

Pentagon to Deploy 20,000 Troops Inside the U.S. to Curb Potential Civil Unrest

By [Shepard Ambellas](#) and [Alex Thomas](#)

Global Research, July 25, 2011

[The Intel Hub](#) 25 July 2011

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

“Please get this information out to everyone. It is better to be safe than sorry.” — Shepard

In 2008 The Pentagon [announced plans](#) to deploy a 20,000 strong internal troop force inside the continental United States (CONUS) that was set to be trained by 2011, thus dovetailing into the [current troop and equipment movements around the country reported by truckers](#) as well as [many more troop sightings by everyday citizens](#).

Interestingly enough, this plan directly correlates with a 2009, Army funded, [Rand Corporation study](#) that called for an internal United States police force to combat civil unrest.

This study asks several questions. First, is a Stability Police Force (SPF) necessary? An SPF is a high-end police force that engages in a range of tasks such as crowd and riot control, special weapons and tactics (SWAT), and investigations of organized criminal groups. In its ability to operate in stability operations, it is similar to such European forces as the Italian Carabinieri and French Gendarmerie.

Its focus on high endtasks makes it fundamentally different from UN or other civilian police, who deal with more routine law and order functions. It is also different from most military forces, which are generally not trained and experienced to conduct policing tasks in a civilian environment.

Second, if an SPF is necessary, what should it look like? This includes considering such issues as: its objectives, tasks, and size; its speed of deployment; its institutional capabilities; where it should be headquartered in the U.S. government and how it should be staffed (standing force, reserve force, and hybrid force); and its cost.

It is openly admitted that the internal force will be used to quell civil unrest due to massive large scale terror attacks and/or economic collapse.

Will the powers-that-be stage a repeat of the martial law threats to congress that they presented back in 2008 to get the bailouts passed, to get the debt ceiling raised now?

Rep. Brad Sherman laid out exactly took place during the bailouts in 2008:

Many people have voiced fears that this will turn our country into a total militarized police

state, wondering what will happen in the future once the sheeple are conditioned to the military roaming the streets in America.

Others have speculated that this announcement directly coincides *with* all of the [pre-positioning of troops, equipment, and \(NOTAM\) No-Fly Zones throughout The United States](#) over the last few months.

Does any of this correlate with the debt ceiling (economy), earth changes, or nuclear threats from [reactors in danger within the US](#)?

The following is an RT clip of the Pentagon's announcement:

[Original](#) Russia Today description from 2008: *Twenty thousand additional U.S. uniformed troops, set to be trained by 2011, are to help as a response to the threat of a possible mass terror attack or civil unrest following an economic collapse. But despite assurances from the Pentagon, many Americans are worried by the prospect of seeing the military guard in the streets.*

Some have speculated that this is the beginning phases of [REX84](#) (Readiness Exercise 1984) being activated due to a catastrophic event that is yet to take place.

Could this event be a threat from afar?

NASA seems to think so. NASA recently released a warning to all employees regarding living near large bodies of water that seemed rather suspicious, take a look for yourself;

What seems even more suspicious is the fact that the [White House was prompted by NASA late last year that there was a threat from outer space now facing the nation and a deflection campaign would need to be implemented](#). This document was signed by Eric P. Holdren.

The White House document was released October 15, 2010 a few months prior to the so called "discovery" of comet C/2010 X1 (Elenin) discovered by Leonid Elenin with a 18" telescope.

The strange thing is that only a select few sources worldwide have seen the object a few of which we traced back to DARPA directly.

It appears the [ELENIN is some type of advanced warning system \(used in conjunction with NASA's JPL\)](#) for the elites, upper echelon military, and people in-the-know to decipher upcoming earth change events prompted by another object (classified) that has entered our solar system.

[There has been many documented earth changes that have taken place on or near ELENIN'S alignment dates](#) (when ELENIN is in alignment with other objects) including the Japanese earthquake and Tsunami disaster of 2011.

The JPL orbital diagram and data seems to be fictitious and reverse engineered into some type of advanced encrypted database for the upcoming event anticipated.

ELENIN, according to some researchers stands for *Extinction Level Event Notable Impact November* — also encoded into the name is *Elevin Nine* (Nov. 9), and LEONID (a meteor shower that peaks in November).

This also ties in with the massive gearing up of [underground bases worldwide at a rapid rate](#) and [mass graves for U.S. citizens](#) which were [exposed worldwide](#) on March 25, 2009 on the *Alex Jones Show* by Shepard Ambellas.

[Thyroid Helper - Help promote the normal function of the thyroid hormone in your body!\(Ad\)](#)

On Nov 9, 2011 the earth will supposedly cross the threshold of the debris tail of ELENIN on the same plain (assuming ELENIN is a real object that would be catastrophic)

However, this, according to our sources as well as independent researchers, is some sort of military code and ELENIN is not a physical object.

If ELENIN is not a real object we might be expecting a major earth change, a solar event caused by another space object, (possibly 2005 YU55) or a staged attack.

We must also be open to the idea that this is all a psyop for an event that the elites are going to purposely cause and blame on Elenin.

It is equally important to take into consideration the [FEMA/FCC Take over drill happening on November 9, 2011](#), the very same day that [asteroid 2005 YU55 threatens to hit earth and/or the moon](#).

It seems almost *surreal* that this information all lines up the way it does, and is still currently under a full scale investigation by [The Intel Hub](#).

Also one must ponder the fact that if there was some catastrophic event that is destined to take place in the near future, *would the governments of the world plan to have us in martial law and populations under control before the event, or would they wait until after?*

The plan fits all too well, keep your ears to the ground.

Please send any Tips or Intel on domestic troop and equipment movements to tips@theintelhub.com

Related: [NATO Summit: Chicago Trains 13,000 Police For Mass Arrests](#)

[LATEST WATER NEWS: Radiation Detected In Drinking Water In 13 U.S. Cities — Get your ANTIRAD-Plus — Radioisotope \(Radiation\), Heavy Metal and Chemical Reduction + Alkalizer WATER FILTER TODAY! \(Ad\)](#)

Update: For those that do not trust Russia Today or do not believe anything unless the corporate controlled media reports it.

[Washington Post](#)

The U.S. military expects to have 20,000 uniformed troops inside the United States by 2011 trained to help state and local officials respond to a nuclear

terrorist attack or other domestic catastrophe, according to Pentagon officials.

There are critics of the change, in the military and among civil liberties groups and libertarians who express concern that the new homeland emphasis threatens to strain the military and possibly undermine the Posse Comitatus Act, a 130-year-old federal law restricting the military's role in domestic law enforcement.

The American Civil Liberties Union and the libertarian Cato Institute are troubled by what they consider an expansion of executive authority.

Domestic emergency deployment may be "just the first example of a series of expansions in presidential and military authority," or even an increase in domestic surveillance, said Anna Christensen of the ACLU's National Security Project. And Cato Vice President Gene Healy warned of "a creeping militarization" of homeland security.

"There's a notion that whenever there's an important problem, that the thing to do is to call in the boys in green," Healy said, "and that's at odds with our long-standing tradition of being wary of the use of standing armies to keep the peace."

This plan was quietly announced in 2008 and was set to be ready in 2011. Fast forwarding to the present time we now have clear indicators of why this military force could be needed in 2011 including economic collapse, Elenin, (PSYOP or not) and continued claims that normal everyday Americans are possible terrorists.

The original source of this article is [The Intel Hub](#)

Copyright © [Shepard Ambellas](#) and [Alex Thomas](#), [The Intel Hub](#), 2011

[**Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**](#)

[**Become a Member of Global Research**](#)

Articles by: [Shepard Ambellas](#)
and [Alex Thomas](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca

