

An Old-Line Conservative Weighs In on the JFK Assassination

By [Jacob G. Hornberger](#)

Global Research, January 19, 2023

[The Future of Freedom Foundation](#) 13
January 2023

Region: [USA](#)
Theme: [Intelligence](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

E. Emmett Tyrrell, Jr., an old-line conservative who is founder and editor in chief of The American Spectator, has recently weighed in on the Kennedy assassination. In [his article](#), Tyrrell regurgitates the popular official narrative of the 1960s — that Kennedy was killed by a communist former U.S. Marine.

Notable about Tyrrell's piece is that it omits any reference to the Assassination Records Review Board, which was in existence in the 1990s. Why is the ARRB important? Because it was the paradigm shifter. With the long-secret evidence it uncovered, it blew the official lone-nut narrative of the assassination out of the water.

Unfortunately, Tyrrell's mindset seems to be stuck back in the 1960s, when conservatives were convinced that the CIA was a nice, saintly, wonderful organization — a force for good in the world, one that was protecting America from a Red takeover.

Later, Americans would discover that the CIA was actually an evil, vicious, malignant cancer on American society, one that wielded omnipotent powers, including the power of assassination. Americans would discover that the CIA was in the murder business and that it in fact had no reluctance to snuff out the lives of political leaders that it deemed a threat to "national security." Just ask the descendants of Congo president Patrice Lumumba or the family of Gen. Rene Schneider, the overall commander of Chile's armed forces in 1970, or the people of Cuba, where the CIA repeatedly tried to murder Cuban president Fidel Castro.

Why would the CIA snuff out the life of President Kennedy? Because Kennedy was determined to snuff out the life of the CIA, which the CIA, not surprisingly, considered would be a grave threat to "national security." Kennedy also was determined to move America in a direction different from that of the Pentagon, the CIA, and the NSA, which they considered would result in a communist takeover of the United States. Worst of all, Kennedy was saying good things about Russia and establishing friendly and normal relations with both Russia

and Cuba. In the eyes of the national-security establishment, what Kennedy was doing was not only cowardly incompetence that would result in a communist takeover of the United States, it also consisted of treason. We all know what happens to traitors.

Thus, this was a war to the finish. If Kennedy lives, the CIA is put down, America makes friends with Russia and Cuba, and America's militarist direction comes to an end. If Kennedy dies, the CIA survives and prospers, the never-ending hostility toward Russia and Cuba continues, and America's militarist direction proceeds indefinitely. The die was cast, but Kennedy obviously proved to be no match for the overwhelming power of the national-security establishment.

As I have long written, anyone today who continues to defend the old conservative 1960s official lone-nut narrative of the assassination must confront and address the fraudulent autopsy that was conducted on President Kennedy's body on the evening of the assassination. That's why the ARRB is so important. The evidence it uncovered sealed the case for the fraudulent autopsy — evidence that the Pentagon and the CIA had successfully kept secret for more than 30 years.

As I have long emphasized, there is no innocent explanation for a fraudulent autopsy. None! No one has ever come up with one. No one ever will. After a team of Secret Service agents forced their way out of Parkland Hospital in Dallas in order to prevent the Dallas County medical examiner from conducting the autopsy that was required under Texas law, they delivered the body to President Johnson. He flew the body to Maryland and placed it into the hands of the military, which conducted the autopsy and shrouded it in official secrecy.

Although evidence of fraud had surfaced before the term of the ARRB, it was the ARRB that uncovered the evidence that conclusively established that the military had conducted a fraudulent autopsy and then did everything it could to keep it secret.

The autopsy fraud is detailed in my three books: [The Kennedy Autopsy](#), [The Kennedy Autopsy 2](#), and my most recent book [An Encounter with Evil: The Abraham Zapruder Story](#).

Here are some examples of the autopsy fraud:

1. The military pathologists who conducted the autopsy claimed that there was only one examination of the president's brain. The ARRB caught them lying. There were actually two. And the second brain exam involved a brain that didn't belong to Kennedy. How did the ARRB determine that? Because the official photographer for the first exam testified that the first brain had been "sectioned" or cut like a loaf of bread. The second brain exam involved a fully intact brain.

2. A Navy petty officer named Sandra Spencer told the ARRB that she had been asked to develop the autopsy photos, on a top-secret basis, on the weekend of the assassination. The ARRB showed her the official autopsy photograph showing the back of Kennedy's head to be intact. Spencer said no — that the autopsy photograph she developed showed a massive exit-sized hole in the back of Kennedy's head. Her testimony matched what the Dallas physicians and other eyewitnesses stated. That established that the military's photograph was fraudulent.

3. Marine Sgt. Roger Boyajian told the ARRB that his team carried the president's body into the Bethesda morgue at 6:35 p.m. The ARRB also uncovered a long-secret memorandum

from Gawler's Funeral Home that confirmed the early entry of the president's body into the morgue. Yet, the official entry time of the president's body into the morgue was 8 p.m. Why was the body secretly sneaked into the morgue almost 1 1/2 hours before the official entry time? There is no doubt that the military was up to no good in those 1 1/2 hours.

What does Tyrrell say about these events? Nothing! My hunch is that he doesn't even know about them. Like so many other old-line conservatives, his mind appears to be still stuck back in the 1960s insofar as the CIA is concerned. He cannot bring himself to acknowledge the paradigm shift that took place in the Kennedy assassination in the 1990s. Perhaps it's just too painful and too scary to do so.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Jacob G. Hornberger is founder and president of The Future of Freedom Foundation. He was born and raised in Laredo, Texas, and received his B.A. in economics from Virginia Military Institute and his law degree from the University of Texas. He was a trial attorney for twelve years in Texas. He also was an adjunct professor at the University of Dallas, where he taught law and economics. In 1987, Mr. Hornberger left the practice of law to become director of programs at the Foundation for Economic Education. He has advanced freedom and free markets on talk-radio stations all across the country as well as on Fox News' Neil Cavuto and Greta van Susteren shows and he appeared as a regular commentator on Judge Andrew Napolitano's show Freedom Watch. View these interviews at LewRockwell.com and from [Full Context](#). Send him [email](#).

The original source of this article is [The Future of Freedom Foundation](#)
Copyright © [Jacob G. Hornberger](#), [The Future of Freedom Foundation](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jacob G. Hornberger](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca

