

Obama's Africa Summit: a Sign of Weakness Rather than Strength

By Washington's Blog Global Research, August 09, 2014 Washington's Blog Region: <u>sub-Saharan Africa</u> Theme: <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>

Bridges Versus Bombs

Obama is meeting with a number of African leaders this week. But rather than a sign of strength, it's a sign of weakness.

The Guardian <u>reported</u> Sunday:

Barack Obama is convening the largest ever gathering of African leaders in Washington on Monday – 50 have been invited – for a summit billed by the White House as "elevating our engagement" with a continent increasingly under China's influence.

Ben Rhodes, a US deputy national security adviser, denied it was an attempt to <u>play catch-up with China</u> – which has vigorously pursued political and economic ties with the continent.

Melvin Foote, founder of the Constituency for Africa, a group promoting African interests in Washington, said it was disingenuous for the White House to claim the summit was not in large part a response to China.

"The administration won't tell you that but it's at the front of their mind. America is losing influence and respect in Africa," he said. "I hear it whenever I travel there."

The US has good reason to be concerned. China's trade with Africa rose to \$200bn (£119bn) last year – largely made up of Beijing's imports of oil and minerals, and export of electronics and textiles – more than double the US and far ahead of the EU. Twenty years ago trade between China and Africa was just \$6bn.

"Africans say 'why do we need the United States'?" said Foote. "When I travel around Africa, I've seen airports, I've seen roads, I've seen railroads, I've seen ports, I've seen all kinds of things that are really impressive built by China, that you have to say the United States refused to build."

Why has the U.S. refused to build infrastructure in Africa?

Because it has been focused on defense. As the Guardian notes:

But Beijing's influence has been greatly enhanced by the large numbers of Chinese now living and working in Africa, from factory managers to traders, and by big construction projects that have rapidly transformed parts of the continent when decades of western aid was often directed to propping up authoritarian cold war allies, not development.

But the US remains dominant in security issues, which will be a strong undercurrent at the summit.

"We are very focused on the threat of terrorism in Africa," said Rhodes. "We see it as particularly acute in ... North Africa, Somalia with al-Shabaab, and of course Boko Haram in Nigeria," he said.

Indeed – as of last year – the Obama administration was <u>sending troops to 35 African</u> <u>countries</u> under the guise of fighting Al Qaeda and related terrorists. And the U.S. has planned regime change in Northern African countries for <u>20 years</u>.

CBC reports:

"The U.S., in many senses, miscalculated their approach to Africa. It has always been to focus on bilateral relationships— select a few countries and deal solely with them," says [Thomas Tieku, an assistant professor at the Munk School of Global Affairs at the University of Toronto]. "Now they're playing a catch-up game to try to establish equally strong relationships with multilateral institutions like the African Union."

The U.S. will always have to contend with the fact that China is not limited in its economic partnerships by commitments to propping up democracy and freedom, since the cornerstone of Chinese foreign policy is to take a noninterventionist approach with its trading partners, says Tieku.

The Guardian <u>noted</u> Tuesday that the leaders of the African nations didn't seem that impressed with the new U.S. summit:

African leaders were more cautious in their assessment of the summit and the difference that foreign businesses can make.

None [of the African leaders] were talking about the meeting as groundbreaking.

We <u>noted</u> in 2012:

In a must-read article, AP analyzes IMF data on 180 nations' trade with China -

and concludes that <u>China has surpassed America as the world's "top global</u> <u>trader"</u>:

As recently as 2006, the U.S. was the larger trading partner for 127 countries, versus just 70 for China. By last year the two had clearly traded places: 124 countries for China, 76 for the U.S. ***

Indeed, some claim that China is already the <u>world's biggest</u> <u>economy</u>.[<u>Update</u>.]

While the U.S. has launched wars all over the world <u>to seize control</u> of <u>resources</u>, China has quietly been trading for its needs, or mining or building resources itself for subsequent export. See <u>this</u>, <u>this</u> and <u>this</u>. No wonder China is surpassing the U.S. as the world's trading giant.

Sadly, the U.S. is currently doing a worse job than China in following George Washington's advice to (1) avoid foreign military entanglements and (2) engage in free trade with all nations.

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2014

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Washington's Blog

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca