

Nuland Accidentally Reveals the True Aim of the West in Ukraine. Money for the Military Industrial Complex...

Regime Change Karen has said the quiet part out loud, complaining that Putin's Russia is "not the Russia we wanted"

By [Rachel Marsden](#)

Global Research, March 06, 2024

[RT World News](#) 27 February 2024

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Big Tech's Effort to Silence Truth-tellers: Global Research Online Referral Campaign](#)

US State Department fixture and Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs, Victoria Nuland, aka "Regime Change Karen," apparently woke up one day recently, took the safety off her nuclear-grade mouth, and inadvertently blew up the West's Ukraine narrative.

Until now, Americans have been told that all the US taxpayer cash being earmarked for Ukrainian aid is to help actual Ukrainians.

Anyone notice that the \$75 billion American contribution isn't getting the job done on the battlefield?

Victory in military conflict isn't [supposed](#) to look like defeat.

Winning also isn't defined as, "Well, on a long enough time axis, like infinity, our chance of defeat will eventually approach zero."

And the \$178 billion in total from all allies [combined](#) doesn't seem to be doing the trick, either.

Short of starting a global war with weapons capable of extending the conflict beyond a regional one, it's not like they've been holding back.

The West is breaking the bank. All for some vague, future Ukrainian "victory" that they don't

seem to want to clearly define. We keep hearing that the support will last *“as long as it takes.”* For what exactly? By not clearly defining it, they can keep moving the goal posts.

But now here comes Regime Change Karen, dropping some truth bombs on CNN about Ukrainian aid. She [started](#) off with the usual talking point of doing *“what we have always done, which is defend democracy and freedom around the world.”*

Victoria Nuland on more Ukraine spending: “We will do what we have always done, which is defend democracy & freedom around the world ... And by the way, we have to remember that the bulk of this money is going right back into the U.S., to make those weapons” pic.twitter.com/6P98dCLKqN

— Tom Elliott (@tomselliott) [February 24, 2024](#)

Conveniently, in places where they have controlling interests and want to keep them – or knock them out of a global competitor’s roster and into their own.

“And by the way, we have to remember that the bulk of this money is going right back into the US to make those weapons,” Nuland said, pleading in favor of the latest Ukraine aid package that’s been getting the side eye from Republicans in Congress.

So there you have it, folks.

Ukrainians are a convenient pretext to keep the tax cash flowing in the direction of the US military industrial complex.

This gives a whole new perspective on *“as long as it takes.”* It’s just the usual endless war and profits repackaged as benevolence. But we’ve seen this before. It explains why war in Afghanistan was little more than a gateway to Iraq.

And why the Global War on Terrorism never seems to end, and only ever mutates.

Arguably the best one they’ve come up with so far is the need for military-grade panopticon-style surveillance, so the state can shadow-box permanently with ghosts while bamboozling the general public with murky cyber concepts that it can’t understand or conceptualize. When one conflict or threat dials down, another ramps up, boosted by fearmongering rhetoric couched in white-knighting. There’s never any endgame or exit ramp to any of these conflicts. And there clearly isn’t one for Ukraine, either.

Still, there’s a sense that the realities on the ground in Ukraine, which favor Russia, now likely mean that the conflict is closer to its end than to its beginning.

Acknowledgements abound in the Western press.

And that means there isn’t much time left for Europe to get aboard the tax cash laundering bandwagon and stuff its own military industrial complexes’ coffers like Washington has been doing from the get-go.

Which would explain why a bunch of countries now seem to be rushing to give Ukraine years-long bilateral security *“guarantees,”* requiring more weapons for everyone. France,

Germany, Canada, and Italy have all made the pledge.

Plus Denmark, which also flat-out said that it would send all its artillery to Ukraine. If security for Europe is the goal, that sounds kind of like the opposite.

Particularly when Ukrainian Foreign Minister Dmitry Kuleba [told](#) the EU that “*Russia has gotten closer to your home*” in the wake of the most recent defeat in Avdeevka. He sounds like one of those guys in TV ads trying to peddle burglar alarms. Seems like Russia only exists in the minds of the West these days to justify sending weapons to Ukraine to get blown up, while also justifying to taxpayers why they should continue funding this whole charade.

Meanwhile, the West’s drive towards peace seems to be taking the scenic route.

“As we move forward, we continue our support to Ukraine in further developing President Zelensky’s Peace Formula,” G7 leaders [said](#) after a recent meeting with Zelensky in Kiev.

Nice to see that he’s devoting all his time to this magic peace formula instead of running around extorting his friends for cash by threatening them with Putin.

It was already a pretty big hint of what’s really been going on when the EU decided to use the taxpayer-funded European Peace Facility to reimburse EU countries for the unloading of their mothballed, second-hand weapons into Ukraine, where Russia can then dispose of them before anyone could be accused of overcharging for clunkers. Now, with the clunker supply running dry, they just have to make more weapons. Maybe funneling cash into weapons for themselves will be the Hail Mary pass that saves their economies that they’ve tanked “*for Ukraine*”?

Thanks to Nuland’s nuking of any plausible deniability on Ukrainian “*aid*” not going to Washington, it’s now clear that Ukrainians continue to die so poor weapons makers don’t end up shaking tin cans on street corners.

She has also removed any doubt about the ultimate US goal being Russian regime change, calling Putin’s leadership “*not the Russia we wanted*,” and sounding like someone who chronically sends back a meal to kitchens of a dining establishment.

“We wanted a partner that was going to be Westernizing, that was going to be European. But that’s not what Putin has done,” she told CNN.

That’s exactly what Putin has done, actually. It’s the West that’s moved away from itself and is becoming increasingly unrecognizable by its own citizens.

Pretty sure that it goes beyond just wanting a country to be “*European*,” too. Because Germany’s European, and an ally, and Nuland wouldn’t shut up about how much she hated its Nord Stream gas supply — until it mysteriously went kaboom.

Regime Change Karen saying the quiet part out loud has decimated the Western establishment’s narrative so badly that it’s a miracle no one has yet accused her thermonuclear mouth of being an asset of Russia’s weapons program.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Rachel Marsden is a columnist, political strategist, and host of independently produced talk-shows in French and English.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is [RT World News](#)
Copyright © [Rachel Marsden](#), [RT World News](#), 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Rachel Marsden](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca