

# The Obama - Flynn Connection

By [Renee Parsons](#)

Global Research, June 02, 2020

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

*It is more than a coincidence that as the coronavirus (Covid 19) continues to peak and then peak again, millions of Americans remain in shelter; thereby out-of-the-loop about the most significant political corruption crisis in American history; especially as on-going revelations born out of Russiagate have now found its way into the Obama Oval Office.*

Even prior to the election, Donald Trump offended Democratic sensibility with his garbled syntax, a less articulate vocabulary mixed with flamboyant expressions especially as compared to the more erudite Barack Obama who [started war](#) in four countries living in peace in 2008 and institutionalized a Tuesday morning “kill” list.

Since Trump’s election, the Dems have revealed a sense of desperation as they have proven a willingness to destroy the American republic. They have played the psy op card to perfection with a coordinated campaign of orchestrated chaos in order to manipulate their own rank n file to spew hate and division. Using treachery, deceit and Agent provocateurs, the party establishment have created an unprecedented national political (and health) crisis that is now threatening to boomerang onto one of their own favorite sons.

We now know that the crisis was more deeply conceived and coordinated than any average American could have ever imagined as the FBI and Department of Justice hierarchy, both controlled by embedded Democratic loyalists, pulled out all the stops to bring down a duly elected President.

Some months after the fact, NBC News, reported that three anonymous Obama officials, confirmed that a mere 48 hours after the November 8<sup>th</sup> election, Obama and Trump met for 90 minutes in the Oval Office. To conduct such a high level meeting so soon, as absentee ballots were still being counted in some states, seems highly unusual.

Clearly, there was more than just a passing interest in meeting with a necessary timeliness that conveyed a level of immediacy, if not urgency. Sources confirmed that it was Obama who broached the subject and [warned Trump](#) against hiring Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn as being not ‘suitable’ for such a sensitive post.

Having campaigned on normalizing relations with Russia and President Vladimir Putin, Trump [appointed](#) Flynn as Director of National Intelligence on November 16. Given the timeline, Trump had obviously not accepted Obama’s advice and, in fact, appears to have not given the suggestion any serious consideration. Flynn, who also believed Putin to be more of a potential ally than China, had been Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency from 2012 until 2014. He was fired by Obama for ‘mismanagement’ while publicly [disagreeing](#) with Obama policy in the Middle East and had a reputation for being critical of the [Iraq War](#). Clearly, Obama and Flynn have, as

yet, an unexamined history.

Since Obama was obviously aware of Flynn's stature in the Trump campaign, why did he feel the need to malign his appointment? Knowing how Obama felt about Trump and about Flynn, it is curious that Obama would not have seen an opportunity for Flynn to do damage to Trump's foreign policy objectives. Unless, Flynn might have revealed an iota of a personal or political nature about Obama OR more importantly, at what point in the course of his duties as National Security Adviser, would Flynn have discovered the truth of the Obama Administration's scurrilous seditious role to undermine and remove Trump as President?

On [January 4, 2017](#), the FBI's Washington Field Office issued a "Closing Communication" in deciding to close its investigation of Flynn since [no evidence](#) of collusion with Russia had been found. By late January, the now disgraced Agent [Peter Strzok](#) argued against the dismissal. The necessary paperwork was [never filed](#) and the Flynn file remained open.

On [January 5, 2017](#), a [key strategic meeting](#) was held in the Oval Office just prior to the Inauguration with President Barack Obama presiding. Attendees included CIA Director John Brennan, National Security Adviser Susan Rice, Deputy AG Sally Yates, FBI Director Jim Comey, Director of National Intelligence James Clapper and Vice President Joe Biden.

While it appears that the Dossier and Flynn's December 29<sup>th</sup> conversation with Russian Ambassador Kislyak was a main topic of interest, there are [areas of disagreement](#) and unknowns. After discussion, Obama dismissed those staffers not part of the incoming Trump Administration with only Yates and Comey remaining.

A recently declassified House Intel Committee testimony quotes Comey as asserting that it was Obama who raised the issue of Flynn while Yates, according to declassified testimony to the [Mueller investigation](#), also asserted that Obama raised the Flynn matter during their after-meeting and that, for the first time, [Obama](#) informed her of his concerns about Flynn.

In a recently declassified email dated January 20, 2017, Susan Rice added a [different version](#) of the event asserting that she and Biden also remained for the after-meeting and that it was Comey who raised the issue of Flynn, not the President.

An educated guess might be that the January 5 meeting provided the stark realization that Flynn represented a devastating threat to the Obama Administration's disinformation campaign and that his presence must be removed from the Trump White House Soon after the meeting, allegations against Flynn escalated dramatically with an orchestrated attack to massively disrupt Trump's ability to govern at all levels. To advance the narrative, such a campaign required the active participation of every layer of the DNC the Democratic [Mockingbird](#) media, the intelligence community and the Silicon Valley establishment.

Examples of that escalation:

- On January 10<sup>th</sup> the Steele Dossier was leaked and published by [BuzzFeed](#) claiming Trump's "deep ties" with Russia as it acknowledged the document was unverified and contained errors.
- On January 12<sup>th</sup> the [Washington Post](#) published an article revealing the Flynn/Kislyak conversation and introduced the Russian hack/collusion conspiracy

into the American political dialogue.

Both were examples of the level of irresponsible journalism that was to come; each explosive and inflammatory as they set the stage for a never-ending drum beat of manufactured news for the next three years.

- Also on [January 12](#), VP Joe Biden requested the [unmasking](#) of Gen Flynn joining an unprecedented 29 other Democratic officials who had previously requested Flynn's unmasking.

Written as a prelude to the January 24<sup>th</sup> "ambush" interview and recently declassified were [scribbled notes](#) by former counter intelligence head Bill Priestap that questioned the criminal [entrapment of Flynn](#):

*"what is our goal? ..truth/admission or get him to lie so we can prosecute him or get him fired?"*

#### Unanswered Questions

- On [May 7, 2020](#), the DOJ dismissed charges against Flynn acknowledging that the FBI had 'no basis' to conduct the January 24, interview and given the FBI's proposed dismissal of Flynn's case on January 4, what was Comey's reasoning and when did he decide to initiate the interview? Why did assistant FBI Director Andrew McCabe assure Flynn there was [no need for legal](#) counsel to be present?
- Why did Strzok argue against closing the Flynn file since he conducted the January 24<sup>th</sup> interview and later [reported to Comey](#) that there was no evidence that Flynn was lying? Did the strategy shift as a result of the January 5<sup>th</sup> meeting without Strzok having been informed?
- Why did Susan Rice have a distinctly different recollection of who attended the January 5<sup>th</sup> after-meeting? How could three participants fundamentally disagree about what should be an easily obvious fact of who attended?
- What are the specific details of Obama's participation during the entire January 5<sup>th</sup> meeting? Why was there an after-meeting and who attended? Did Obama initiate or approve any further action with regard to Gen. Flynn, President Trump or the Trump Administration?
- One last question: What is the status of the official record of the January 5<sup>th</sup> meeting (the audio and the official minutes)? When will it be declassified and publicly available?

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

*Renee Parsons has been a member of the ACLU's Florida State Board of Directors and President of the ACLU Treasure Coast Chapter. She has been an elected public official in Colorado, an environmental lobbyist with Friends of the Earth and staff member in the US House of Representatives in Washington, DC. Renee is a student of the Quantum Field. She*

may be reached at [rdp@comcast.net](mailto:rdp@comcast.net).

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Renee Parsons](#), Global Research, 2020

---

[\*\*Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page\*\*](#)

[\*\*Become a Member of Global Research\*\*](#)

Articles by: [Renee Parsons](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)