

## Politicized State Medical Boards Are Like Swords of Damocles Over Doctors Everywhere

By Prof. Bill Willers Global Research, February 15, 2022 Region: USA Theme: Law and Justice, Police State & Civil Rights

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @globalresearch crg.

\*\*\*

<u>Dr Meryl Nass</u>, an internist with a sterling resume, practiced medicine for 40 years, but her criticism of the official Covid-19 narrative led to her <u>being charged</u> with spreading medical "misinformation". She had openly discussed the dangers of the Covid-19 vaccines (well documented by now) and prescribed ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine, neither of which conform to officially sanctioned protocols for treating Covid-19. Both medicines are safe, have been shown to have antiviral properties and are very inexpensive. But never mind that, governmental bureaucrats have declared them 'off limits' in favor of remdesivir at ~\$3,000 for a course, despite its being highly injurious to kidneys.

For her departure from the rules, the <u>Maine Medical Board of Licensure</u> in late January 2022 suspended her license to practice medicine. Moreover, to have her license reinstated, the Board required that she undergo a psychological evaluation, something that would necessarily become part of her permanent record. The suggestion of mental imbalance harks back to the oppressive strategy of the old USSR. Such a mark on any doctor's history would today, with near certainty, end a career.

Dr. Nass is, by any standard, a high-profile medical figure. She has written widely, largely on anthrax, and has served as an advisor to members of Congress and state legislatures on matters relating to bioterrorism and Gulf War Syndrome. I have searched available information on members of the Maine Board, and it is doubtful that anyone sitting there could fill the shoes of a Meryl Nass. But, of course, they have the privilege of power.

In today's America, doctors need access to hospitals. Having hospital access denied would be crushing for many practices. Atop the hospital hierarchy, like it or not, are the administrators who enforce recommendations of the CDC and NIAID (despite popular perception, recommendations are what they are) as if they were laws chiseled in granite. It is administrators that interpret them as iron-clad laws that must be followed, this effectively canceling the doctor-patient relationship. In such a one-size-fits-all environment, the physician's treatment is no longer based primarily on understanding each patient as a unique individual and situation, as an official protocol has assumed the power to override that.

As with hospital administrations, so with state medical boards, as Dr. Nass's experience exemplifies. Wherever you look across the United States, medical boards are seen to hue to the same official protocol that includes, among other factors such as public masking, promoting expensive pharmaceuticals while outlawing medicinals that are cheap and effective.

One might have expected this. Watching <u>Event 201</u>, the "tabletop" exercise of October 2019, one finds that governments, the medical industry and media favored mass coalescing around an explicit narrative for public consumption, should a pandemic arise. And, with the Covid-19 Pandemic that was declared only 20 weeks later, it is the formula to which they all have adhered, literally with a vengeance.

At grassroots, there is growing awareness that a transgression so immense as to overwhelm the imagination is being perpetrated on the human family, because the <u>signs are</u> <u>everywhere</u>. For the aware, therefore, the <u>40% rise</u> in excess <u>U.S. deaths</u> in 2021 came as no surprise. And this, naturally, has generated the question of why medical doctors and nurses, who certainly have firsthand knowledge of the carnage, are not rebelling out loud by the tens of thousands.

But then, consider the high-profile attack on Dr. Nass, and imagine how doctors and nurses read it as potentially impacting their own careers and livelihoods. Nor is her experience unique, e.g. <u>HERE</u>, <u>HERE</u>, <u>HERE</u>, <u>HERE</u>. When rank and file practitioners see eminent medical figures such Meryl Nass, <u>Peter McCullough</u> and <u>Robert Malone</u> attacked for not adhering to ritual narrative, they get the message. They know that big guns in hospital administrations and state medical boards are firmly in place to ensure that dissident voices are silenced.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch\_crg. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Bill Willers is an Emeritus Professor of Biology at the University of Wisconsin at Oshkosh.

Featured image: Dr. Meryl Nass [Source: Childrenshealthdefense.org]

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Prof. Bill Willers, Global Research, 2022

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Prof. Bill Willers

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca