

# Observe 9/11 Anniversary by Calling for an End to the Afghan War

By [Prof. Marjorie Cohn](#)

Global Research, September 12, 2019

Region: [Asia](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Terrorism](#),  
[US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [AFGHANISTAN](#)

*On the eve of the 18-year anniversary of the September 11, 2001, attacks and the [illegal](#) U.S. invasion of Afghanistan, the United States and the Taliban completed nine rounds of peace talks with no deal.*

Although they had reportedly reached an agreement in principle, Donald Trump insisted on a secret meeting at Camp David with the Taliban and the puppet Afghan government so he could take credit as dealmaker-in-chief. The idea of finalizing the negotiations at Camp David was “a prospect that appealed to the president’s penchant for dramatic spectacle,” *The New York Times* [reported](#).

Trump, however, abruptly [canceled](#) the meeting, slated to occur last weekend, citing a Taliban car bombing that killed an American.

There are “questions about the accuracy of [Trump’s] assertion that the Taliban had accepted his invitation to Camp David on Sunday, and that he was the one calling off the meeting,” [according to](#) *The New York Times*.

“Taliban negotiators said Sunday that they had agreed to come to the United States only after a deal was announced and only to meet with the American side, suggesting that Mr. Trump may have canceled a meeting that the key participants were not planning to attend.”

Trump’s excuse for calling off the Camp David meeting is also belied by Secretary of State Mike Pompeo’s [claim](#) that the United States was responsible for “over a thousand Taliban killed in just the last 10 days alone.”

Two days after cancelling the meeting, Trump [told reporters](#) the peace talks with the Taliban are “dead as far as I’m concerned.”

## The Tentative Peace Deal Would Leave Thousands of U.S. Troops in Afghanistan

There are currently 14,000 U.S. troops in Afghanistan. Under the Trump administration’s tentative agreement with the Taliban, the U.S. would pull 5,400 troops out of Afghanistan within 135 days of signing, reducing the number to 8,600. This would still leave more U.S. troops in Afghanistan than the 8,400 who were there when Barack Obama left office. The remaining troops would be withdrawn gradually over a 16-month period.

In return, the Taliban would agree to not support international terrorist groups and would prevent terrorists from using Afghanistan to mount attacks. The Taliban would also conduct political negotiations with the Afghan government (although it's unclear how these would fare, given that a senior Taliban leader [told \*The New York Times\*](#), "we do not recognize the [U.S.'s] stooge government" in Kabul.)

However, even if a firm deal were negotiated, [Trump stated](#), "we're going to always have a presence" in Afghanistan. U.S. envoy Zalmay Khalilzad reportedly [said](#) the United States would reserve the right to help Afghan government forces in the event they are attacked by the Taliban. And senior White House advisers favor [secretly increasing the CIA's presence in Afghanistan](#) as U.S. forces withdraw.

The Taliban, however, [see little difference](#) between U.S. military forces and CIA agents, and have repeatedly [maintained](#) that they will not agree to a ceasefire until all U.S. troops are withdrawn from Afghanistan.

Meanwhile, although many politicians are [criticizing](#) Trump for arranging the Camp David meeting with the Taliban, few are questioning the legality of the United States invading Afghanistan in the first place.

#### The U.S. Invasion of Afghanistan Was Illegal

The U.S. military invasion of Afghanistan violated the United Nations Charter, which mandates that countries settle their disputes peacefully. The Charter forbids the use of military force except in self-defense or with Security Council authorization. The invasion was not lawful self-defense, as it did not respond to an armed attack by Afghanistan. The 9/11 attacks were not carried out by the Afghan government. And although the Council passed [Resolutions 1368](#) and [1373](#) in response to the 9/11 attacks, neither resolution authorized the United States to use military force against Afghanistan. Instead, the resolutions condemned the 9/11 attacks; ordered the freezing of assets and criminalization of terrorist activity; urged steps to prevent terrorist activity, including sharing of information; and advocated ratification and enforcement of international conventions against terrorism.

Since 9/11, under the guise of George W. Bush's "war on terror," the United States has illegally attacked, invaded and bombed [several countries](#) and [tortured](#) untold numbers of people, with no legal accountability.

At the end of 2018, *The New York Times* reported that regional Afghan forces led by the CIA "operated unconstrained by battlefield rules designed to protect civilians, conducting night raids, [torture and killings](#) with near impunity."

The 18-year U.S. war in Afghanistan has [claimed](#) the lives of 139,000 Afghan civilians and combatants, and more than 6,300 U.S. soldiers and mercenaries.

And the carnage in Afghanistan is only getting worse. July 2019 was the deadliest month of the past two years, with 1,500 civilians killed or wounded. During the first half of 2019, nearly [4,000 civilians](#) were killed in Afghanistan, and it was primarily the United States that [caused](#) most of the civilian deaths in that time period.

Furthermore, the United States has [spent over \\$1 trillion](#) on the war in Afghanistan since the U.S. invasion in 2001.

“Washington was [politically defeated](#) in Afghanistan long ago, and no shift in US tactics will change that — whether it is a troop surge, the renewed training of local soldiers, or a focus on counterterrorism,” Reese Erlich writes at [Common Dreams](#). The U.S. lost because most Afghans see the U.S.A. as an occupying power,” he added.

Thus, the only acceptable course of action is a total end to the U.S. war in Afghanistan and reparations for its people.

The United States must withdraw all of its troops, CIA agents and mercenaries and close its military bases in Afghanistan. Moreover, the U.S. government should redirect a significant amount of money toward small international aid groups that can help rebuild the country, as suggested by Kathy Kelly, co-coordinator of Voices for Creative Nonviolence. Kelly [told](#) Erlich, “Reparations should be paid to the Afghan people, not the government.”

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Copyright © [Truthout](#). Reprinted with [permission](#).

[Marjorie Cohn](#) is professor emerita at Thomas Jefferson School of Law, former president of the National Lawyers Guild, deputy secretary general of the International Association of Democratic Lawyers and a member of the advisory board of Veterans for Peace. Her most recent book is [Drones and Targeted Killing: Legal, Moral, and Geopolitical Issues](#).

Featured image: U.S. soldiers fortify an Afghan highway police checkpoint with razor wire in Robot, Afghanistan, on March 19, 2010. (Source: [TECH. SGT. FRANCISCO V. GOVEA II / U.S. AIR FORCE](#))

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Prof. Marjorie Cohn](#), Global Research, 2019

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof. Marjorie Cohn](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)