

The Politics of Abortion: Medical Science Advances Threaten Roe v. Wade

By Renee Parsons

Global Research, January 06, 2022

Region: <u>USA</u>
Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @globalresearch_crg.

As Planned Parenthood gears up for the fight of its political life to save <u>Roe v. Wade</u> from a Supreme Court reversal, new medical concerns have emerged that were not part of the debate in 1973.

At issue for today's Court is the long-standing question of when personhood begins; that is when a fetus exhibits the first signs of life. While Roe gave women the 'constitutional' right to an abortion up to six months (27 weeks) of pregnancy,the Court amended Roe in 1992 when Casey v. Planned Parenthood entitled women to an abortion up to 24 weeks. However, two pending cases before the Court challenge the concept of abortion with evidence of life's beginning. One recent subject of oral arguments was Mississippi's Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health which bans an abortion after fifteen weeks while the Court allowed Texas SB 8 which bans abortion when a fetal heartbeat is detected (six weeks), to continue without a 'stay'.

Legal affirmation of the first signs of life may also dramatically affect PP's sale of abortion-generated fetal parts and tissue to research labs. While the fetus grows to human shape by 12 weeks, PP's abortions and fetal tissue recovery begin at 16 weeks (4 months pregnant) through 22/24 weeks (5 - 6 months pregnant) with an 18 week/second trimester fetus especially valuable. Obviously the longer the pregnancy, the more developed and valuable fetal parts and tissue are on the medical research market. The ideal for any research lab is a fully intact fetus whose organs are considerably developed and therefore most valuable, especially its brain and heart.

While the Court may be tempted to apply <u>stare decisis</u> ('to stand by that which has already been decided ") which reaffirms earlier S.Court decisions as settled law; yet to allow Roe/Casey to remain would refute medical or science advances while affirming that Roe's original legal underpinnings were as legally and morally valid today as in 1973.

The Reality of Abortion Politics

While the medical and health issues of abortion may appear to take precedence, the political reality is that the national Democratic party has made a significant political investment over the years in preserving Roe as it cultivated PP's impressive membership just as PP benefitted from its association with the Democrats as its guardian on the battlefield of legislative conflict.

Both sides have been intent to convey the politically sensitive message that PP is an unapologetic ally of the Democrats and that it is the Dems who care about women's health. Today abortion can be counted as one of the Democratic party's most valuable historic successes that provided them with a massive politically active national constituency. That membership is now of paramount importance to the Democrat's 2022 re-election and its domestic agenda which has taken a hit since the 2020 election, having lost much of its blue collar support with Biden's questionable election.

As new science, medical, technology and cultural facts have come to light since 1973, there is no room for disenfranchisement or any possible dissension within the ranks. Dems cannot allow the Supreme Court to tarnish that political history, to besmirch an issue that once defined PP as a respected community minded, egalitarian association as an indispensable support network for American women. Instead of participating in an open dialogue about 'when does life begin', we can expect the usual Democratic spin of obfuscation and falsehoods, a reliance on their tried-and-true strategy in the face of the hard scientific evidence. The predicted political dispute is that neither PP nor the Dems will admit that abortion exhibits any profound flaws and offer no concession that the beginning of life is now decided science.

In other words, the stakes could not be higher for both PP and the Democrats as Roe must prevail at the Supreme Court or face the very real catastrophic disintegration of one of the party's most reliable bastions for electoral support.

Fetal Tissue Controversy

In July, 2015, the <u>Center for Medical Progress</u> released a series of nineteen <u>undercover interview videos</u> with numerous PP executives especially focused on sale of its abortion-provided human fetal tissue. One video is more shocking than the next as PP staff discuss how to end a baby's life as casual and nonchalant as if discussing the family dog's visit to the vet. Appearing totally focused on the organization's bottom line, each reveal little emotion or compassion and a troublesome disconnect from any real awareness of the implications of their behavior. The interview videos reveal that the entire fetal tissue process is more of an organizational priority, more predominant and essential to PP as a business opportunity than otherwise expected.

There was discussion as to what type of abortion technique was better utilized for its lack of injury on a fetus: whether <u>digoxin</u> which induces an almost immediate 'demised' fetus before abortion or other 'dismemberment' techniques like suction or how to skirt the Congressional partial birth abortion ban.

In 2018, <u>a National Institute of Health</u> paper <u>entitled</u> "The Use of Aborted Fetal Tissue in Vaccines and Medical Research Obscures the Value of all Human Life" further stated that 'the commercialization of fetal tissue is not a new practice. The utilization of embryonic and fetal cells from elective abortions in the pharmaceutical industry and medical research is commonplace."

While the <u>National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993</u> allows a woman to 'donate' her fetal tissue after an abortion, fetal tissue is often passed to biological-research supply companies as intermediaries which then process the tissue before selling it to medical lab researchers.

One can only imagine how a woman who experienced an abortion now knows that her baby was destroyed with a functioning human heart and its parts sold to a commercial lab.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

Renee Parsons served on the ACLU's Florida State Board of Directors and as president of the ACLU Treasure Coast Chapter. She has been an elected public official in Colorado, staff in the Office of the Colorado State Public Defender, an environmental lobbyist for Friends of the Earth and a staff member of the US House of Representatives in Washington DC. She can be found at reneedove3@yahoo.com.

She is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Renee Parsons, Global Research, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Renee Parsons

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca