

Obama tarnishes Nobel Peace Prize with “indiscriminate” military action in Libya

By [Robert Bridge](#)

Global Research, March 21, 2011

[rt.com](#) 21 March 2011

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Moscow harshly condemns an international military operation against forces loyal to Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi, while Washington downplays its role in the hostilities.

It has taken US President Barack Obama just over one year – and less than that if we consider that he earlier agreed to keep open the Guantanamo Bay detention facility – to damage his Nobel Peace Prize, awarded in Oslo, Norway in October 2009.

On Saturday, US warships unleashed a massive sea-based missile salvo against targets inside of Libya, where Col. Muammar Gaddafi has been engaged in a desperate showdown against anti-government forces. The battle is largely centered on the city of Benghazi, where opposition forces have announced an “interim Libyan government.”

US warships and submarines fired more than 110 Tomahawk cruise missiles into Libyan territory to disable air-defense systems, allowing French and British fighter jets to more easily enforce a no-fly zone. The attack on Libya marks the third Muslim country that the United States is now engaged in military operations with.

So much for “sitting down and talking with enemies,” as Obama promised to do during his political campaign for the US presidency.

It should be no surprise that Washington is going out of its way to disassociate itself from the Libyan military campaign, or at least the leadership part of it.

“We did not lead this,” Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton told a gaggle of reporters in Paris over the weekend. “We did not engage in unilateral actions in any way, but we strongly support the international community taking action against governments and leaders who behave as Gaddafi is unfortunately doing.”

Observers say Washington’s reluctance to advertize its hefty contribution in the military operation suggests that the Obama administration, already under attack inside of its own party for “towing the Bush line,” is an attempt to deflect hostility from the Muslim world, not to mention Main Street, U.S.A., which certainly cannot afford to foot the bill for yet another overseas adventure.

“They [the Obama administration] really want this to be a short, jolly operation,” remarked a senior British defense analyst, who asked not to be identified due to his position. “But all the bets are off on this one. Nobody is quite sure what the allied forces will be able to accomplish [in Libya] over the long haul.”

Incidentally, Saturday's attack also marked the eighth anniversary of the start of the Iraq War, begun by Obama's predecessor, George W. Bush.

Russian officials have slammed the US missile attack, while calling on the international coalition to stop the "indiscriminate use of force" it says has killed civilians.

Foreign Ministry spokesman Alexander Lukashevich said the air strikes exceed the mandate of the UN Security Council resolution, which approved a no-fly zone and authorized all necessary measures to protect civilians.

"We are emphatically urging the coalition states to stop indiscriminate use of force," Lukashevich told reporters, according to Interfax.

"We are firmly convinced that the mandate deriving from Resolution 1973 of the UN Security Council, which was adopted as a rather controversial step, cannot be used for attaining goals going clearly beyond its provisions, spelling out measures solely intended to protect civilians," he said.

As "Operation Odyssey Dawn" kicked off on Saturday, missile strikes delivered on Libya also hit non-military facilities in the capital of Tripoli, as well as in Tarhuna, Maamur and Jmeil, Lukashevich said, before providing a grim picture of the attack's collateral damage.

"As a result [of the missile strikes], 48 civilians have been killed and over 150 wounded," Lukashevich revealed. "A medical center has been partially destroyed and roads and bridges have been damaged."

Russia announced Sunday that it was evacuating some of its diplomatic staff and other citizens from Tripoli.

Meanwhile, Liberal-Democratic Party leader and State Duma Vice Speaker Vladimir Zhirinovsky has described the coalition forces' military operation against the Gaddafi regime as pure "aggression," while calling for a "new Nuremburg."

"Shame on NATO and all the murderers and barbarians," the Russian firebrand told media on Sunday. "All of these rogues will be brought to account one day. The aggressors will get their due for the numerous crimes committed at an independent international tribunal, in a new Nuremberg trial."

The UN Security Council voted on Thursday to impose a no-fly zone against pro-Gaddafi forces, which has been accused itself of using inordinate force against anti-government forces.

Russia and four other council members – China, Germany, Brazil and India – abstained from the vote.

According to Gaddafi, Tripoli regards the West's military operation against Libya "as an unlawful invasion of a sovereign state and sees it as nothing else but an act of terrorism."

Speaking on Libyan television, Gaddafi said that the United States and Europe had "proven to the world that you are not civilized, that you are terrorists – animals attacking a safe nation that did nothing against you."

Libya is ranked as one of the top-ten biggest oil producers in the world.

The original source of this article is rt.com

Copyright © [Robert Bridge](#), rt.com, 2011

[**Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**](#)

[**Become a Member of Global Research**](#)

Articles by: [Robert Bridge](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca