

# Pathologist: FDA ‘Misled the Public’ on Pfizer Vaccine Efficacy

By [Children's Health Defense](#)

Global Research, February 18, 2021

[Children's Health Defense](#) 17 February 2021

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles **can be read in 27 languages by activating the “Translate Website”** drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

\*\*\*

*Pfizer's [announcement](#) in November 2020 that clinical trials showed its COVID-19 vaccine was “[95% effective](#)” prompted Dr. Sin Hang Lee, a Connecticut pathologist, to [question Pfizer's methodology](#) and [petition](#) the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to require accurate counts of COVID-19 cases in the [Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19](#) mRNA vaccine trial before granting the vaccine [Emergency Use Authorization](#) (EAU).*

As [The Defender reported](#) in November, Lee, who is director of [Milford Molecular Diagnostics](#), said:

“Until an accurate count of [COVID-19](#) cases in the vaccinated and placebo groups has been determined for vaccine efficacy evaluation, we are asking the FDA to stay its decision regarding the emergency use authorization for this vaccine.”

Lee's request was [rejected](#) by the FDA on Dec. 11, the same day the agency [approved](#) Pfizer's vaccine for emergency use. On Feb. 8, Lee filed an [amended reply](#).

Here's the sequence of events as they unfolded:

On Nov. 23, 2020, Lee, along with [Informed Consent Action Network](#) (ICAN) and its counsel, submitted a [Citizen Petition](#) and petition for administrative stay of action to the FDA relating to the phase 3 trial of the [BNT162b/Pfizer vaccine](#) to prevent the novel coronavirus [SARS-CoV-2](#).

In the petition and stay, Lee requested the FDA amend the study design for the late-stage trial of Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine. Specifically, Lee requests:

“Before an EUA or unrestricted license is issued for the Pfizer vaccine, or for other vaccines for which PCR results are the primary evidence of infection, all “endpoints” or COVID-19 cases used to determine vaccine efficacy in the Phase 3 or 2/3 trials should have their infection status confirmed by Sanger sequencing, given the high cycle thresholds used in some trials. High cycle thresholds, or Ct values, in RT-qPCR test results have been widely acknowledged to lead to false positives ... All RT-qPCR-positive test results used to categorize patient as “COVID-19 cases” and used to qualify the trial's endpoints should be

verified by Sanger sequencing to confirm that the tested samples in fact contain a unique SARS-CoV-2 genomic RNA.”

The petition makes these requests because the phase 2/3 clinical trial of the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine uses a presumptive RT-qPCR (“PCR”) diagnostic test, which is [known to generate](#) high rates of false-positive results.

In addition, the Pfizer vaccine trial primarily uses a PCR test that employs cycle thresholds up to 44.9 to identify COVID-19 “cases” despite the fact that “positive” results that require cycle thresholds greater than 30 to 35 are usually false positives, according to Lee.

Lee offered to re-test the residues of tested samples in his laboratory if Pfizer is unable to do so in order to confirm Pfizer’s stated vaccine efficacy rate of 95%.

Lee’s Sanger sequencing-based method for molecular diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 was [published](#) in International Journal of Geriatrics and Rehabilitation.

On Dec. 11, 2020, the same day the FDA granted Pfizer [Emergency Use Authorization](#) for its COVID-19 vaccine, the FDA [responded to Lee’s petition](#) and request for stay. The agency “conclude[d] that the petitions do not contain facts demonstrating any reasonable grounds for the requested action” and denied the petitions.

The FDA, among other things, stated that “PCR testing does not need to be followed by Sanger or other sequencing for purposes of clinical diagnosis. Currently, reverse real-time PCR (RT-PCR) tests can both amplify and confirm the identity of viral genetic material in a single reaction, without a separate sequencing step.”

On Feb. 8, Lee, through ICAN’s counsel, submitted a [detailed and thoroughly cited reply](#) to the FDA’s denial of his petition and stay. This reply points out the inaccuracies, contradictions and omissions in the FDA’s denial of the petition.

Lee wrote that the FDA’s letter denying the petition and stay “shows that the FDA has not conducted an adequate evaluation of the Pfizer vaccine’s efficacy, especially concerning issues about the accuracy of RT-qPCR testing of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical specimens.”

Lee’s detailed response, which can be [read in full here](#), goes on to say:

“The FDA has misled the public. The key misleading statements are analyzed below point-by-point according to the sequence of their presentation in the Letter but under the following four categories for the convenience of the readers:

“A. Cherry-picking to eviscerate the guidance for issuance of an EUA for a COVID-19 vaccine.

B. Knowingly promoting inaccurate PCR tests for SARS-CoV-2.

C. Finding excuses for using PCR tests with high false-positive rates for this vaccine trial.

D. Glossing over potential risks of an mRNA vaccine while concealing its true efficacy.”

Lee, ICAN and others are weighing possible future actions.

*Featured image courtesy of Children’s Health Defense*

The original source of this article is [Children's Health Defense](#)  
Copyright © [Children's Health Defense](#), [Children's Health Defense](#), 2021

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Children's Health Defense](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.  
For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)