

## Pentagon-Funded Think Tank Simulates War with China on NBC

By <u>Caitlin Johnstone</u> Global Research, May 18, 2022 <u>Caitlin Johnstone</u> 16 May 2022 Region: <u>Asia</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Visit and follow us on <u>Instagram</u>, <u>Twitter</u> and <u>Facebook</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

NBC's Meet the Press just aired an <u>absolutely freakish segment</u> in which the influential narrative management firm Center for a New American Security (CNAS) ran war games simulating a direct US hot war with China.

CNAS <u>is funded</u> by the Pentagon and by military-industrial complex corporations Northrop Grumman, Raytheon, and Lockheed Martin, as well as the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office, which as Antiwar's Dave DeCamp <u>notes</u> is the de facto Taiwanese embassy in the US.

The war game simulates a conflict over Taiwan which we are informed is set in the year 2027, in which China launches strikes on the US military in order to open the way to an invasion of the island. We are not told why there needs to be a specific year inserted into mainstream American consciousness about when we can expect such a conflict, but then we are also not told why NBC is platforming a war machine think tank's simulation of a military conflict with China at all.

Go inside our exclusive war game with @CNASdc --

The year is 2027. The briefing: China is poised to attack Taiwan

Which side would prevail? Would China attack the U.S. mainland? Could nuclear war break out?

Watch the full episode on <a>@PeacockTV</a> and at <a href="https://t.co/CN76hzJHEj">https://t.co/CN76hzJHEj</a> pic.twitter.com/XOjyry0QEY

Meet the Press (@MeetThePress) <u>May 15, 2022</u>

It happens that the Center for a New American Security was the home of the man assigned by the Biden administration to <u>lead the Pentagon task force</u> responsible for re-evaluating the administration's posture toward China. That man, Ely Ratner, <u>is on record</u> saying that the Trump administration was insufficiently hawkish toward China. Ratner <u>is now</u> the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Indo-Pacific Security Affairs in the Biden administration.

It also happens that the Center for a New American Security <u>has openly boasted</u> about the great many of its other "experts and alumni" who have assumed senior leadership positions within the Biden administration.

It also happens that <u>CNAS co-founder</u> Michele Flournoy, who appeared in the Meet the Press war games segment and was at one time a <u>heavy favorite</u> to become Biden's Pentagon chief, wrote a <u>Foreign Affairs op-ed</u> in 2020 arguing that the US needed to develop "the capability to credibly threaten to sink all of China's military vessels, submarines, and merchant ships in the South China Sea within 72 hours."

It also happens that CNAS CEO Richard Fontaine has been featured all over the mass media pushing empire narratives about Russia and China, <u>telling Bloomberg</u> just the other day that the war in Ukraine could serve the empire's long-term interests against China.

"The war in Ukraine could end up being bad for the pivot in the short-term, but good in the long-term," Fontaine said. "If Russia emerges from this conflict as a weakened version of itself and Germany makes good on its defense spending pledges, both trends could allow the US to focus more on the Indo-Pacific in the long run."

NBC News teaming up with neocon think tank CNAS whose top donors from 2020-2021 were Northrop Grumman and the Pentagon. Other notable donors include Raytheon, Taiwan's de facto embassy in the US, and Lockheed Martin. <u>https://t.co/23GJv8INtO</u> <u>https://t.co/DBSNEc5zXY</u>

— Dave DeCamp (@DecampDave) May 15, 2022

It also happens that CNAS is routinely cited by the mass media as an authoritative source on all things China and Russia, with no mention ever made of the conflict of interest arising from their war machine funding. Just in the last few days here's a <u>recent NPR</u> <u>interview</u> about NATO expansion with CNAS senior fellow Andrea Kendall-Taylor, a <u>Washington Post quote</u> from CNAS fellow Jacob Stokes about the Chinese threat to Taiwan, a <u>Financial Times quote</u> from CNAS "Indo-Pacific expert" Lisa Curtis (who <u>I've previously noted</u> was cited by the mass media for her "expert" opposition to the US Afghanistan withdrawal), and a <u>Foreign Policy citation</u> of the aforementioned Richard Fontaine saying "The aim of U.S. policy toward China should be to ensure that Beijing is either unwilling or unable to overturn the regional and global order."

As we've <u>discussed previously</u>, citing war machine-funded think tanks as expert analysis without even disclosing their financial conflict of interest is plainly journalistic malpractice. But it happens all the time in the mass media anyway, because the mass media exist to circulate propaganda, not journalism.

This is getting so, so crazy. That the mass media are now openly teaming up with war

machine think tanks to begin seeding the normalization of a hot war with China into the minds of the public indicates that the propaganda campaign to manufacture consent for the US-centralized empire's <u>final Hail Mary grab at unipolar domination</u> is escalating even further. The mass-scale psychological manipulation is getting more and more overt and more and more shameless.

This is headed somewhere very, very bad. Hopefully humanity wakes up in time to stop these lunatics from driving us off a precipice from which there is no return.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from the author

The original source of this article is <u>Caitlin Johnstone</u> Copyright © <u>Caitlin Johnstone</u>, <u>Caitlin Johnstone</u>, 2022

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Caitlin Johnstone

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca