

## "October Surprise": Preparing for "Something Unexpected"?

By Judith H. Young

Global Research, October 26, 2008

26 October 2008

Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: Terrorism

At a news conference on October 22, 2008, U.S. Democratic presidential candidate Barak Obama was asked about a comment by his Vice Presidential running mate Joe Biden that Obama could expect to be tested within six months of the new presidential term by a "generated" international crisis that will force him to make unpopular decisions. Obama said the Delaware senator has occasionally engaged in "rhetorical flourishes," but the essential point was that the new President could expect to be challenged no matter who wins.

Obama held the news conference following a meeting with his national security advisers, who include long standing globalist asset Zbignew Brezezinski. He denied the meeting with his advisors had been called because of political damage stemming from Biden's remarks, in my view a classic ploy for calling more attention to it. [1]

Colin Powell, former Secretary of State (2001-2005), echoed Biden's warning by referring to an unknown crisis that will come a day or two after the inauguration:

I would start with talking to the American people and talking to the world and conveying a new image of American leadership, a new image of America's role in the world. The problems will always be there and there's going to be a crisis which will come along on the 21st, 22nd of January that we don't even know about right now. So I think what the President has to start to do is to start using the power of the Oval Office and the power of his personality to convince the American people and convince the world that America is solid, that America is going to move forward, we are going to fix our economic problems, we're going to meet our overseas obligations. [2]

John McCain and Madeleine Albright added to the furor. Albright called Biden's statement "a statement of fact," in that one always has to be prepared "for something unexpected." [3] McCain raised the specter of nuclear war as he resumed his attack against Obama's judgment by warning that the next president "won't have time to get used to the office." [4]

Alternative news analysts speculated on the import of these simultaneous warnings by public figures, including their possible relation to a new false flag:

Albright, like Biden and Powell, is an insider minion, so she may know something is up. It is rather suspect that all these voices are saying basically the same thing: Obama will be "tested," either by an [al-Qaeda] attack, a war or confrontation in the Middle East – read Iran, or Russia....Hopefully, the ACLU's [Freedom of Information Act request regarding the combat unit

deployed domestically as of 10/1/2008] will turn up more information on the emerging police state control grid going online. Unfortunately, we are but one "terrorist event" away from this system being used to identify, track, trace, and round up the opposition. If we are to believe Joe Biden, Colin Powell, and Madeleine Albright, this event may happen as soon as the end of January, 2009. [5]

There has also been wide speculation about an imminent false flag, to be blamed on al-Qaeda, as an "October surprise" designed to influence the outcome of the election. The two scenarios, a staged event before the voting and another manufactured crisis following inauguration, are not mutually exclusive. In this article I argue for both occurring, as part of a multi-phased shock and awe campaign designed to move us into full scale martial law with the help of Barak Obama as the new national savior in a time of peril.

In my view, an October surprise consisting of a staged event in Obama's home state, such as a dirty nuke detonated in Chicago, is a hypothesis worth exploring. Such an attack would be designed in part to focus attention on Obama as the global elite's U.S. President of choice. In a crisis such as urban "terrorism," the propaganda machine could spring into action to spin Obama's popular appeal in a time of crisis as well as his argument that the Republicans have failed miserably in not apprehending bin Laden seven years after 9/11.

I believe an urban attack would in fact be but step one in a broader psyop by the globalists to condition the public to accept Obama as a new protective father figure to replace George Bush as part of their final push for a full blown police state on a global scale. This possibility brings into bold relief Colin Powell's reference (in answering fellow Council of Foreign Relations spin-meister Tom Brokaw's query about how to respond to the post-inaugural crisis Powell had warned about) to the "power of the President's personality to convince the American people that America is solid." As Naomi Klein has revealed in her work on the shock doctrine of disaster capitalism, in the CIA's basic interrogation manual declassified in 1963, a window of opportunity is highlighted in which torture reduces its victim to a state of traumatized disorientation and childlike regression, creating an opening for the interrogator to be transformed into a protective father figure. This is one of the classic tactics of tyrants across the planet. In the view of Klein and others, it was used after the shock of 9/11 to permit George Bush and others to offer a narrative on the shocking events allowing the profoundly disoriented victims to make sense of the trauma. Hence the extraordinary power of the mind control matrix known as the War on Terror. (See also my discussion of psychological control techniques in my two-part article "Deconstructing the Power of the Global Elite"). [6]

My core argument is that the globalists' final battle plan for world dominance is as follows: the current economic implosion that they themselves engineered, its ongoing exploitation to advance their agenda for worldwide financial and economic control, and finally, additional engineered crises designed to enable full-blown martial law as part of an international police state under their power.

I do not believe the globalists want to see riots or other forms of protest on any major scale in the U.S. because of the huge number of guns still in the hands of the populace and because of their uncertainty about the ability (and willingness) of their forces to prevail in armed conflict with Americans. They worry, in my view, that things could become similarly messy in other parts of the globe. Another mass trauma on the scale of 9/11 – or several

back-to-back mass traumas involving large numbers across the globe – would be far more efficient for implementing and justifying worldwide full scale martial law, with its attendant confiscation of guns and detention of dissidents.

There is growing recognition of the commonplace use of false flag operations as a cold-blooded tool, even in so-called democracies, for promoting agendas that serve the interests of the power elite at the price of massive suffering for the common man. The most recent evidence of this criminal culture of death was a revelation by renowned investigative journalist Seymour Hersh in July 2008 that Bush administration officials had recently held a meeting in Vice President Dick Cheney's office to discuss ways to provoke a war with Iran. The discussion addressed the idea of disguising Navy seals to look like Iranians, put them on specially built boats that look like Iranian PT boats, and start a fake attack on them in the Straits of Hormuz.

Look, is it high school? Yeah. Are we playing high school with, you know, 5,000 nuclear warheads in our arsenal? Yeah, we are. We're playing, you know, who's the first guy to run off the highway with us and Iran. [7]

The prospect of an October surprise has already factored into the 2008 campaign, including warnings of another al-Qaeda terrorist attack both from U.S. intelligence sources and allegedly from al Qaeda itself. [8] An alternative press article on October 24 expresses its alarm as follows:

The chatter surrounding the probable entrance of Bin laden or Al-Qaeda to impact the election is widespread.... The fact that the media is hyping the inevitability of an "October surprise" should be a cause for concern, especially when allied to reports of police departments across the country 'preparing for possible civil unrest and riots' [during the election]. [9]

The term "October surprise" stems from the 1980 election campaign between Ronald Reagan and Jimmy Carter to refer to last-minute sensations with the potential to reshape a Presidential race. Such a sensation occurred in the last Presidential campaign in 2004. Going into the final weekend of the campaign, Democratic candidate John Kerry had a good chance of winning. Then an alleged Osama bin Laden videotape was issued: Bush went on to beat Kerry and both men attributed the result to the influence of the tape.

In adding my voice to the widespread speculation about the spate of warnings of coming crises, I again proffer the hypothesis of a staged terrorist attack as an imminent October surprise going into the final weekend of the current campaign. In Part II of this work, forthcoming shortly at my blogs and YouTube channel, I will offer specific arguments for my choice of Chicago as a likely location for this new 9/11.

## **NOTES**

- 1. Jennifer Loven, "Obama brushes aside GOP criticism of his tax plans," Yahoo! News, October 21, 2008: <a href="http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20081022/ap\_on\_el\_pr/obama">http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20081022/ap\_on\_el\_pr/obama</a>
- 2. Alex Johnson, "Powell endorses Obama for President, "msnbc.com, October 19, 20008

- 3. CNN, October 22, 2008. Cf. "Albright Agrees with Biden: Terrorists Will Test Obama," <a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WD">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WD</a> EAe1N9-M
- 4. Staff Writers, "McCain raises specter of nuclear war," Moon Township, Pennsylvania (AFP), Oct 22, 2008
- 5. Kurt Nimmo, "<u>ACLU Files FOIA On Brigade Deployed in U.S.,"</u> Infowars, October 22, 2008 www.infowars.com
- 6. Naomi Klein, The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism, Metropolitan Books, Henry Holt & Company, New York, 2007; Judith H. Young, Ph.D., "Deconstructing the Power of the Global Elite: Brute Force, the Power to Hurt, and Psychological Control," Global Research, October 9, 2008 <a href="http://globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=10493">http://globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=10493</a>
- 7. Faiz Shakir, "EXCLUSIVE: To Provoke War, Cheney Considered Proposal To Dress Up Navy Seals As Iranians And Shoot At Them," Think Progress, July 31, 2008 <a href="http://thinkprogress.org/2008/07/31/cheney-proposal-for-iran-war/">http://thinkprogress.org/2008/07/31/cheney-proposal-for-iran-war/</a>
- 8. Eli Lake, "Spies Warn That Al Qaeda Aims for October Surprise," The New York Sun, September 22, 2008; "Al-Qaida Threatens 'October Surprise' Attack," Newsmax.com, September 22, 2008; "In video, al-Qaeda vows more US attacks," 9/19/08, CNN.com/world; "Terrorist advocate endorses McCain: An al-Qaeda supporter has called for a pre-election attack in the US to help Republican candidate John McCain win the presidency," Press TV, October 22, 2008; William Bratton & R.P. Eddy, "Osama Bin Laden wants a vote, so beware a late October surprise," New York Daily News, Opinions, 10/21/08
- 9. Paul Joseph Watson, "Clarke: Bin Laden to Influence U.S. Election," Prison Planet, October 24, 2008. infowars.com

Judith H. Young, holds a Ph.D from Brandeis University. In the 1960s she was a published think tank researcher with a Top Secret security clearance in the areas of arms control, strategic studies and international aerospace activities. In 1973-74 she taught International Politics at Mount Holyoke University in Massachusetts.

In the 1990s Judy became a practitioner and teacher in several venerable healing arts, including animal-assisted therapy and traditional Reiki. She founded a nonprofit animal and nature center dedicated to promoting the healthy development of children and youth, which she directed from 1994-2004, and she published widely in the field of equine-assisted activities and ecotherapy.

After the shocking events of 9/11/2001, Judy returned to her earlier vocation as a writer and educator in the field of International Politics, while also maintaining a professional practice in complementary and alternative healing.

Web site: <a href="http://freefalltofascism.homestead.com/">http://freefalltofascism.homestead.com/</a>

Blogs: <a href="http://freefalltofascism.wordpress.com/">http://freefalltofascism.wordpress.com/</a>

http://earthrising.typepad.com/

YouTube: <a href="http://crisiscenterinfo.youtube.com/">http://crisiscenterinfo.youtube.com/</a>

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Judith H. Young

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>