies died in Ontario when they usually have five or six [per quarter], and in Israel, a 34 percent rise of spontaneous abortions and miscarriages to vaccine."

[Back in January](#), three military doctors who had access to vaccination data in the DoD's Defense Medical Epidemiology Database (DMED) disclosed that miscarriages and cancers among members of the US military had increased by about 300 percent in 2021 over the five year average.

These alarming safety signals were among several others brought to light by Thomas Renz, a member of [America's Frontline Doctors'](#) legal team, during [a panel discussion](#) convened by Sen. Ron Johnson (R-Wis.) in January.

The CDC, however, still maintains on its website that "evidence continues to build showing that: COVID-19 vaccination during pregnancy is safe and effective."

The agency also claimed "there is currently no evidence that any vaccines, including COVID-19 vaccines, cause fertility problems in women or men," despite recent studies [showing otherwise](#).

Dr. James Thorp, an physician MD board-certified in obstetrics and gynecology, as well as maternal-fetal medicine, said in a recent interview that he has seen a massive spike in fetal death and adverse pregnancy outcomes after the mass vaccination campaign began.

Dr. Thorp told Epoch Times he has practiced obstetrics for over 42 years and sees 6,000-7,000 high-risk OB patients a year.

The obstetrician declared that the use of the experimental COVID vaccines on expectant mothers "broke all the rules."

"We have always been guided by that principle, that longstanding, six millennia principle—the golden rule of pregnancy—you never, ever use an investigational drug, a new substance, a new drug, a new vaccine—even if there's a potential benefit," he said. "You don't ever use a new substance in pregnancy."

Thorp said that in his practice, he's seen a "significant increase" in problems, including "extraordinarily abnormal menstrual periods" before pregnancy.

"The vaccine I'm very concerned about and I do believe that in pregnancy, it's contraindicated," he said.

He said that his attempts to disclose these adverse events have been hampered by the imposition of gag orders on physicians and nurses that were imposed in September 2021.

In September of 2021, the American Board of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ABOG) put out [a statement](#) in support of the Federation of State Medical Boards' (FSMB) contention that "providing misinformation about the COVID-19 vaccine contradicts physicians' ethical and professional responsibilities." The ABOG said physicians disseminating "misinformation" would be subject to "disciplinary actions, including suspension or revocation of their medical license."

The ACOG, like the CDC, recommends the experimental injections for "pregnant people."

"The fact that ABOG would recommend this "vaccine" in pregnancy is an abomination and may well be the greatest disaster in the history of obstetrics," Thorp wrote in [an](#)

[article](#) in the Gazette of Medical Sciences (GMS), titled: *Patient Betrayal: The Corruption of Healthcare, Informed Consent and the Physician-Patient Relationship*.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Debra Heine is a conservative Catholic mom of six and longtime political pundit. She has written for several conservative news websites over the years, including Breitbart and PJ Media.

Featured image is from LifeSiteNews

The original source of this article is [American Greatness](#)
Copyright © [Debra Heine](#), [American Greatness](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Debra Heine](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca