

'Paper Genocide': Trump's Political Maneuvers Could Rob Native America of Tribal Sovereignty, Culture, Health Care

By Courtney Parker

Region: USA

Global Research, May 10, 2018

Theme: Law and Justice, Poverty & Social

<u>Inequality</u>

Intercontinental Cry 2 May 2018

Native Americans have long existed in a legal and cultural limbo, surviving the devastating impacts of a trail of broken treaties by the U.S. government with staunch determination to maintain their unique cultures and legal federally recognized tribal sovereignty.

In further defiance of the nearly 600 treaties that the U.S. government signed with tribal nations, the Trump administration now appears to be on the move to bring an end to that centuries-old struggle, by committing a 'paper genocide'.

The phrase 'paper genocide' is used when a culture is wiped from mass consciousness and visible autonomy through tactics such as removing their ethnic designations from a national census – or in this case, having their sovereignty dismantled by the notion that Native America is a 'race' and not a diverse sum of distinct cultures and subcultures of sovereign Nations, tribes, and Peoples.

Trump slipped this into <u>negotiations surrounding Indian health care</u>—a move that may very well breach the <u>Supremacy Clause</u> of the United States Constitution which establishes that all treaties made under its authority "constitute the supreme law of the land".

Politico broke the story on April 22, reporting, that

"the Trump administration contends [that] tribes are a race rather than separate governments."

"The tribes insist that any claim of "racial preference" is moot because they're constitutionally protected as separate governments, dating back to treaties hammered out by President George Washington and reaffirmed in recent decades under Republican and Democratic presidents alike, including the Clinton, George W. Bush and Obama administrations."

Trump, however, seems to have little regard for his predecessors.

In February of this year, a <u>legal memo</u> was submitted by Hobbs, Straus, Dean & Walker LLP to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services in the hopes of preemptively avoiding a long, drawn-out battle with an opaque and slippery administration that has already <u>made grotesque moves</u> towards appropriating Native America's remaining wealth of natural resources and sacred spaces.

The White House's most recent budget cuts have also taken aim at crucial but extremely vulnerable institutions serving Native America – such as the <u>Community Health</u> <u>Representative</u> program under the Indian Health Service.

The newly proposed requirement that Indian Nations be subject to Trump's naïve 'catch all' solution of forcing all recipients of federal healthcare funds into jobs is obtuse and out of touch with the realities of Native reservations in the U.S.

It could also have potentially disastrous consequences given the lack of employment opportunities on reserve. What's more, this clumsy and obtuse assimilation policy runs the risk of destroying the very fabric of Native America – the remaining webs of family and culture – their very identity and existence – all towards the vulgar end of opening more land for commercial extractivism and every other industry.

Senator Tom Udall - a Democrat representative of New Mexico - is currently leading a pushback against these efforts in Congress; and, a group of Senators (including a Republican — Lisa Murkowski - from Alaska) signed a recent letter to the United States Secretary of Health and Human Services, Alex Azar. Their collectively endorsed statement issues an accusation that the Trump administration has failed,

"...to recognize the unique legal status of Indian tribes and their members under federal law, the U.S. Constitution, treaties, and the federal trust relationship".

Although many comparisons have been made between the Nixon and Trump administrations' scandalous tenures...even Nixon left a solid, positive legacy on Native American policies at the federal level.

Nixon advocated for a reversal of historical policy and efforts of 'termination' and endorsed the concept of 'self-determination' regarding federal relations with Native Americans. It is rumored Nixon's legacy to Native Americans – which stands in juxtaposition to his historical image – stemmed from a promise he made to his mother that when he became president he'd 'be good to the Indians'.

According to the <u>Nixon Foundation website</u>, other instances Nixon fulfilled this legendary promise to his mother were by:

- 1) "Returning the sacred Blue Lake to the people of Taos Pueblo in 1970";
- 2) "Enacting the Menominee Restoration Act, restoring the recognition of the previously terminated tribe in 1973";
- 3) "Signing the Indian Healthcare Act";
- 4) "Laying "the groundwork for the signing of the Indian Self-Determination Act";
- 5) "Increasing the budget of the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) by 214%";
- 6) "Establishing the first special office on Indian Water Rights";
- 7) "Passing the Indian Financing Act of 1974"; and,

8) "Pledging that all available BIA funds be arranged to fit priorities set by tribal governments themselves".

Trump is currently forging ahead in a race to the bottom for the designation of 'worst president ever' in the history of the United States; and, via his crude efforts at going after Native Americans' very cultural and legal existence at this juncture, he may have stamped the final seal on his fate in garnering this 'honor'.

The original source of this article is <u>Intercontinental Cry</u> Copyright © <u>Courtney Parker</u>, <u>Intercontinental Cry</u>, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Courtney Parker

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca