

The World Economic Forum (WEF) -United Nations Partnership Constitutes “A Global Corporate Takeover”

Controlled opposition that speaks up against the rise of global corporatocracy but doesn't really challenge their power.

By [Jacob Nordangard](#)

Global Research, January 21, 2024

[The Pharos Chronicles – Jacob Nordangård, PhD](#) 20 January 2024

Theme: [Global Economy](#), [Intelligence](#), [United Nations](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[New Year Donation Drive: Global Research Is Committed to the “Unspoken Truth”](#)

A reader of my latest Substack article, [G20, BRICS, WEF and the “building of a just world and a sustainable planet”](#), notified me of an [open letter](#), from September 2019, where over 400 civil society organisations and 40 international networks condemned the 2019 groundbreaking partnership between World Economic Forum and United Nations (which I became aware of in 2020 and have been trying to alert the world to in my books, articles, interviews, and lectures).

[The letter described this as a global corporate takeover](#). In the words of one of the key organisers Gonzalo Berrón from the Transnational Institute:

This agreement between the UN and WEF formalises a disturbing corporate capture of the UN. It moves the world dangerously towards a privatised and undemocratic global governance.

Only six months later this partnership would rear its ugly head. Transnational Institute wrote in their [strategic plan for 2021-25](#) that:

The Covid-19 global pandemic has been used as a pretext for the implementation and normalisation of digital identification systems and tracker applications and to further entrench the notion that we pose a threat to each other.

It was very sound criticism that can only be applauded. But TI hasn't understood the whole picture. The Institute's mission is to "strengthen international social movements with rigorous research, reliable information, sound analysis and constructive proposals that advance progressive, democratic policy change and common solutions to global problems." [1]

Corporate capture of global governance: The World Economic Forum (WEF)-UN partnership agreement is a dangerous threat to UN System

Open letter to Mr António Guterres, Secretary General of the United Nations

[Español](#) [English](#) [Français](#)

We the undersigned call on you to terminate the recently signed United Nations-World Economic Forum strategic partnership agreement.

We are very concerned that this WEF-UN partnership agreement will de-legitimize the United Nations and provide transnational corporations preferential and deferential access to the UN System. The UN system is already under a big threat from the US Government and those who question a democratic multilateral world. However, this corporatization of the UN poses a much deeper long-term threat, as it will reduce public support for the UN system in the South and the North.

It is our strong belief that this agreement is fundamentally at odds with the UN Charter and with intergovernmental decisions on sustainable development, the climate emergency, and the eradication of poverty and hunger. This public-private partnership will permanently associate the UN with transnational corporations, some of whose core essential activities have caused or worsened the social and environmental crises that the planet faces. This is a form of corporate capture. We know that agribusiness destroys biodiversity and sustainable and just food systems, oil and gas corporations endanger the world's climate, Big Pharma weakens access to essential medications, extractive corporations leave lasting damage to countries' ecologies and peoples, and arms manufacturers profit from local and regional wars as well as repression of social movements. All these sectors are significant actors within the World Economic Forum.

This means, among other things, that they are totally committed to the catastrophic climate change narrative.

Decades of greenhouse gas emissions and destructive environmental practices have driven ecosystems to a breaking point, and threaten to trigger catastrophic global heating. The pace of this is alarming, making climate the top threat for young people everywhere. [2]

I would also add that "common solutions to global problems" is often problematic since we live in a very diverse world. It is not easy to apply the same one-size-fits-all solutions on all nations.

As I uncovered in book [Rockefeller: Controlling the game](#); Climate Change is a problem that has been defined and promoted since the fifties by the same forces that gave us the digital identification systems, as well as WEF. These players are also firm believers in global solutions to global problems, and they know how to rig the game.

As exemplified by Rockefeller Brothers Funds [Sustainable Development Review 2005-2010](#):

The RBF has supported "allied voices for climate action" that include businesses, investors, evangelicals, farmers, sportsmen, labor, military leaders, national security hawks, veterans, youth, and governors and mayors. Each of these constituencies has an

important role to play.[3]

And how independent is the Transnational Institute? In their [Annual Report for 2020](#) it is stated that they receive 50% of their income from the Dutch Government, 19% from other governments, and 14% from the European Union. They also receive funding from philanthropic foundations like Asia Foundation, European Cultural Foundation, the George Soros founded Foundation for the Promotion of Open Societies and drumroll, The Rockefeller Foundation and [Rockefeller Brothers Fund](#).

GRANTS

\$390,000 FOR 24 MONTHS AWARDED: AUG 23, 2023

For its work on trade and investment

[Democratic Practice–Global Challenges](#)

Citizen Organizing

\$500,000 FOR 27 MONTHS AWARDED: JUL 23, 2021

For its work on trade and investment

[Democratic Practice–Global Challenges](#)

Citizen Organizing

\$55,000 FOR 12 MONTHS AWARDED: MAR 16, 2020

For its work to strengthen transatlantic trade advocacy networks

[Democratic Practice–Global Challenges](#)

Citizen Organizing

Show all (1) –

\$300,000 FOR 24 MONTHS AWARDED: JUL 15, 2019

For its work on trade and investment

[Democratic Practice–Global Challenges](#)

Citizen Organizing

Grants from RBF to Transnational Institute

This gives the impression of a controlled opposition that speaks up against the rise of a global corporatocracy but doesn't really challenge their power. You can't win if you believe the fairy tales your enemy has created, while receiving money out of their pockets.

WEF will, in the meantime, do everything to further a transition of the UN-system that suits their purpose. As WEFs President Börge Brende said to UN Secretary General António Guterres in Davos this week:

We are also very much looking forward to your Summit of the Future in September and you can count on us, also for our full support.

They don't care about open letters that questions their authority.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Notes

[1] www.tni.org/en/mission

[2] www.tni.org/files/2024-01/TNI%20strategic%20plan-2021-2025.pdf

[3] www.rbf.org/sites/default/files/sustainabledevelopmentprogramreview.pdf

The original source of this article is [The Pharos Chronicles – Jacob Nordangård, PhD](#)
Copyright © [Jacob Nordangard](#), [The Pharos Chronicles – Jacob Nordangård, PhD](#), 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jacob Nordangard](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca